

Advanced Materials Thermodynamics

Course Outline



Course webpage:

https://www.eng.uc.edu/~beaucag/Classes/AdvancedMaterialsThermodynamics/AdvMatThermo.html#Intro

Class meets Tuesday and Thursday 12:30-1:45 Baldwin 643 Greg Beaucage

| | Thermodynamic Foundations | Single Component Syst | ems Solutions | | Phase Diagrams | | Phase Stability |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | Surfaces | Enthalpy of Formation | Heat C | apacity | Atomistic Solu | tion Models | |
| | Thermodynamics and Materials Modeling | | | ental Thermod | lynamics | Course Revie | 2W |
| Homework; Books | | | | | | | |



Advanced Materials Thermodynamics

Course Outline



2

Weekly homework due on Monday at noon

- You can work with other students but need a unique paper
- You can use ChatGPT to help but you need to write your own answers
- Format is like the PhD qualifier questions (sometimes the same questions appear)

Final homework

 The final homework is an individual assignment and is due Friday December 12 (counts as 4 weekly homework)



Advanced Materials Thermodynamics

Course Outline



Format of the homework:

- Usually focuses on a paper related to the class topics
- Five questions on the paper
- Best approach is to read the paper then answer the questions based on what was covered that week

Introduction

Definition of Terms:

Homogeneous Heterogeneous Ergotic and Non-Ergotic (Odyssey way path) (Ergotic try all paths => crystal) (Non-Ergotic stuck on one path => glass) Meta-stable Equilibrium Extent, extensive: V, Mass Intensive: Density, Temperature State Function T, P, ρ , G, H, S,... First Law, Energy is Conserved $\Sigma dU = \Sigma dq + \Sigma dw = 0$ Internal Energy, Heat, Work Adiabatic, Exothermic, Endothermic

For thermodynamics we prefer ergodic, equilibrium states.





Energy Landscape, typically Gibbs Free Energy G = H - TS

Table 1.1 Conjugate pairs of variables in work terms for the fundamental equation for the internal energy U. Here f is force of elongation, l is length in the direction of the force, σ is surface tension, A_s is surface area, Φ_i is the electric potential of the phase containing species i, q_i is the contribution of species i to the electric charge of a phase, E is electric field strength, p is the electric dipole moment of the system, B is magnetic field strength (magnetic flux density), and m is the magnetic moment of the system. The dots indicate scalar products of vectors.

| Type of work | Intensive variable | Extensive variable | Differential work in dU |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Mechanical | | | |
| Pressure-volume | -p | V | -pdV |
| Elastic | f | l | fdl |
| Surface | σ | $A_{\rm S}$ | σdA_S |
| Electromagnetic | | | |
| Charge transfer | Φ_i | q_i | $\Phi_i \mathrm{d} q_i$ |
| Electric polarization | Ε | р | $E \cdot \mathrm{d} p$ |
| Magnetic polarization | В | m | $B \cdot dm$ |

What happens to the energy when I heat a material? Or How much heat, dq, is required to change the temperature dT? (Heat Capacity, C)

> dq = C dTC = dq/dTConstant Volume, $C_{\rm V}$ **Constant Volume** dU = dq + dwWith only pV work (expansion/contraction), $dw_{ec} = -pdV$ Computer Simulation dU = dq - pdVHelmholtz Free Energy, A For constant volume A = U - TS = G - pV $(dU)_{V} = dq$, so $C_V = (dU/dT)_V$, or the energy change with T: $(dU)_V = C_V dT$ Constant Pressure, C_n dU = dq + dw = dq - pdV (only e/c work, i.e. no shaft work) **Constant Pressure** Invent Entropy H = U + PV so dH = dU + pdV + VdpAtmospheric **Experiments** $(dH)_p = dU + pdV$ for constant pressure Gibbs Free Energy, G Rearrange first equation and equate to (dH)_p G = H - TS = A + pV $dq = dU + pdV = (dH)_p$ and C = dq/dT so $C_p = (dH/dT)_p$, or the enthalpy change with T: $(dH)_p = C_p dT$

State Functions (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/State_function)

Parameters that depend only on the current equilibrium state (Pressure, temperature, enthalpy, specific volume, energy) Not on the path taken to reach that state (work and heat). Work is not a conserved value like energy.

Dimension of the "state space" which is always two for us. PV = nRT so with two state functions we can describe the "state" PV or P/T or P/V or VT describe the state. (see Steam Table)

For the 2-dimensional state space we can trace out a path:

$$W(t_0,t_1) = \int_0^1 P \, dV = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} P(t) rac{dV(t)}{dt} \, dt$$

The end point is not dependent on the path for equilibrium states. So, you could take a constant pressure path and add a constant volume path to replace a variable pressure and volume path and calculate the state parameters of the end state.

$$egin{aligned} \Phi(t_0,t_1) &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} P rac{dV}{dt} \, dt + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} V rac{dP}{dt} \, dt \ &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} rac{d(PV)}{dt} \, dt = P(t_1)V(t_1) - P(t_0)V(t_0) \end{aligned}$$

Generally, we don't know absolute values for H, S, G, A state parameters so we are always using a "reference state". That is, should we consider the energy of fusion in calculating the energy of water.

Hess' Law (total enthalpy of a reaction is the sum of the changes regardless of the path; Justification for the use of standard enthalpy of formation)

5 Relating gas phase and surface energetics: Hess's law

It is natural to compare at least trends in stability for M–S complexes adsorbed on surfaces with those in the gas phase. A systematic approach to relate these energies comes from considering an indirect pathway for formation of adsorbed complexes which involves three steps: (1) desorption of atomic constituents from the surface; (2) formation of the complex in the gas phase; and (3) adsorption of the complex onto the surface. Schematically, this process is described by

$$m\mathbf{M}(\text{bulk}) + n\mathbf{S}(\text{ads}) \xrightarrow{\Delta E_1} m\mathbf{M}(\text{gas}) + n\mathbf{S}(\text{gas}) \xrightarrow{\Delta E_2} \mathbf{M}_m\mathbf{S}_n(\text{gas})$$
$$\xrightarrow{\Delta E_3} M_m\mathbf{S}_n(\text{ads}), \tag{4}$$



Fig. 3 Schematic for Hess's law specifically illustrating the case of M₄S₅.

| | Check for upd | ates |
|--------|--------------------|----------|
| Cite t | nis: Phys. Chem. C | hem. Phy |
| 2019. | 21 26483 | |

Sulfur adsorption on coinage metal(100) surfaces: propensity for metal-sulfur complex formation relative to (111) surfaces

Da-Jiang Liu, ⊙ *^a Peter M. Spurgeon, ⊙^b Jiyoung Lee, ^{ab} Theresa L. Windus,^{ab} Patricia A. Thiel ^{© abc} and James W. Evans ^{© ad}

| | <i>P</i> = 1.6 | 0MPa | (201.4) | | | <i>P</i> = 1. | 80MPa | (207.1) | | | P = 2.0 | OMPa | (212.4) | | |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------|------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|----------|------------|
| | T(°C) | $V(m^3/kg)$ | U(kJ/kg) | H(kJ/kg) | S(kJ/kg-K) | T(°C) | $V(m^3/kg)$ | U(kJ/kg) | H(kJ/kg) | S(kJ/kg-K) | <i>T</i> (°C) | $V(m^3/kg)$ | U(kJ/kg) | H(kJ/kg) | S(kJ/kg-K) |
| | 201.4 | 0.1237 | 2594.8 | 2792.8 | 6.4199 | 207.1 | 0.1104 | 2597.2 | 2795.9 | 6.3775 | 212.4 | 0.0996 | 2599.1 | 2798.3 | 6.3390 |
| | 250 | 0.1419 | 2692.9 | 2919.9 | 6.6753 | 250 | 0.1250 | 2686.7 | 2911.7 | 6.6087 | 250 | 0.1115 | 2680.2 | 2903.2 | 6.5475 |
| Steam Tables | 300 | 0.158/ | 2781.0 | 3035.4 | 0.8803 | 300 | 0.1402 | 2111.4 | 3029.9 | 0.8240 | 300 | 0.1255 | 2113.2 | 3024.2 | 0./084 |
| Steam Tables | 400 | 0.1901 | 2950.7 | 3254.9 | 7.2394 | 400 | 0.1685 | 2948.3 | 3251.6 | 7.1814 | 400 | 0.1512 | 2945.9 | 3248.3 | 7.1292 |
| | 450 | 0.2053 | 3035.0 | 3363.5 | 7.3950 | 450 | 0.1821 | 3033.1 | 3360.9 | 7.3380 | 450 | 0.1635 | 3031.1 | 3358.2 | 7.2866 |
| | 500 | 0.2203 | 3120.1 | 3472.6 | 7.5409 | 500 | 0.1955 | 3118.5 | 3470.4 | 7.4845 | 500 | 0.1757 | 3116.9 | 3468.2 | 7.4337 |
| If I know P | 550 | 0.2352 | 3206.3 | 3582.6 | 7.6788 | 550 | 0.2088 | 3205.0 | 3580.8 | 7.6228 | 550 | 0.1877 | 3203.6 | 3579.0 | 7.5725 |
| | 600 | 0.2500 | 3293.9 | 3693.9 | 7.8100 | 600 | 0.2220 | 3292.7 | 3692.3 | 7.7543 | 600 | 0.1996 | 3291.5 | 3690.7 | 7.7043 |
| and T | 650 | 0.2647 | 3382.9 | 3806.5 | 7.9354 | 650 | 0.2351 | 3381.9 | 3805.1 | 7.8799 | 650 | 0.2115 | 3380.8 | 3803.8 | 7.8302 |
| und i | 750 | 0.2794 | 3473.5 | 4036.1 | 8.0557 | 750 | 0.2482 | 3472.0 | 4035.1 | 8 1164 | 750 | 0.2255 | 3564.0 | 4034 1 | 8.0670 |
| I can get V. U. | 800 | 0.3087 | 3659.5 | 4153.3 | 8.2834 | 800 | 0.2743 | 3658.8 | 4152.4 | 8.2284 | 800 | 0.2467 | 3658.0 | 4151.5 | 8,1790 |
| i euli get (, e, | 850 | 0.3232 | 3755.0 | 4272.2 | 8.3916 | 850 | 0.2872 | 3754.3 | 4271.3 | 8.3367 | 850 | 0.2584 | 3753.6 | 4270.5 | 8.2874 |
| H, S | 900 | 0.3378 | 3852.1 | 4392.6 | 8.4965 | 900 | 0.3002 | 3851.5 | 4391.9 | 8.4416 | 900 | 0.2701 | 3850.9 | 4391.1 | 8.3925 |
| , | 950 | 0.3523 | 3950.9 | 4514.6 | 8.5984 | 950 | 0.3131 | 3950.3 | 4514.0 | 8.5435 | 950 | 0.2818 | 3949.8 | 4513.3 | 8.4945 |
| | 1000 | 0.3669 | 4051.2 | 4638.2 | 8.6974 | 1000 | 0.3261 | 4050.7 | 4637.6 | 8.6426 | 1000 | 0.2934 | 4050.2 | 4637.0 | 8.5936 |
| | 1050 | 0.3814 | 4155.1 | 4703.4 | 8.7938 | 1050 | 0.3390 | 4152.7 | 4762.8 | 8.7391 | 1050 | 0.3051 | 4152.2 | 4/62.3 | 8.0901 |
| If I know H | 1150 | 0.3939 | 4361.5 | 5018.2 | 8 9794 | 1150 | 0.3519 | 4361.1 | 5017 7 | 8 9748 | 1150 | 0.3783 | 4360.7 | 5017 3 | 8 8759 |
| 1 C | 1200 | 0.4249 | 4467.9 | 5147.7 | 9.0689 | 1200 | 0.3777 | 4467.5 | 5147.3 | 9.0143 | 1200 | 0.3399 | 4467.2 | 5147.0 | 8,9654 |
| and S | 1250 | 0.4394 | 4575.7 | 5278.7 | 9.1563 | 1250 | 0.3905 | 4575.3 | 5278.3 | 9.1017 | 1250 | 0.3515 | 4575.0 | 5278.0 | 9.0529 |
| I can get P. T. | P = 2.5 | 0.4538 0MPa | 4684.8 (224.0) | 5410.9 | 9.2417 | P = 3.9 | 0.4034 00MPa | 4684.5 (233.9) | 5410.6 | 9.1872 | P = 3.5 | 0.3631 0MPa | 4684.1 (242.6) | 5410.3 | 9.1384 |
| | T(°C) | V(m ³ /kg) | U(kJ/kg) | H(kJ/kg) | S(kJ/kg-K) | T(°C) | $V(m^3/k\sigma)$ | U(kJ/kg) | H(kJ/kg) | S(kJ/kg-K) | T(°C) | $V(m^3/k\sigma)$ | U(kJ/kg) | H(kJ/kg) | S(kJ/kg-K) |
| V, U | 224.0 | 0 0799 | 2602 1 | 2801.9 | 6.2558 | 233.9 | 0.0667 | 2603.2 | 2803.2 | 6.1856 | 242.6 | 0.0571 | 2602.9 | 2802.6 | 6 1243 |
| | 250 | 0.0871 | 2663.3 | 2880.9 | 6.4107 | 250 | 0.0706 | 2644.7 | 2856.5 | 6.2893 | 250 | 0.0588 | 2624.0 | 2829.7 | 6.1764 |
| | 300 | 0.0989 | 2762.2 | 3009.6 | 6.6459 | 300 | 0.0812 | 2750.8 | 2994.3 | 6.5412 | 300 | 0.0685 | 2738.8 | 2978.4 | 6.4484 |
| Those tables | 350 | 0.1098 | 2852.5 | 3127.0 | 6.8424 | 350 | 0.0906 | 2844.4 | 3116.1 | 6.7449 | 350 | 0.0768 | 2836.0 | 3104.8 | 6.6601 |
| These tables | 400 | 0.1201 | 2939.8 | 3240.1 | 7.0170 | 400 | 0.0994 | 2933.5 | 3231.7 | 6.9234 | 400 | 0.0846 | 2927.2 | 3223.2 | 6.8427 |
| are generated | 450 | 0.1302 | 3026.2 | 3351.6 | 7.1767 | 450 | 0.1079 | 3021.2 | 3344.8 | 7.0856 | 450 | 0.0920 | 3016.1 | 3338.0 | 7.0074 |
| are generated | 500 | 0.1400 | 3112.8 | 3462.7 | 7.3254 | 500 | 0.1162 | 3108.6 | 3457.2 | 7.2359 | 500 | 0.0992 | 3104.5 | 3451.6 | 7.1593 |
| hy the PREOS | 550 | 0.1497 | 3200.1 | 3574.3 | 7.4653 | 550 | 0.1244 | 3196.6 | 3569.7 | 7.3768 | 550 | 0.1063 | 3193.1 | 3565.0 | 7.3014 |
| by the TREOD | 600 | 0.1593 | 3288.5 | 3686.8 | 7.5979 | 600 | 0.1324 | 3285.5 | 3682.8 | 7.5103 | 600 | 0.1133 | 3282.5 | 3678.9 | 7.4356 |
| Equation of | 650 | 0.1689 | 3378.2 | 3800.4 | 7.7243 | 650 | 0.1405 | 3375.6 | 3796.9 | 7.6373 | 650 | 0.1202 | 3372.9 | 3793.5 | 7.5633 |
| | 700 | 0.1783 | 3469.3 | 3915.2 | 7.8455 | 700 | 0.1484 | 3467.0 | 3912.2 | 7.7590 | 700 | 0.1270 | 3464.7 | 3909.3 | 7.6854 |
| State which is | 750 | 0.1878 | 3562.0 | 4031.5 | 7.9620 | 750 | 0.1563 | 3559.9 | 4028.9 | 7.8758 | 750 | 0.1338 | 3557.8 | 4026.3 | 7.8027 |
| | 800 | 0.1972 | 3656.2 | 4149.2 | 8.0743 | 800 | 0.1642 | 5654.5 | 4146.9 | 7.9885 | 800 | 0.1406 | 3652.5 | 4144.6 | 7.9156 |
| a state | 850 | 0.2060 | 3752.0 | 4208.5 | 8.1830 | 850 | 0.1720 | 3/30.5 | 4200.5 | 8.0975 | 850 | 0.14/4 | 3748.0 | 4204.4 | 8.0247 |
| C (* 1°1 | 900 | 0.2160 | 3849.4 | 4589.5 | 8.2882 | 900 | 0.1799 | 3847.9 | 4587.5 | 8.2028 | 900 | 0.1541 | 3840.4 | 4585.7 | 8.1303 |
| function like | 1000 | 0.2235 | 3940.4 | 4011.7 | 8.3904 | 1000 | 0.10/7 | 3947.0 | 4510.1 | 8.5051 | 1000 | 0.1675 | 3945.0 4046.4 | 4500.4 | 0.2020 |
| DVDT | 1050 | 0.2347 | 4040.9 | 4761.0 | 8 5863 | 1050 | 0.1933 | 4140 0 | 4750 7 | 8 5012 | 1050 | 0.1742 | 4148 7 | 4758 4 | 8 4292 |
| PV=nKI | 1100 | 0.2533 | 4754 7 | 4887.9 | 8 6804 | 1100 | 0.2033 | 4253.6 | 4886 7 | 8 5955 | 1100 | 0 1809 | 4252.5 | 4885.6 | 8 5235 |
| | 1150 | 0.2626 | 4359 7 | 5016.2 | 8 7722 | 1150 | 0.2188 | 4358 7 | 5015.2 | 8 6874 | 1150 | 0 1875 | 4357.7 | 5014 1 | 8 6155 |
| | 1200 | 0.2719 | 4466.2 | 5146.0 | 8 8618 | 1200 | 0.2266 | 4465 3 | 5145.0 | 8 7770 | 1200 | 0.1942 | 4464.4 | 5144.1 | 8 7053 |
| | 1250 | 0.2812 | 4574.1 | 5277.1 | 8.9493 | 1250 | 0.2343 | 4573.3 | 5276.2 | 8.8646 | 1250 | 0.2009 | 4572.4 | 5275.4 | 8,7929 |
| | 1300 | 0.2905 | 4683.3 | 5409.5 | 9.0349 | 1300 | 0.2421 | 4682.5 | 5408.8 | 8.9502 | 1300 | 0.2075 | 4681.7 | 5408.0 | 8.8785 |
| | | | A second fur | 2 IV | | | | | and a second first | | | | | | |

Thermodynamic Square

| -S | U | V |
|----|---|---|
| Н | | А |
| -p | G | Т |

$$H = U + PV$$
$$A = U - TS = G - pV$$
$$G = H - TS = A + pV$$



Slope is C_p, this is not defined at first order transitions (melting and vaporization, crystalline phase change, order/disorder transition)



Figure 1.3 Standard enthalpy of aluminium relative to 0 K. The standard enthalpy of fusion $(\Delta_{\text{fus}}H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}})$ is significantly smaller than the standard enthalpy of vaporization $(\Delta_{\text{vap}}H_{\text{m}}^{\text{o}})$.

Size dependent enthalpy of melting (Gibbs-Thompson Equation)

For bulk materials, $r = \infty$, at the melting point $\Delta G = \Delta H - T_{\infty}\Delta S = 0$ So, $T_{\infty} = \Delta H/\Delta S$ Larger bonding enthalpy leads to higher T_{∞} , Greater randomness gain on melting leads to lower T_{∞} .

For nanoparticles there is also a surface term, $(\Delta G) V = (\Delta H - T_r \Delta S)V + \sigma A = 0$, where T_r is the melting point for size r nanoparticle If $V = r^3$ and $A = r^2$ and using $\Delta S = \Delta H/T_{\infty}$ this becomes, $\Delta S r = \sigma/(\Delta H(1 - T_r/T_{\infty}))$ or $T_r = T_{\infty} (1 - \sigma/(r \Delta H))$ Smaller particles have a lower melting point, and the dependence suggests a plot of T_r/T_{∞} against 1/r with negative slope $-\sigma/\Delta H$

Second Law: Reversibility

For an adiabatic reversible system $\Delta S = 0$ In a process this is often used by engineers in calculations

- 1) Assume $\Delta S = 0$, calculate ΔH for the process
- 2) Use an efficiency, η , to modify ΔH to a larger value
- 3) Calculate the actual $\Delta S > 0$ for the process

The change in entropy is tied to the concept of efficiency 100% efficient process has $\Delta S = 0$

Calusius Theorem is that entropy increases or stays the same but can not spontaneously decrease

For a reversible process $dS_{rev} = (dq/T)_{rev}$

For any process $dS \ge (dq/T)$



Rudolf Julius Emanuel Clausius (German pronunciation: ['Butdolf 'klagzios];^{[1][2]} 2 January 1822 – 24 August 1888) was a German physicist and mathematician and is considered one of the central founders of the science of thermodynamics.^[3] By his restatement of Sadi Carnot's principle known as the Carnot cycle, he gave the theory of heat a truer and sounder basis. His most important paper, "On the Moving Force of Heat",^[4] published in 1850, first stated the basic ideas of the second law of thermodynamics. In 1865 he introduced the concept of entropy. In 1870 he introduced the virial theorem, which applied to heat.^[5]

Equilibrium

| For any process $dS \ge (dq/T)$ | (Calusius Theorem) |
|--|--|
| $Or dq - TdS \le 0$ | |
| dq – TdS we can call the "Chang (This is the free energy For a reversible process at <i>therm</i> This is a quantitative definition for | e in Free Energy" available to do work.) <i>odynamic equilibrium,</i> it is equal to 0 or equilibrium |
| At constant volume (Simulation The Helmholtz Free Energy is de dA = dU - TdS (at constant temps dA = 0 at equilibrium for constant | s) $dq = dU$ efined: $A = U - TS$ so $dA = dU - TdS - SdT$ erature) at V and T |
| At constant pressure (Experime The Gibbs Free Energy is defined dG = dH - TdS (at constant temp | nts) dq = dH d: G = H – TS so dG = dH – TdS – SdT perature) |

dG = 0 at equilibrium for constant p and T

U

G

-S

Н

-p

v

Α

т

Third Law

 $S = k_B ln\Omega$ Ω is the number of states For an infinite perfect crystal there is only one state $\Omega = 1$ and $ln\Omega = 0$ so S = 0This could only occur at T = 0 for an ergodic system where there is no thermal motion. (without thermal motion the system can't be ergodic, so it is not possible to reach this hypothetical state) Legendre transformation to obtain Maxwell Relationship These are relationships that are useful to relate differential thermodynamic properties Like heat capacities, thermal expansion coefficient etc.

> dU = dq + dw1) For only ec work dU = dq - pdV2) dq = TdS for a reversible process dU = TdS - pdVSo U is naturally broken into functions of S and V $(dU/dS)_V = T \quad Use \ below$ $(dU/dV)_S = -p \quad Use \ below$ $dU = (dU/dS)_V dS + (dU/dV)_S dV$

| Thermodynamic function | Differential | Equilibrium condition | Maxwell's relations |
|------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| U(S, V) | $\mathrm{d}U = T\mathrm{d}S - p\mathrm{d}V$ | $(\mathrm{d} U)_{S,V} = 0$ | $\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial V}\right)_{S} = -\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial S}\right)_{V}$ |
| $H\left(S,p\right)$ | $\mathrm{d}H = T\mathrm{d}S + V\mathrm{d}p$ | $(\mathrm{d}H)_{S,p}=0$ | $\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial p}\right)_{S} = \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)_{p}$ |
| A(T, V) | $\mathrm{d}A = -S\mathrm{d}T - p\mathrm{d}V$ | $(\mathrm{d}A)_{T,V} = 0$ | $\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V}\right)_T = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)_V$ |
| $G\left(T,p ight)$ | $\mathrm{d}G = -S\mathrm{d}T + V\mathrm{d}p$ | $(\mathrm{d}G)_{T,p}=0$ | $\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial p}\right)_T = -\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_p$ |

Table 1.6The Maxwell relations.



$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial V}\right)_{S} &= -\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial S}\right)_{V} \\ \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial p}\right)_{S} &= \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right)_{p} \\ \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V}\right)_{T} &= \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)_{V} \\ \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial p}\right)_{T} &= -\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}\right)_{p} \end{split}$$

| Therm | odynamic | e Square | $\mathrm{d}U = T\mathrm{d}S - p\mathrm{d}V$ |
|-------|----------|----------|--|
| -S | U | V | dH = TdS + Vdn |
| Н | | А | |
| -p | G | Т | $\mathrm{d}A = -S\mathrm{d}T - p\mathrm{d}V$ |

dG = -SdT + Vdp

Definition of G = H - TS dG = dH - T dS - S dTDefinition of H = U + PV dH = dU + PdV + V dPDefinition of dU = dw + dqNo shaft work and reversible (2'd law) dU = -PdV + TdSSo, dH = -PdV + TdS + PdV + VdP = TdS + VdP dG = TdS + VdP - TdS - SdT= VdP - SdT



Triple Product Rule

Suppose F = F(x, y), then

$$dF = (\partial F / \partial x)_y \, dx + (\partial F / \partial y)_x \, dy \tag{6.14}$$

Consider what happens when dF = 0 (i.e., at constant F). Then,

Useful Rules for thermodynamic differentials

| Derive the expression for $C_p - C_V$ | -S | U | V |
|--|----|---|---|
| $C_{p} - C_{v} = \alpha^{2} VT/\kappa_{T}$ $\alpha = (1/V) (dV/dT)_{p}$ | Н | | А |
| $\kappa_{T} = (1/V) (dV/dP)_{T}$ | -р | G | Т |

 $C_{V} = (dU/dT)_{V}$ From the Thermodynamic Square $dU = TdS - pdV \text{ so } C_{V} = (dU/dT)_{V} = T (dS/dT)_{V} - p (dV/dT)_{V}$ Second term is 0 dV at constant V is 0 $(dS/dT)_{V} = C_{V}/T$ Similarly $C_{p} = (dH/dT)_{p}$ From the Thermodynamic Square $dH = TdS + Vdp \text{ so } C_{p} = (dH/dT)_{p} = T (dS/dT)_{p} - V (dp/dT)_{p}$ Second term is 0 dp at constant p is 0 $(dS/dT)_{p} = C_{p}/T$

Write a differential expression for dS as a function of T and V dS = $(dS/dT)_V dT + (dS/dV)_T dV$ using expression for C_V above and Maxwell for $(dS/dV)_T$ dS = $C_V/T dT + (dp/dT)_V dV$ use chain rule: $(dp/dT)_V = -(dV/dT)_p (dP/dV)_T = V\alpha / (V\kappa_T)$ Take the derivative for C_p : $C_p/T = (dS/dT)_p = C_V/T (dT/dT)_p + (\alpha/\kappa_T)(dV/dT)_p = C_V/T + (V\alpha^2/\kappa_T)$ $C_p - C_V = \alpha^2 VT/\kappa_T$



Figure 1.8 Standard Gibbs energy of solid, liquid and gaseous aluminium relative to the standard Gibbs energy of solid aluminium at T = 0 K as a function of temperature (at p = 1 bar).



Figure 1.9 Standard Gibbs energy of graphite and diamond at T = 298 K relative to the standard Gibbs energy of graphite at 1 bar as a function of pressure.

Phases at Equilibrium, Chemical Potential or partial molar Gibbs free energy (For multiple phases and/or components)

Consider water and water vapor at equilibrium. A molecule of water can leave liquid water and join the water vapor due to thermal energy. At equilibrium it can just as likely leave water vapor to join liquid water. We are not considering the interfacial energy.

The total number of moles in the container (system), n_{total} , is fixed. But the number in liquid water can change by $dn_{liquid} = -dn_{vapor}$. This change would change the Gibbs free energy (we are doing an experiment at constant atmospheric pressure).

 $\mu_{\text{lig}} = (dG_{\text{lig}}/dn_{\text{lig}}) = (dG_{\text{vap}}/dn_{\text{vap}}) = \mu_{\text{vap}} \text{ at equilibrium}$

so that the change for liquid = -the change for vapor;

 $dn_{liq} = -dn_{vap}$ for conservation of mass.

 $dn_{liq} \mu_{liq} = dn_{vap} \mu_{vap}$

 μ_{vap} is called the chemical potential of water in the vapor phase.

Chemical potential *always* has two qualifiers, of *what component* in *what phase*.

 μ_{vap} is the partial molar Gibbs Free Energy of water in the vapor phase.

H, S, V can also have partial molar values in the same way usually signified by a bar.

| | -S | U | V |
|---|----|---|---|
| Gibbs-Duhem Equation | | | |
| (For multiple phases and/or components) | Н | | А |
| $G = \sum_{i} n_{i} \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial n_{i}} \right)_{T, p, n_{j \neq i}} = \sum_{i} n_{i} \overline{G}_{i} = \sum_{i} n_{i} \mu_{i}$ | -p | G | Т |

Consider a binary system A + B makes a solution

 $G = n_{\rm A} \mu_{\rm A} + n_{\rm B} \mu_{\rm B}$

$$\mathrm{d}G = n_{\mathrm{A}}\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{A}} + \mathrm{d}n_{\mathrm{A}}\mu_{\mathrm{A}} + n_{\mathrm{B}}\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{B}} + \mathrm{d}n_{\mathrm{B}}\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$$

Fundamental equation with chemical potential:

$$\mathrm{d}G = -S\mathrm{d}T + V\mathrm{d}p + \sum_{i} \mu_i \,\mathrm{d}n_i$$

At constant T and p: ^{*i*}

$$\mathrm{d}G = \mu_{\mathrm{A}}\mathrm{d}n_{\mathrm{A}} + \mu_{\mathrm{B}}\mathrm{d}n_{\mathrm{B}}$$

So, at constant T and p:

$$n_{\rm A} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\rm A} + n_{\rm B} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\rm B} = 0$$
 i.e. $\sum_{i} n_i \mathrm{d}\mu_i = 0$

Reintroducing the T and p dependences:

$$SdT - Vdp + \sum_{i} n_i d\mu_i = 0$$

Gibbs-Duhem Equation

Changes in the chemical potential of the phases are related to the temperature and pressure through the entropy and specific volume (Often used under isothermal and isobaric conditions)²⁷

Corrosion in nanoparticles can be higher or lower

Journal of The Electrochemical Society, 2020 167 041501 1945-7111/2020/167(4)/041501/7/\$40.00 © 2020 The Electrochemical Society ("ECS"). Published on behalf of ECS by IOP Publishing Limited

ECS

Size-dependent Electrochemical Properties of Binary Solid Solution Nanoparticles

Hongxin Ma,^{1,2} Panpan Gao,^{1,3} Ping Qian,^{1,3,z} and Yanjing Su^{1,2,z}

¹Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Materials Genome Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing, 100083, People's Republic of China ²Corrosion and Protection Center, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, People's Republic of China

Gibbs-Duhem Equation

³Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, People's Republic of China

It is well known that there is a linear relationship between the Gibbs free energy and the pressure *P* of solids. Because of low compressibility, the solid volume *V* expanded into an exponential series with respect to pressure can be mainly described by the zero-order term. According to the Gibbs-Duhem equation,⁴⁸ $\Sigma N_i d\mu_i = -SdT + Vdp$, the linear form of the chemical potential dependent on pressure follows³⁸

$$\Delta \mu = \int_{P_1}^{P_2} V(P) dP = \int_{P_1}^{P_2} V_m \exp(-\chi P) dP \approx V_m \Delta P \qquad [15]$$

where V_m is the partial molar volume of the corresponding component, χ is the compressibility coefficient of the solid, and ΔP is the change in the absolute value of the hydrostatic stress. In

 σ = the stress (ΔP) so the contribution to chemical potential from stress is proportional to σ V depending on geometry Consider a binary solution in a nanoparticle. There is significant stress on the surface, s, compared to the core, c. Break particle into core and surface regions with different stress, σ_c , σ_s , molar composition of the solute in core, x_c , and surface, x_s . Assume equilibrium, $\mu_c = \mu_s$. "In" term is gaseous mixing entropy, 0 terms are for infinite dilution. $\mu_c^s = \mu_{c,0} + RT \ln x_c^s - \bar{V}_c^s \sigma_c$

$$\mu_s^s = \mu_{s,0} + RT \ln x_s^s - 2\bar{V}_s^s \sigma_s / 3$$

For the two components with an electric potential the equilibrium corrosion potential can be calculated for each component which changes with particle size.

$$\overline{\mu}^{B} = \mu^{B} + RT \ln(1 - x_{s}^{s}) + 2|\sigma_{s}| \ \underline{V}_{s}^{B}/3 + ZF\phi$$
[16]

$$\overline{\mu}^A = \mu^A + RT \ln x_s^s + 2|\sigma_s| \underline{V}_s^A/3 + ZF\phi$$
[17]

where μ is the reference chemical potential of the corresponding stress-free pure metal atoms; ϕ is the inner electrical potential of the corresponding phase; F is the Faraday constant; \underline{V}_s is the partial molar volume of the corresponding atoms in the surface shell, the superscript of A and B denoting the alloying solute and In the equilibrium state, the total Gibbs free energy change in Eq. 14 $\Delta G = 0.34,35$ Consequently, the corresponding equilibrium potential^{34,35,38} of these electrode reactions developed on the surface of binary solid solution can be written as

$$\dot{\phi}_{e}^{B} = \phi_{M} - \phi_{sol} = \phi_{e}^{B} - \frac{RT \ln(1 - x_{s}^{s}) + 2|\sigma_{s}| \ \underline{V}_{s}^{B}/3}{ZF}$$
[18]

$$\vec{\phi}_{e}^{A} = \phi_{M} - \phi_{sol} = \phi_{e}^{A} - \frac{RT \ln x_{s}^{s} + 2|\sigma_{s}| \ \underline{V}_{s}^{A}/3}{ZF}$$
[19]

where $\phi_e = (\mu_M z_+ + Z\mu_{e^-} - \mu_M)/(ZF)$ is the equilibrium potential of the corresponding pure bulk materials neglecting their surface stress. Then, the difference in the equilibrium potential between the solvent and solute atoms in the surface shell of binary solid solution can be expressed as

$$\Delta \dot{\phi}_{e}^{AB} = (\phi_{e}^{B} - \phi_{e}^{A}) + \frac{1}{ZF} \left(RT \ln \frac{x_{s}^{s}}{1 - x_{s}^{s}} + \frac{2|\sigma_{s}|(\underline{Y}_{s}^{A} - \underline{Y}_{s}^{B})}{3} \right)$$
[20]

As all we know, if $\Delta \dot{\phi}_e^{AB} \neq 0$, anodic polarization will occur in the lower potential atom phase and cathodic polarization will occur in the higher potential atom phase. That implies that the anodic corrosion in the lower potential atom phase occurs more quickly, while the corrosion in the higher potential atom phase is inhibited, resulting in selective corrosion or galvanic corrosion. Many



Figure 1. Variations in the stresses in the surface shell (a) and the stresses in the core (b) as a function of the CuZn binary solid solution nanoparticle radius and the apparent Zn concentration.



Figure 2. Variations in the Zn concentrations in the surface shell x_s^s (a), the Zn concentrations in the core x_c^s (b) and the Zn concentration ratios between surface shell and core (x_s^s/x_c^s) (c) as a function of the CuZn binary solid solution nanoparticle radius and the apparent Zn concentration.



Figure 3. Variations in the equilibrium potential difference between the Cu and Zn atoms in the surface shell of CuZn binary solid solution nanoparticle as a function of the nanoparticle radius and the apparent Zn concentration.



Figure 4. Variations in the equilibrium potential difference between the dissolved Zn atoms in the CuZn nanoparticles and the pure bulk Zn as a function of the nanoparticle radius and the apparent Zn concentration.



Figure 5. Variations in the ratio of the anodic current density (a) and the ratio of the real anodic current density (b) between the dissolved Zn atoms in the CuZn nanoparticles and the pure bulk Zn as a function of the nanoparticle radius and the apparent Zn concentration.