Swelling Dynamics of Ultrathin Polymer Films
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ABSTRACT: Solvent uptake and swelling of ultrathin spin-coated polyacrylamide films in the presence of saturated vapor of water at room temperature was studied using gravimetric and X-ray reflectivity methods. Solvent uptake was observed to be a faster process, compared to swelling, governed by the Fickian dynamics of the diffusion of water molecules into the free volume of the polymer structure. The swelling demonstrates a slower dynamical behavior that can be modeled in terms of the swelling of a free polymer coil in the presence of a good solvent. Unlike interdiffusion of polymer chains at the interfaces, the attractive interaction of the substrate was found to have no influence on the diffusion process in the case of swelling.

1. Introduction

When a dry bulk polymer is exposed to a solvent, the solvent molecules enter into the porous structure of the polymer and diffuse into all accessible volume. This phenomenon is commonly referred as mass uptake, since the solvent molecules at this stage are physically or chemically adsorbed on the surface as well as are absorbed into the pores and the available free volume. If however the polymer is soluble in the solvent, there is a strong attractive interaction between the polymer and the solvent, and the net interaction between the polymer segments is repulsive. As a result, the coiled chains start to swell as soon as they are in contact with the solvent molecules. In other words, the polymer starts to go into the solvent as it is in the early stage of the solution process. The understanding of the mobility of polymer chains near surfaces and interfaces for ultrathin polymer films in the presence of solvent is of technological importance in many areas like emulsion, coating, and adhesion. Knowledge of the bulk polymer properties is not useful in this case because the equilibrium structural and dynamical behaviors of the polymer chains close to the substrate or at some interface are quite different as observed for the thickness and film–substrate interaction dependence of glass transition temperature, wetting and dewetting kinetics, rheological properties, or chain mobility close to the substrate. The phenomenon of solvent absorption into the pores of a polymeric structure have been exploited by several authors to study different aspects of polymeric material such as diffusion of solvents into the pores, pore size distribution, viscoelastic properties, etc. Elaborate theoretical and experimental studies are also available for the dynamics of polymer chains in a polymer melt or through some random medium equivalent to a cross-linked polymer gel network. However, the study on the dynamical behavior for the mass uptake and the swelling processes, particularly for ultrathin soluble polymer films, is not available in the literature. In the present work we performed X-ray reflectivity and gravimetric measurements to study the dynamical behavior of the swelling as a result of solvent uptake for ultrathin polyacrylamide films supported on silicon substrates. Spin-coated polyacrylamide films on silicon substrates were exposed to water vapor at saturated vapor pressure condition at room temperature while the mass and the thickness were monitored using gravimetric and X-ray reflectivity methods, respectively. The comparison of the results suggests that the mass uptake is a faster process governed by the capillary condensation and subsequent diffusion of water into the free volume of the polymer films whereas the swelling starting simultaneously with the mass uptake demonstrates a slower dynamical behavior.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Sample Preparation. High molecular weight (> 5 × 10^5) polyacrylamide (supplied by BDH Chemicals, UK) has been used to prepare the thin films on silicon substrate by spin-coating. We have used a 2 mg/mL aqueous solution of the polymer for this purpose. The silicon substrate was chemically treated with ammonia and hydrogen peroxide solution, which makes the surface of the wafer hydrophilic by producing OH dangling bonds on to the surface, thus making it suitable for the coating of a water-soluble polymer. Different spinning speeds ranging from 400 to 600 rpm were used to prepare films of different thickness. Spin-coating with the same solution concentration at different spin speeds would cause the polymer films to be in a highly nonequilibrium configuration. At the center of the spin, the polymer chains are less perturbed compared to the edges of the films due to the elongation flow. A standard procedure to release the strain developed in the spin-coated films is to swell the films in the solvent vapor after their preparation. All the films were exposed to water vapor in a closed container for 15 min. The films were then stored in a dry desiccator before experiments were performed with them. Additionally, we have annealed the films at 80 °C in a vacuum for half an hour to remove any further residual strain in the films. To avoid the ambiguity in the thickness of the films, the X-ray reflectivity measurements were performed after the gravimetric measurements with the same set of samples.

2.2. Gravimetric Measurements. To study the mass uptake of the films, we have used a microbalance (Meter, MT5) of microgram mass resolution. A source of water was placed inside the weighing chamber, and the weight of the film was recorded as a function of time. It was observed that the clean substrate also adsorbs some amount of moisture initially. The data for clean substrate were subtracted from the film data to find the actual mass uptake of the pure polymeric films. The mass uptake behavior shows a release of mass after attaining a maximum in some of the films. We believe this is due to the fact that the capillary free volume enlarged with
the expansion of the film to larger pores and the water that
was absorbed purely due to the surface force was released,
resulting in a weight loss in these films.

2.3. X-ray Reflectivity. X-ray reflectivity data were col-
lected on Microcontrol triple-axis goniometer, keeping the
incident and the exit angles identical for maintaining specular
reflectance. An Enraf Nonius FR591 rotating anode generator
followed by a Si(111) monochromator was used to obtain Cu Kα1 radiation. To measure
the thickness and the electron density of the swelling poly-
acrylamide films, we have performed X-ray reflectivity mea-
surements while the films were exposed to the saturated vapor
of their solvent water. At first the films were kept under
vacuum at 80 °C for 30 min in a specially designed chamber,
and the X-ray reflectivity data for the dry film were collected
at room temperature, in situ, allowing the X-ray beam to enter
and exit the chamber through Capton windows. After breaking
the vacuum, a small amount of cotton fully soaked with water
in a container was placed very quickly in the chamber below
the films, as shown in Figure 1. The outlet of the chamber
was kept open to maintain atmospheric pressure inside during
the experiment. The water vapor inside the chamber provides
a saturated vapor pressure condition at room temperature, and
the films expand as a result of swelling due to absorption of
the vapor into the free volume. The reflectivity data for the
swelling of the films were taken as a function of time. The Q
range for the data collection was carefully optimized to
accommodate sufficient number of thickness oscillations as
well as the data acquisition time. Reasonably good statistics
were obtained in 7 min, during which data were collected for
each thickness. The reflectivity data were analyzed using
Parratt formalism29 to obtain information about the thickness
and the electron density for every thickness of all the films.
In Figure 2 we have presented experimental data along with
the fitted profiles for a particular film for different swelling
stages; the inset of the figure shows three typical electron
density profiles corresponding to the reflectivity profiles shown
by the triangle symbols in the figure. It was clearly observed
that the frequency of the oscillations increased on swelling,
indicating increase of film thickness given by $d = 2\pi/\Delta q$ for
large q, where $\Delta q$ is the separation between two successive
minima in the data. The data show a clear indication of
changes in density in terms of the changes in the critical
electron density q, as the thickness increases. In the Parratt
formalism the roughness of the polymer surface, the electron
density, and the thickness of the films along with the rough-
ness of the substrate were used as parameters for the fitting.
For simplicity of the model, a single electron density was
allowed for the entire polymer film for each thickness.

In Figure 3 we have compared the data for mass uptake and the thickness
time for a particular film. It is clearly shown in Figure 3
that the slope of the mass uptake data is much higher
compared to that of thickness expansion data with the
former saturating much earlier, which suggests the
mass uptake to be a much faster process. Considering
the fact that the two time scales are widely different,
one can assume the two processes to be independent.
Under this approximation and the facts that (a) a plot
of $M_t/M_{sat}$ vs $t^{1/2}$ is linear in the initial phase, (b) the
linearity holds for at least up to $M_t/M_{sat} = 0.6$, (c) above
the linear region curves bend toward the abscissa, and
(d) uptake curves obtained by plotting $M_t/M_{sat}$ vs $t^{1/2}/l$
for different film thickness l coincide (Figure 4), the
diffusion processes can be considered as Fickian.13,30
This kind of diffusion can be described by Fick's second
law of diffusion, which can be solved considering ap-
propriate boundary conditions for diffusion into a semi
infinite31,32 film exposed to a infinite bath of penetrant
for the short time (initial phase of uptake) to read
$M_t/M_{sat} = (4Dt/\pi l)^{1/2}$, where $M_t$ is the mass uptake at time
$\Delta t$ defined as $M_t = (w_l - W_0)/W_0$, where $w_l$ and $W_0$
are the weight of the films at time $t$ and the initial weight,
respectively, $M_{sat}$ is the saturated mass uptake, and $D$
is the diffusion coefficient. In Figure 4 we have plotted
normalized mass uptake, $M_t/M_{sat}$ against square root of
time scaled by the film thickness $t^{1/2}/l$ for the films,
and from the slope of the curve we determine the diffusion
coefficient of water, $D_w = (1.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-15}$
mobility of polymer chains can be described in terms of chain energy, respectively. The diffusion coefficient for the diffusion of water into the free volume of the thin polymer films.

3.2. Dynamics of Polymer Chains. The thickness of all the films studied in the present work is less than the $R_g$ of the polymer, which indicates that the films are constituted by the placement of the individual coils side by side in the form of pancakes as predicted by de Gennes.\textsuperscript{33} We assume that in the presence of solvent vapor all the coiled chains swell independently. This allows us to study swelling dynamics in terms of the dissipative equation of motion for the end-to-end distance of the polymer $R_e$ combined with Flory approximation for the free energy $F(R)$ in $d$-dimensions as\textsuperscript{34,35}

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} = -\mu \frac{\partial F(R)}{\partial R} = -\mu k_B T \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[ \frac{R^2}{N} + v \frac{N^2}{R^d} \right]$$ (1)

The first and the second terms in the parenthesis give entropic and excluded-volume contributions to the free energy, respectively. The diffusion coefficient for the polymer chains can be described in terms of chain mobility $\mu$ using Einstein relation as $D_p = \mu k_B T$.

The general solution of the above differential equation reads

$$R(t) = e^{-(2d/3)} \left[ R_0 \frac{d+2}{d} + \frac{vN^3}{2} \left( e^{(2d+2)k_B T / N k_B T} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/(d+2)}$$ (2)

with initial condition $R(t=0) = R_0$ describing the change of the size of a polymer coil on swelling as a function of time.

In the case of thermal expansion of ultrathin polymer films, the movements of the polymer chains were observed mainly in the direction perpendicular to the film surface, other two directions being restricted by the physical boundary of the substrate size.\textsuperscript{36} In the present swelling study of the polymer films, no spillover of the polymer was observed on swelling, indicating that in this case also the expansion of the film can be considered to be one-dimensional and occurs in the direction perpendicular to the surface similar to that of thermal expansion. For one-dimensional case, eq 2 reads

$$R(t) = e^{-(2d/3)} \left[ R_0 \frac{3}{2} + \frac{vN^3}{2} \left( e^{(6d^3/3)N k_B T} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/3}$$ (3)

where $N$ is the degree of polymerization, the ratio of polymer to monomer molecular weight, and $v$ is the excluded volume, a positive quantity in the case of swelling.

In Figure 5 we have plotted the thickness of four different films obtained from X-ray reflectivity measurements as functions of swelling time along with the fitted data using eq 3. The excellent fit for all the data indicates that the swelling of the polymer films in this case can be expressed in terms of the swelling of noninteracting individual polymer coils due to entropic and excluded-volume interaction. The diffusion coefficients obtained for different films are found to be nearly the same, indicating they are independent of film thickness. In Figure 6 we have plotted the diffusion coefficients $D_p$ observed from the analysis for the films of different thickness along with the average value as a dotted line. In the case of interdiffusion of polymer chains at the interfaces of polymer films, the chain dynamics was observed to slow down when the interface was at a distance of several $R_g$ from the substrate due to the influence of the substrate on the dynamics of the interdiffusing polymer chains.\textsuperscript{11,12} In the present case we do not observe any systematic dependence of the diffusion coefficient on the film thickness. We tend to believe that the magnitude of the interchain repulsive interaction for the swelling process is much larger compared to the attractive polymer–substrate interaction; hence, the latter contributes negligibly to the dynamics of swelling. For the completeness of the study we have also analyzed our data in terms of swelling of a free-standing cross-linked gel,\textsuperscript{37–39} although the films are soluble and does not have chemical cross-links between the segments. Using the formalism of Li and Tanaka\textsuperscript{38} for the swelling of a disk-shaped gel, since this geometry was closest to...
that of our thin film case, we find that the observed time 

constants do not follow the predicted proportionality to 

the square of the saturated thickness of the swelled 

films, indicating that the gel model was not suitable for the 

present case. The diffusion coefficients predicted by 

this theory were also much larger compared to those 

predicted by the present model of swelling of free chains. 

4. Summary and Conclusion 

We have studied the mass uptake and swelling of 

ultrathin polyacrylamide films prepared by spin-coating 

on silicon substrate in the presence of saturated vapor 

of water at room temperature using gravimetric and 

X-ray reflectivity methods. We observe that water 

uptake is a much faster process governed by the Fickian 

behavior that can be modeled in terms of the swelling of 

water uptake, demonstrating a slower dynamical be-

havior that can be modeled in terms of the swelling of 

a free polymer coil in the presence of good solvent. It 

was observed that, unlike interdiffusion in polymer 

interfaces, the diffusion coefficient of the polymer chains 

are not influenced by attractive polymer–substrate 

interaction.
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