## Surfaces impact the free energy



It takes energy to form surfaces

Small particles dissolve easier

There are limits to grinding, fine powdered sugar is about $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Figure 6.1 The molar enthalpy of formation of NaCl as a function of the cube edge $a$ of the NaCl crystal cubes.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{r}^{3}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{r}^{3} \mathrm{r}^{3}+\sigma \mathrm{r}^{2} / \mathrm{r}^{3} \quad \text { Surfaces impact the free energy }
$$

$$
=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}+\sigma / \mathrm{r}
$$



It takes energy to form surfaces

Small particles dissolve easier

There are limits to grinding, fine powdered sugar; about $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Figure 6.1 The molar enthalpy of formation of NaCl as a function of the cube edge $a$ of the NaCl crystal cubes.
C. Knieke et al. / Powder Technology 195 (2009) 25-30


Fig. 3. SEM picture of quartz particles after grinding for 50 h .
rg. 2. Distinction between apparent and true grinding limit.

Liquid-gas or solid-gas interface is called a surface For surfaces we define a surface tension, $\sigma$, energy/area

Liquid/liquid or solid/liquid or solid/solid is just called an interface For interfaces we define the interfacial energy, $\gamma$, energy/area


Figure 6.2 (a) Illustration of a real physical interface between two homogeneous phases $\alpha$ and $\beta$. (b) The hypothetical Gibbs dividing surface $\Sigma$.

## Adsorption (not Absorption) see video

Surface Excess Moles

$$
n_{i}^{\sigma}=n_{i}-n_{i}^{\alpha}-n_{i}^{\beta}
$$

Adsorption of i

$$
\Gamma_{i}=n_{i}^{\sigma} / A_{\mathrm{s}}
$$


(a)

(b)

The adsorption of "i"
There could be surface excess "i" or surface depletion of "i" $\Gamma_{i}$ can be positive or negative

$$
U^{\sigma}=U-U^{\alpha}-U^{\beta}
$$

## Surface Excess Properties

$$
\begin{gathered}
S^{\sigma}=S-S^{\alpha}-S^{\beta} \\
V^{\sigma}=V-V^{\alpha}-V^{\beta}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

What is the change in internal energy by introduction of a surface?

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\qquad \mathrm{d} U=T \mathrm{~d} S-p \mathrm{~d} V+\sum_{i} \mu_{i} \mathrm{~d} n_{i} \\
\text { V doesn't change } \quad \mathrm{d} U=T \mathrm{~d} S+\sum_{i} \mu_{i} \mathrm{~d} n_{i} \\
\text { Surface excess } \quad \mathrm{d} U^{\sigma}=\mathrm{d} U-\mathrm{d} U^{\alpha}-\mathrm{d} U^{\beta} \quad \mathrm{d} U^{\alpha}=T \mathrm{~d} S^{\alpha}+\sum_{C}^{C} \mu_{i} \mathrm{~d} n_{i}^{\alpha} \\
\mathrm{d} U^{\beta}=T \mathrm{~d} S^{\beta}+\sum_{i=1}^{C} \mu_{i} \mathrm{~d} n_{i}^{\beta} \\
\mathrm{d} U^{\sigma}=T\left(\mathrm{~d} S-\mathrm{d} S^{\alpha}-\mathrm{d} S^{\beta}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{C} \mu_{i}\left(\mathrm{~d} n_{i}-\mathrm{d} n_{i}^{\alpha}-\mathrm{d} n_{i}^{\beta}\right) \\
\mathrm{d} U^{\sigma}=T \mathrm{~d} S^{\sigma}+\sum_{i=1}^{C} \mu_{i} \mathrm{~d} n_{i}^{\sigma}
\end{array}
$$

## Two additional contributions to the surface energy

1) Surface Area and 2) Curvature Energy Terms, $c_{x}=1 / r_{x}, c_{y}=1 / r_{y}$

If the thickness is much smaller than ryou can ignore curvature

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} U^{\sigma}=T \mathrm{~d} S^{\sigma}+\sum_{i} \mu_{i} \mathrm{~d} n_{i}^{\sigma}+\sigma \mathrm{d} A_{\mathrm{S}} \\
& \mathrm{~d} U^{\alpha}=T \mathrm{~d} S^{\alpha}+\sum_{i=1}^{c} \mu_{i} \mathrm{~d} n_{i}^{\alpha}-p^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} V^{\alpha} \\
& \mathrm{d} U^{\beta}=T \mathrm{Td} S^{\beta}+\sum_{i=1}^{c} \mu_{i} \mathrm{~d} n_{i}^{\beta}-p^{\beta} \mathrm{d} V^{\beta} \\
& \mathrm{d} U=T\left(\mathrm{~d} S^{\sigma}+\mathrm{d} S^{\alpha}+\mathrm{d} S^{\beta}\right) \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{i=1}^{C} \mu_{i} \mathrm{~d} n_{i}^{\sigma}+\mathrm{d} n_{i}^{\alpha}+\mathrm{d} n_{i}^{\beta}\right)-p^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} V^{\alpha}-p^{\beta} \mathrm{d} V^{\beta}+\sigma \mathrm{d} A_{\mathrm{s}} \\
& \mathrm{~d} U= \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

For V/L or V/S

Definition of Surface Tension

$$
\sigma=\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial A_{\mathrm{s}}}\right)_{S, V^{\alpha}, V^{\beta}, n_{i}}
$$



## Derive Laplace Equation

## Curved Interface (Laplace Equation $\mathbf{p}_{\text {sat }} \sim \sigma / \mathbf{r}$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
T^{\alpha}=T^{\beta}=T^{\sigma} \quad \mu_{i}^{\alpha}=\mu_{i}^{\beta}=\mu_{i}^{\sigma} \\
\text { Pressure reaches equilibrium } \\
\mathrm{d} U=-p^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} V^{\alpha}-p^{\beta} \mathrm{d} V^{\beta}+\sigma \mathrm{d} A_{\mathrm{s}} \\
\mathrm{~d} V^{\alpha}=A_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~d} l=-\mathrm{d} V^{\beta} \overbrace{\beta}^{\alpha \mathrm{d}^{\uparrow}} \\
\mathrm{d} A_{\mathrm{s}}=\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) A_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~d} l \\
\mathrm{dA} \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathbf{0} \text { for flat surface } \mathrm{Area} \mathbf{x} \text { Distance = Energy/Area } \\
\mathrm{d} U=\left(p^{\beta}-p^{\alpha}\right) A_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~d} l+\sigma\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) A_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~d} l=\left[\left(p^{\beta}-p^{\alpha}\right)+\sigma\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)\right] A_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~d} l
\end{gathered}
$$

Change in internal energy is proportional to the change in interfacial width

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{d} U=\left[\left(p^{\beta}-p^{\alpha}\right)+\sigma\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)\right] A_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~d} l \\
\text { At equilibrium }(\mathrm{d} U)_{S, V, n_{i}}=0, \\
p^{\beta}-p^{\alpha}=\sigma\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)=\sigma\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Laplace Equation

For a $100 \mathrm{~nm}\left(1 \mathrm{e}^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}\right)$ droplet of water in air ( $72 \mathrm{e}^{-7} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ or $7.2 \mathrm{~Pa}-\mathrm{cm}$ ) Pressure is 720 MPa (7,200 Atmospheres)

## For S/S, S/L, L/L

## Solid interface in a 1-component system

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d} G=-S \mathrm{~d} T+V \mathrm{~d} p+\gamma \mathrm{d} A_{\mathrm{s}} \\
\mathrm{~d} W_{T, p}=\gamma \mathrm{d} A_{\mathrm{s}} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Work to create the interface } \\
\text { Interfacial energy, } \gamma
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

H A

Surface creation always has an energy penalty.
$\gamma$ is always positive
Nano-particles are unstable (increase in free energy with a surface)
Differences in surface energy for different crystal surfaces leads to fibrous or lamellar crystals

## Crystal surface energy ~ number of bonds * bond energy Density of bonds decreases with Miller Indices

| FCC | Nearest Neighbors | Number of bonds (Each pair share a bond) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $[111]$ | 6 | 3 |
| $[110]$ | 12 | 6 |
| $[100]$ | 8 | 4 |

Liquid droplets minimize surface area for a given volume So, Spheres form

At high temperatures crystalline solids also form spheres Because surface energy becomes less important

Consider a crystal with constant volume $\quad V=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{v=1}^{N} A_{v} h_{v} \quad$ with N facets.
Draw a vector from the center of a crystal to a face, $h_{v}$.

## Wulff Construction

$$
\text { Surface excess energy } \Delta G_{i}=\sum_{j} \gamma_{j} O_{j} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { O is area } \\
& \gamma \text { surface energy }
\end{aligned}
$$

Draw a vector from the center of a crystal to a face.
Gibbs-Wulff Theorem states that the length of the vector is proportional to the surface energy

$$
h_{j}=\lambda \gamma_{\mathrm{j}} \quad \text { Higher energy surfaces grow preferentially ( } \lambda \text { is a constant) }
$$

Minimization to find the lowest free energy $\quad \delta\left(\sum_{j} \gamma_{j} O_{j}\right)_{V_{c}}=\sum_{j} \gamma_{j} \delta\left(O_{j}\right) V_{v_{c}}=0$
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is proportional to the volume of a facet so for constant volume: $\quad \delta\left(V_{c}\right)_{V_{c}}=\frac{1}{3} \delta\left(\sum_{j} h_{j} O_{j}\right)_{V_{c}}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\sum_{j} h_{j} \delta\left(O_{j}\right)\right)_{V_{c}}+\sum_{j} O_{j} \delta\left(h_{j}\right)\right)_{V_{c}}=0 \quad \text { And for constant volume: } O_{1} \delta\left(h_{1}\right)_{V_{c}}+O_{2} \delta\left(h_{2}\right)_{V_{c}}+\ldots=0 \\
& \sum_{j} h_{j} \delta\left(O_{j}\right)_{V_{c}}=0 \quad \text { And } \quad \sum_{j} \gamma_{j} \delta\left(O_{j}\right)_{V_{c}}=0 \\
& \text { So: } \quad \sum_{j}\left(h_{i}-\lambda \gamma_{j}\right) \delta\left(O_{j}\right)_{V_{c}}=0 \quad \text { And } \quad h_{j}=\lambda \gamma_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

R. F. Strickland-Constable: Kinetics and Mechanism of Crystallization, page 77, Academic Press, 1968.

Diffusion rates and twinning can alter the crystal shape for large crystals

## Pressure difference for solid crystal facets

$$
p^{\alpha}-p^{\beta}=2 \frac{\gamma_{1}}{h_{1}}=2 \frac{\gamma_{v}}{h_{v}}=\ldots=2 \frac{\gamma_{N}}{h_{N}} \quad \text { (Force/length)/length }=\text { Force/Area }
$$

replace $\gamma_{v} / h_{v}$ with $\gamma / r$ for near-spherical crystals

$$
p^{\beta}-p^{\alpha}=\sigma\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)=\sigma\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)
$$

## Periodic Table Groups and Periods

Agroup is a column on the periodic table. Elements have the same number of valence electrons.
A period is a row on the periodic table. Elements have the same number of electron shells.
There are 7 periods.


|  | ${ }^{58} \mathrm{Ce}$ $\underset{\substack{\text { ceutum } \\ \text { ce0.115 }}}{ }$ |  |  | $\sum_{\substack{\text { Pronembum } \\ \text { Pratiun }}}^{51}$ |  | ${ }^{63}$ EuEuspoiva <br> is1.64 |  | ${ }^{65} \mathrm{~Tb}$ Teps.an |  | ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Ho}$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { Holmium } \\ & 164.930 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{89} \mathrm{AC}$ <br> ${ }_{\substack{\text { Antinum } \\ 27.028}}^{\text {Cit }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Thorium } \\ 232038}}{\text { To }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{94} \mathrm{Pu}$ $\begin{gathered} \text { Pituaium } \\ 249.044 \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { catmmo } \\ \text { cationo }}}^{96}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Periodic Table Groups and Periods

Agroup is a column on the periodic table. Elements have the same number of valence electrons.
A periqdis a row on the periodic table. Elements have the same numb这 of electron shells.


|  | ${ }^{58} \mathrm{Ce}$ <br> $\underset{\substack{\text { cerium } \\ \text { ce0.16 }}}{ }$ | ${ }^{59} \mathrm{Pr}_{2}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{63} \text { Euverum }$ | ${ }^{64} \mathrm{Gd}$ | ${ }^{65}$ b |  | ${ }^{67} \mathrm{Ho}$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { Holmium } \\ & 164.930 \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { thatum } \\ 169.93}}^{\text {¢9 }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 <br> Ac <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { citum } \\ \text { 267,070 }}}^{96}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {callforium }}$ |  |  | ( Md |  |  |



Figure 6.5 Periodic trends in the surface tension of selected liquid elements in periods 2-6 at their melting temperature [8].

| $\underset{1}{\mathrm{H}}$ |  | Group 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{He}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{3}{\mathrm{Li}}$ | Be | Group 6 is a group of transition metal elements. The aufbau principle predicts that they will each have a valence electron configuration of $\mathrm{d}^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{2}$. However, chromium and molybdenum are exceptions to this rule and have a valence electron configuration of d $\mathrm{d}^{5} \mathrm{~s}^{1}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B | c | $\mathrm{N}$ | $0$ | $F$ | $\underset{10}{\mathrm{Ne}}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Na} \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{12}{\mathrm{Mg}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Al | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{S i} \\ & { }_{14} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P} \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{16}{\mathbf{S}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl} \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{18}{\mathrm{Ar}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{K} \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{20}{\text { Ca }}$ | Sc | $\mathrm{Ti}$ | $\underset{23}{\mathbf{V}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cr} \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{25}{\mathrm{Mn}}$ | $\underset{26}{\mathrm{Fe}}$ | $\underset{27}{\text { Co }}$ | $\underset{2}{\mathrm{Ni}}$ | $\underset{29}{\mathrm{Cu}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Zn} \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{31}{\mathrm{Ga}}$ | $\mathrm{Ge}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Se} \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Br} \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Kr} \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rb } \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sr} \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y} \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{40}{\mathrm{Zr}}$ | $\underset{41}{\mathrm{Nb}}$ | Mo | Tc 43 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ru} \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{45}{\mathrm{Rh}}$ | $\underset{46}{\mathrm{Pd}}$ | $\underset{47}{\mathrm{Ag}}$ | $\mathrm{Cd}_{48}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { 50 }}{\mathbf{S n}}$ | $\underset{51}{\mathbf{S b}}$ | Te <br> 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{X e}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cs} \\ 55 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ba} \\ 56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { La } \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{72}{\mathrm{Hf}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ta} \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\underset{75}{\mathbf{R e}}$ | $\underset{76}{\mathrm{Os}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ir} \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pt} \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{79}{\mathrm{Au}}$ | $\underset{80}{\mathrm{Hg}}$ | $\underset{81}{\mathrm{Tl}}$ | $\underset{82}{\mathrm{~Pb}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bi} \\ & 83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Po } \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{85}{\text { At }}$ | $\underset{86}{\mathrm{Rn}}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fr} \\ { }_{87} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ra } \\ 88 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ac } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rf} \\ 104 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Db } \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sg } \\ & \hline 106 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bh} \\ & 107 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Hs} \\ 108 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mt } \\ & 109 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ds } \\ & \text { n11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Rg} \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Cn}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Nh} \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fl } \\ 114 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mc} \\ & 115 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lv } \\ & { }_{116} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ts } \\ & 117 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Og} \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Ce}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pr} \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Nd} \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{61}{\text { Pm }}$ | $\underset{62}{\text { Sm }}$ | $\underset{63}{\mathrm{Eu}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gd } \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tb} \\ 65 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dy } \\ & 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{67}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Er} \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{69}{\text { Tm }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Yb} \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{71}{\mathrm{Lu}}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Th } \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pa} \\ & 91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{U} \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{93}{\mathrm{~Np}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pu} \\ & 94 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{95}{\text { Am }}$ | $\underset{96}{\mathrm{Cm}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bk } \\ 97 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{98}{\mathrm{Cf}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Es } \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{100}{\text { Fm }}$ | $\underset{101}{\mathrm{Md}}$ | No <br> 102 | $\underset{103}{\underset{103}{\mathrm{Lr}}}$ |  |  |

Strength of bonding impacts surface tension d-block transition metals have strong bonds


Figure 6.6 Surface tension of the liquid elements at $T_{\text {fus }}, \sigma^{\lg }$ (open squares), and surface energy of the solid elements at $0 \mathrm{~K}, \gamma^{\text {sg }}$ (filled circles), versus $\Delta_{\text {fus }} H_{\mathrm{m}} V_{\mathrm{m}}^{-2 / 3}$ [8].

Table 6.1 Surface tension or average surface energy of some solid and liquid substances [12, 13].

| Substance | $\sigma \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{J} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{l})\left(1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.098 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{l})\left(2050{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.69 |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(\mathrm{l})\left(1800{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.307 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{l})\left(100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.06 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{l})\left(1200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.4 |
| $\mathrm{NiS}(\mathrm{l})\left(1200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.577 |
| $\mathrm{PbS}(\mathrm{l})\left(1200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.2 |
| $\mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{l})\left(1200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.094 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1)\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.072 |
|  | $\gamma^{\mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{J} \mathrm{m}}{ }^{-2}$ |
| $\mathrm{LiF}(\mathrm{s})\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{CaF} 2(\mathrm{~s})\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.45 |
| $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s})\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.227 |
| $\mathrm{MgO}(\mathrm{s})\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 1.2 |



Figure 6.7 Average grain boundary energy, $\gamma^{\mathrm{gb}}$, surface energy of crystals at $0 \mathrm{~K}, \gamma^{\mathrm{sg}}$, and surface tension $\sigma^{\lg }$ of liquid $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{Ni}$ and Pt as a function of melting temperature $T_{\text {fus }} V_{\mathrm{m}}^{-2 / 3}[8,11]$.


Figure 6.8 Surface tension of fused salts as a function of melting temperature normalized
with the molar volume $T_{\text {vap }} V_{\mathrm{m}}^{-2 / 3}[14,15]$.

Empirical relationship for the temperature dependence, entropy at interface is high, $\mathrm{n} \sim 1.2$ for metals.

$$
\sigma^{\lg }=\sigma_{\mathrm{o}}^{\lg }\left(1-\frac{T}{T_{\mathrm{c}}}\right)^{n} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { For a liquid with its own vapor } \\
& \text { Reminiscent of } \Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{H}\left(1-\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{T}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Liquid/Vapor surface

## From Hiemenz's Book



Force to increase a 2d film area
You apply the force to the the side $l$ and the opposite side 1 so 21 . $\mathrm{F} \sim 2 l$ or $\mathrm{F} /(2 l)=\gamma$

FIG. 6.2 Illustrations of liquid film formation, contact angle, and measurement of contact angle: (a) a wire loop with a slide wire on which a liquid film might be formed and stretched by an applied force $\mathbf{F}$. (b) profile of a three-phase (solid, liquid, gas) boundary that defines the contact angle $\theta$. (c) the tilted plate method for measuring contact angles.


FIG. 6.3 Surface tension and capillary rise: (a) the Wilhelmy plate method for measuring $\gamma$; (b) schematic illustration of capillary rise in a cylindrical tube of radius $R_{c}$.
sions as forces acting along the perimeter of the drop enables us to write immediately an equation that describes the equilibrium force balance in the horizontal direction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{L V} \cos \theta=\gamma_{S V}-\gamma_{S L} \quad \text { Young-Dupré Equation } \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 6.6 Components of interfacial tension needed to derive Young's equation.
Equation (6.39) was first derived by Young, and is often referred to as the Young-Dupré equation. We usually distinguish between full $\left(\theta<90^{\circ}\right)$ and partial wetting $\left(\theta>90^{\circ}\right)$ and an alternative measure of the same property is given by the wetting coefficient:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{\gamma^{\mathrm{sg}}-\gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}}{\sigma^{\lg }}=\cos \theta \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$



## $t$ is the tangent vector along the surface at the point of contact, to is a force in the tangent direction

Figure 6.9 Two-dimensional projection of equilibrium at a plane of contact between three phases $\alpha, \beta$ and $\chi$ where the angles between the three two-phase boundaries meeting in a line of contact are denoted $\theta^{\alpha}, \theta^{\beta}$ and $\theta^{\chi}$.
$a=b \frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \beta}$

$\frac{\sin A}{a}=\frac{\sin B}{b}=\frac{\sin C}{c}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{\alpha \beta} \boldsymbol{t}^{\alpha \beta}+\sigma^{\beta \chi} \boldsymbol{t}^{\beta \chi}+\sigma^{\alpha \chi} \boldsymbol{t}^{\alpha \chi}=0 \\
\frac{\sigma^{\alpha \beta}}{\sin \theta^{\chi}}=\frac{\sigma^{\beta \chi}}{\sin \theta^{\alpha}}=\frac{\sigma^{\alpha \chi}}{\sin \theta^{\beta}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

This defines the observed angles in a micrograph in terms of the surface tension for the various phases


Figure 6.10 Contact angle $\theta$ of a liquid drop resting on a solid surface. The definition of the forces used in the figure eliminates the contribution from gravity.

$$
\gamma^{\mathrm{sg}}=\gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}+\sigma^{\lg } \cos \theta=0 \quad \text { Young-Dupre Equation }
$$



Figure 3: Coexistence of three fluid ${ }^{\text {a }}$ phases in mutual contact; here, $a, \beta$, and $\theta$ each indicate both a phase and its contact angle.

Three phases and three angles Define the phase by the angle

Take the $\alpha, \theta$ line as the vector direction then $\gamma^{\alpha \theta}+\gamma^{\theta \beta} \cos (\theta)+\gamma^{\beta \alpha} \cos (\alpha)=0$ using the dot product of the vectors For the $\theta, \beta$ line as the vector direction then $\gamma^{\alpha \theta} \cos (\theta)+\gamma^{\theta \beta}+\gamma^{\alpha \beta} \cos (\beta)=0$ using the dot product of the vectors For the $\alpha, \beta$ line as the vector direction then $\gamma^{\alpha \theta} \cos (\alpha)+\gamma^{\theta \beta} \cos (\beta)+\gamma^{\alpha \beta}=0$ using the dot product of the vectors


```
\(\beta\) is a flat rigid surface, \(\beta=\pi\)
\(\gamma^{\alpha \theta} \cos (\theta)+\gamma^{\theta \beta}+\gamma^{\alpha \beta} \cos (\beta)=0\)
\(\gamma^{\mathrm{g} \mathrm{l}} \cos (\theta)+\gamma^{\mathrm{ls}}-\gamma^{\mathrm{gs}}=0\)
\(S=\gamma_{S G}-\left(\gamma_{S L}+\gamma_{L G}\right) \quad\) Spreading Parameter: \(\mathrm{S}>0\) wets; \(\mathrm{S}<0\) partially wets
\(S=\gamma_{L G}(\cos (\theta)-1) \quad\) For \(\mathrm{S}<0\)
```



Figure 6.11 (a) Definition of the dihedral angle, $\phi$, at a junction of three grain boundaries in a polycrystalline solid. (b) Schematic illustration of the shape of an inclusion phase for different dihedral angles.

## Dihedral Angle in Microscopy



$$
\gamma^{\alpha \alpha}-2 \gamma^{\alpha \beta} \cos \left(\frac{\phi}{2}\right)=0
$$



Figure 6.12 Grain boundary after polishing (a) and after the subsequent thermal etching (b).

Figure 6.13 Surface of thermally etched $\mathrm{La}_{0.5} \mathrm{Sr}_{0.5} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.5} \mathrm{Co}_{0.5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, a polycrystalline ceramic material.

## Thomson's (or Kelvin's) equation

$$
\ln \frac{p^{\mathrm{g}}}{p_{r=\infty}^{\mathrm{g}}}=\frac{V^{1}}{R T} \frac{2 \sigma^{\lg }}{r}
$$

Pressure for equilibrium of a liquid droplet of size " r "


Figure 6.14 The vapour pressure of Zn over a spherical droplet of molten Zn at the melting temperature as a function of the droplet radius. $p_{\mathrm{Zn}}^{\mathrm{o}}=2 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{bar}, \sigma^{\mathrm{gg}}=0.78 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ and $\rho=$ $6.58 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ [8].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} \mu^{1}=\mathrm{d} \mu^{\mathrm{g}} \begin{array}{l}
\text { Reversible equilibrium } \\
V^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~d} p^{\mathrm{g}}=V^{1} \mathrm{~d} p^{1} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { At constant temperature } \\
\mathrm{d} p^{1}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{g}} / V^{1} \mathrm{dp}^{\mathrm{g}}
\end{array} \\
\mathrm{~d}\left(p^{\mathrm{g}}-p^{1}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{2 \sigma^{\mathrm{lg}}}{r}\right) \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Differential Laplace equation }
\end{array} \\
\frac{V^{\mathrm{g}}-V^{1}}{V^{1}} \mathrm{~d} p^{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{2 \sigma^{\lg }}{r}\right) \\
V^{\mathrm{g}}=R T / p^{\mathrm{g}}
\end{array} \\
& \frac{V^{\mathrm{g}}-V^{1} \approx V^{\mathrm{g}}}{V^{1}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} p^{\mathrm{g}}}{p^{\mathrm{g}}}=\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{2 \sigma^{\mathrm{lg}}}{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Small drops evaporate, large drops grow

In the absence of nuclei, the initial bubbles on boiling can be very small These bubbles are unstable due to high pressure so boiling can be prevented leading to a superheated fluid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -S^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~d} T+V^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~d} p^{\mathrm{g}}=-S^{1} \mathrm{~d} T \quad \text { Equilibrium } \\
& V^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~d} p^{\mathrm{g}}=\left(S^{\mathrm{g}}-S^{1}\right) \mathrm{d} T=\left(H^{\mathrm{g}}-H^{1}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} T}{T} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d} T}{T^{2}}=\frac{R}{\Delta_{\text {vap }} H_{\mathrm{m}}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} p^{\mathrm{g}}}{p^{\mathrm{g}}} \quad \text { Ideal gas } \\
& \frac{\mathrm{d} T}{T^{2}}=\frac{R}{\Delta_{\text {vap }} H_{\mathrm{m}}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}\left(2 \sigma^{\lg / r)}\right.}{\left(p^{1}+\left[2 \sigma^{\lg / r])}\right.\right.} \quad \text { Laplace equation for pressure } \\
& \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)_{r=\infty}-\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)_{r}=\frac{R}{\Delta_{\text {vap }} H_{\mathrm{m}}} \ln \left(1+\frac{2 \sigma^{\mathrm{lg}} / r}{p^{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Smaller bubbles at higher temperatures

Figure 6.15 The boiling temperature of Na as a function of the radius of a vapour bubble surrounded by molten $\mathrm{Na} . \Delta_{\text {vap }} H_{\mathrm{m}}=101.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\sigma^{\mathrm{lg}}=0.19 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ [8].

## Solubility and Size, r

Consider a particle of size $r_{i}$ in a solution of concentration $x_{i}$ with activity $a_{i}$

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(p^{\mathrm{s}}-p^{1}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{2 \gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}}{r}\right) \quad \text { Derivative form of the Laplace equation } p^{\beta}-p^{\alpha}=\sigma\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)=\sigma\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)
$$
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$$
\mathrm{d} \mu_{i}^{1}=\mathrm{d} \mu_{i}^{\mathrm{s}}=V_{i}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{s}}=V_{i}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~d}\left(\frac{2 \gamma^{\mathrm{s}}}{r}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\mu_{i}^{1}\right)_{r}-\left(\mu_{i}^{1}\right)_{r=\infty}=V_{i}^{\mathrm{s}} \frac{2 \gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}}{r}
$$

For an incompressible solid phase

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{A}}=\mu_{\mathrm{A}}^{*}+R T \ln a_{\mathrm{A}} \quad \text { Definition of activity }
$$

$$
\ln \frac{\left(x_{i}^{1}\right)_{r}}{\left(x_{i}^{1}\right)_{r=\infty}}=\frac{V_{i}^{\mathrm{s}}}{R T} \frac{2 \gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}}{r}
$$

Solubility increases exponentially with reduction in size, r

$$
\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{r}=\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{r=\infty} \exp \left(2 \gamma^{s} /(\rho R T r)\right)
$$

Small particles dissolve to build large particles with lower solubility
-To obtain nanoparticles you need to supersaturate to a high concentration (far from equilibrium). -Low surface energy favors nanoparticles. (Such as at high temperatures) -High temperature and high solid density favor nanoparticles.

Supersaturation is required for any nucleation

## Critical Nucleus and Activation Energy for Crystalline Nucleation (Gibbs)

Bulk decreases free energy

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{1-\mathrm{s}} G=-\frac{4}{3} \pi r^{3}\left(\frac{\rho}{M}\right) \Delta_{\text {fus }} G_{\mathrm{m}}+4 \pi r^{2} \gamma^{\mathrm{sl}} \\
(M / \rho) \text { is molar volume }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \Delta_{1-\mathrm{s}} G}{\mathrm{~d} r}=-4 \pi r^{2}\left(\frac{\rho}{M}\right) \Delta_{\mathrm{fus}} G_{\mathrm{m}}+8 \pi r \gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}
$$

maximum $\mathrm{d} \Delta_{1-\mathrm{s}} G / \mathrm{d} r=0 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Barrier energy for nucleation at the critical nucleus size } \\ & \text { beyond which growth is spontaneous }\end{aligned}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
r^{*}=\left[2(M / \rho) \gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}\right] / \Delta_{\mathrm{fus}} G_{\mathrm{m}} \\
\Delta_{1-\mathrm{s}} G^{*}=\frac{16 \pi\left(\gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}\right)^{3} M^{2}}{3 \rho^{2} \Delta_{\mathrm{fus}} G_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

Homogeneous (assume a sphere of radius $r$ )
$\Delta G_{\text {hото }}=\frac{4 \pi}{3} r^{3} \Delta G_{V}+4 \pi r^{2} \gamma$
$\frac{\mathrm{d} \Delta G_{\text {homo }}}{d r}=0=4 \pi r^{* 2} \Delta G_{V}+8 \pi r^{*} \gamma$
$r_{\text {homo }}^{*}=\frac{2 \gamma}{\Delta G_{V}}$

## Critical Nucleus and Activation Energy for Crystalline Nucleation (Gibbs)

$\Delta G_{\text {homo }}^{*}=\frac{4 \pi 8 \gamma^{3}}{3 \Delta G_{V}{ }^{2}}+\frac{4 \pi 4 \gamma^{3}}{\Delta G_{V}{ }^{2}}=\frac{80 \pi \gamma^{3}}{3 \Delta G_{V}{ }^{2}}=\frac{20 \pi \gamma r_{\text {homo }}^{* 2}}{3}$

Heterogeneous (assume a disk of radius $r$, thickness $t$ nucleating on the same material)
$\Delta G_{\text {hetero }}=\pi t r^{2} \Delta G_{V}+2 \pi r t \gamma$
$\frac{\mathrm{d} \Delta G_{\text {hetero }}}{d r}=0=2 \pi t r^{*} \Delta G_{V}+2 \pi t \gamma$
$r_{\text {hetero }}^{*}=\frac{\gamma}{\Delta G_{V}}=\frac{r_{\text {homo }}^{*}}{2}$
$\Delta G_{\text {hetero }}^{*}=\frac{\pi t \gamma^{2}}{\Delta G_{V}}+\frac{2 \pi t \gamma^{2}}{\Delta G_{V}}=\frac{3 \pi t \gamma^{2}}{\Delta G_{V}}=\frac{9 t \Delta G_{V} \Delta G_{\text {homo }}^{*}}{80 \gamma}=\frac{3 \pi t \gamma r_{\text {homo }}^{*}}{2}=\frac{9 t}{40 r_{\text {homo }}^{*}} \Delta G_{\text {homo }}^{*}$
$\Delta G_{\text {hetero }}^{*}=\frac{9 t}{80 r_{\text {hetero }}^{*}} \Delta G_{\text {homo }}^{*}$
$\frac{t}{r_{\text {hetero }}^{*}} \ll 1$ and $\frac{9}{80}<1$ so $\Delta G_{\text {hetero }}^{*} \ll \Delta G_{\text {homo }}^{*}$

## Critical Nucleus and Activation Energy for Crystalline Nucleation (Gibbs)

$$
r^{*}=\left[2(M / \rho) \gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}\right] / \Delta_{\mathrm{fus}} G_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \Delta_{1-\mathrm{s}} G^{*}=\frac{16 \pi\left(\gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}\right)^{3} M^{2}}{3 \rho^{2} \Delta_{\mathrm{fus}} G_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{fus}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}=\Delta_{\mathrm{fus}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{T} \Delta_{\mathrm{fus}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{m}}
$$

Lower T leads to larger $\Delta_{\text {fus }} G_{m}$ (Driving force for crystallization) smaller $\mathrm{r}^{*}$ and smaller $\Delta_{\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{G}^{*}$


Figure 6.16 (a) The critical radius $\left(r^{*}\right)$ and thermodynamic barrier for nucleation of Al ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{*}$ ) versus degree of supercooling $T / T_{\text {fus }}$. (b) The Gibbs energy of a spherical Al crystal relative to the supercooled $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{l})$ as a function of its radius. $\Delta_{\text {fus }} H_{\mathrm{m}}=10.794 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, T_{\text {fus }}$ $=933.47 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\rho=2.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}[8]$.

Deep quench, far from equilibrium leads to nanoparticles

$$
\Delta_{1-\mathrm{s}} G=-\frac{4}{3} \pi r^{3}\left(\frac{\rho}{M}\right) \Delta_{\mathrm{fus}} G_{\mathrm{m}}+4 \pi r^{2} \gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}
$$

One form of the Gibbs-Thompson Equation

## Ostwald Ripening

Dissolution/precipitation mechanism for grain growth Consider small and large grains in contact with a solution

$$
\left(\mu_{i}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{r^{\prime}}-\left(\mu_{i}^{\mathrm{s}}\right)_{r^{\prime \prime}}=2 V_{i}^{\mathrm{s}} \gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}\left(\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{r^{\prime \prime}}\right)
$$

Grain Growth and Elimination of Pores


Figure 6.17 The difference in the chemical potential of $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{s})$ between a spherical particle with radius $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a smaller particle with radius $r . \rho=18.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ and $\gamma^{\mathrm{sl}}=1.38 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ [21].

$$
p^{\beta}-p^{\alpha}=\sigma\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)=\sigma\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{PV}=\mu
$$

## Heterogeneous versus Homogeneous Nucleation

 factors that affect heterogeneous nucleation


Three droplets on a surface,
illustrating decreasing contact angles.
The contact angle the droplet surface makes with the solid horizontal surface decreases from left to right.

$$
\Delta G^{h e t}=f(\theta) \Delta G^{h o m}, \quad f(\theta)=\frac{2-3 \cos \theta+\cos ^{3} \theta}{4}
$$



## Formation of a surface nucleus versus a bulk nucleus from n monomers

Homogeneous

$$
\Delta G_{n}=-n \phi+\psi_{n} \quad \phi=\mu-\mu_{-}=k T \ln \left(\frac{x}{x_{n}}\right)
$$

$\psi_{n}=\mu_{n}^{0}-n \mu_{0} \quad \psi_{n}=a_{n} \gamma=\gamma\left(\frac{27 \beta v_{i}^{2}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{2}{3}}=\psi n^{\frac{2}{3}}$
Bulk vs n-mer
So, surface excess chemical potential

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta G_{n} & =-\phi n+\psi n^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
n^{*} & =\left(\frac{2 \psi}{3 \phi}\right)^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta G^{*}=\frac{4 \psi^{3}}{27 \phi^{2}}=\frac{\psi n^{* \frac{2}{3}}}{3}=\frac{\phi n^{*}}{2}
$$

Heterogeneous (Surface Patch)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta G_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}=-\phi n^{\prime}+\psi_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime} \\
& \psi_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}=2 \pi r h \gamma=2 \gamma \sqrt{\pi h v n^{\prime}}=\psi^{\prime} n^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Surface energy from the sides of the patch

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta G_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}=-\phi n^{\prime}+\psi^{\prime} n^{\prime \frac{1}{2}} \\
n^{\prime *}=\left(\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{2 \phi}\right)^{2} \\
\Delta G^{*}=\frac{\psi^{\prime 2}}{4 \phi}=\frac{\psi^{\prime} \sqrt{n^{\prime *}}}{2}=\phi n^{\prime *}=\frac{\pi h v_{1} \gamma^{2}}{\phi}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Barrier is half the height for surface nucleation

 Stable size is half the size$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta G^{*}=\frac{4 \psi^{3}}{27 \phi^{2}}=\frac{\psi n^{*}{ }^{\frac{2}{3}}}{3}=\frac{\phi n^{*}}{2} & \Delta G^{*}=\frac{\psi^{\prime 2}}{4 \phi}=\frac{\psi^{\prime} \sqrt{n^{\prime} *}}{2}=\phi n^{*}=\frac{\pi h v_{1} \gamma^{2}}{\phi} \\
r^{*}=\frac{2 \gamma v_{1}}{\phi}=2 r^{\prime *} & r^{*}=\sqrt{\frac{n^{\prime *} v_{1}}{\pi h}}=\frac{\gamma v_{1}}{\phi}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Fig. IX-1. Variation of $\Delta G$ with droplet size for water vapor at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at four times the saturation pressure. (From Ref. 2.)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G=-n \Delta \mu+4 \pi r^{2} \gamma \tag{IX-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

condensation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G_{\mathrm{cond}}=-n \Delta \mu=-n k T \ln \frac{P}{P^{0}}=-n k T \ln x \tag{IX-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P^{0}$ is the pressure or activity of the liquid phase. The number of molecules in the cluster is related to its size

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n=\frac{4 \pi}{3} r^{3} \hat{\rho} \\
n_{c}=\frac{32 \pi \gamma^{3}}{3 \hat{\rho}^{2} \Delta \mu^{3}} & \text { (IX-4) } \\
r_{c}=\frac{2 \gamma}{\hat{\rho} \Delta \mu} & \Delta G_{\max }=\frac{16 \pi \gamma^{3}}{3 \hat{\rho}^{2} \Delta \mu^{2}}=\frac{4 \pi r_{c}^{2} \gamma}{3} \\
\text { (IX-5) } \tag{IX-6}
\end{array}
$$

The final equation obtained by Becker and Doring may be written down immediately by means of the following qualitative argument. Since the flux $I$ is taken to be the same for any size nucleus, it follows that it is related to the rate of formation of a cluster of two molecules, that is, to $Z$, the gas kinetic collision frequency (collisions per cubic centimeter-second).

For the steady-state case, $Z$ should also give the forward rate of formation or flux of critical nuclei, except that the positive free energy of their formation amounts to a free energy of activation. If one correspondingly modifies the rate $Z$ by the term $e^{-\Delta G_{\max } / k T}$, an approximate value for $I$ results:

Growth rate I is related to
collisions Z and Boltzmann
probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=Z \exp \frac{-\Delta G_{\max }}{k T} \tag{IX-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

While Becker and Doring obtained a more complex function in place of $Z$, its numerical value is about equal to $Z$, and it turns out that the exponential term, which is the same, is the most important one. Thus the complete expression is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{Z}{n_{c}}\left(\frac{\Delta G_{\max }}{3 \pi k T}\right)^{1 / 2} \exp \frac{-\Delta G_{\max }}{k T} \tag{IX-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{c}$ is the number of molecules in the critical nucleus. The quantity $\left(1 / n_{c}\right)\left(\Delta G_{\max } / 3 \pi k T\right)^{1 / 2}$ has been called the Zeldovich factor $[8,9]$.

The case of nucleation from a condensed phase, usually that of a melt, may be treated similarly. The chief modification to Eq. IX-7 that ensues is in the frequency factor; instead of free collisions between vapor molecules, one now has a closely packed liquid phase. The rate of accretion of clusters is therefore related to the diffusion process, and the situation was treated by Turnbull and Fisher [13]. Again, the reader is referred to the original literature for the detailed derivation, and the final equation is justified here only in terms of a qualitative argument. If one considers a crystalline nucleus that has formed in a supercooled melt, then the rate at which an additional molecule may add can be regarded as determined by the frequency with which a molecule may jump from one position in the liquid to another just at the surface of the solid. Such a jump is akin to those involved in diffusion, and the frequency may be approximated by means of absolute rate theory as being equal to the frequency factor $k T / h$ times an exponential factor containing the free energy of activation for diffusion. The total rate of such occurrences per cubic centimeter of liquid is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=n \frac{k T}{h} \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta G_{D}}{k T}\right) \tag{IX-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the number of molecules of liquid per cubic centimeter. The steadystate treatment is again used, and the final result is analogous to Eq. IX-7:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=n \frac{k T}{h} \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta G_{D}}{k T}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta G_{\max }}{k T}\right) \tag{IX-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Three forms of the Gibbs-Thompson Equation

Ostwald-Freundlich Equation

$$
x=x_{\infty} \exp \left(\frac{2 v_{1} \gamma}{r k T}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}=\text { supersaturated mole fraction } \\
& \mathrm{x}_{\infty}=\text { equilibrium mole fraction } \\
& v_{1}=\text { the molar volume }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta G=-n \phi+\gamma A \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Free energy of formation for an n-mer } \\
\text { nanoparticle from a supersaturated } \\
\text { solution at T }
\end{array} \\
& \phi=\mu-\mu_{\infty}=k T \ln \left(\frac{x}{x_{\infty}}\right) \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Difference in chemical potential between } \\
\text { a monomer in supersaturated conditions } \\
\text { and equilibrium with the particle of size } \mathrm{r}
\end{array} \\
& \frac{d(\Delta G)}{d n}=0=-\phi+\omega_{1} \frac{d A}{d V} \quad \text { At equilibrium } \\
& \text { For a sphere } \quad \mathrm{A}=4 \pi r^{2}=(4 \pi)^{\frac{1}{3}} 3^{\frac{2}{3}} V^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{d A}{d V} \sim \frac{2}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Three forms of the Gibbs-Thompson Equation

Ostwald-Freundlich Equation $\quad x=x_{\infty} \exp \left(\frac{2 v_{1} \gamma}{r k T}\right)$

Areas of sharp curvature nucleate and grow to fill in. Curvature $\kappa=1 / \mathrm{r}$

$$
x=x_{\infty} \exp \left(\frac{2\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\right) v_{1} \gamma}{k T}\right)
$$

## Three forms of the Gibbs-Thompson Equation

$$
r=\frac{B \gamma T_{\infty}}{\Delta H_{f}\left(T_{\infty}-T\right)}
$$

Third form of GT Equation/ Hoffman-Lauritzen Equation $B$ is a geometric factor from 2 to 6

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta S=\frac{\Delta H}{T_{\infty}} \quad \text { Crystallize from a melt, so supersaturate by a deep quench } \\
\Delta f=0 \sim r^{3}\left(\Delta H_{f}-\frac{T}{T_{\infty}} \Delta H_{f}\right)-B r^{2} \gamma=r^{3} \frac{\Delta H_{f}}{T_{\infty}}\left(T_{\infty}-T\right)-B r^{2} \gamma \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Free energy of a } \\
\text { crystal formed at } \\
\text { supercooled } \\
\text { temperature T }
\end{array} \\
\frac{T}{T_{\infty}}=1-\frac{B \gamma}{r \Delta H_{f}} \sim \exp \left(\frac{-B \gamma}{r \Delta H_{f}}\right) \quad x=x_{\infty} \exp \left(\frac{2\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\right) v_{1} \gamma}{k T}\right) \quad x=x_{\infty} \exp \left(\frac{2 v_{1} \gamma}{r k T}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## For fine grain particles at times a high Gibbs free energy polymorph forms

$\alpha-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ is the stable form but $\gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ forms for small particles $\gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ has a lower surface energy


Figure 6.19 The enthalpy of transition of $\gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ to $\alpha-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ as a function of the surface area of the nanocrystalline particles [25].

## Adsorption (Adherence to surface, can be chemical or physical)



Figure 6.21 Schematic illustration of the concentration of component A across an interface. The Gibbs dividing surface is positioned such that it gives zero adsorption of component A since the algebraic sum of the two shaded areas with opposite sign is zero.

Physical adsorption: Low enthalpy of adsorption; reversible adsorption isotherm
Chemical adsorption: Large enthalpy of adsorption; irreversible; chemical change to surface Hysteresis in adsorption isotherm


Figure 1.12. Adsorption- and desorption isotherm of $\mathrm{N}_{2}(5.0)$ on standardized material CRMBAM-PM-104 at $77.3 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{p}_{0}=1 \mathrm{~atm}$, [1.36].


## Gibbs Adsorption Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Internal Energy of System: } \quad U=T S-p^{\alpha} V^{\alpha}-p^{\beta} V^{\beta}+\sum_{i=1}^{C} n_{i} \mu_{i}+\sigma A_{\mathrm{s}} \\
& \text { Surface Excess Internal Energy: } \quad U^{\sigma}=T S^{\sigma}+\sum_{i=1}^{C} n_{i}^{\sigma} \mu_{i}+\sigma A_{\mathrm{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Differential Form with respect to the area: $\mathrm{d} U^{\sigma}=T \mathrm{~d} S^{\sigma}+\sum_{i} \mu_{i} \mathrm{~d} n_{i}^{\sigma}+\sigma \mathrm{d} A_{\mathrm{s}}$
Subtract the total derivative from the differential form yields the Gibbs-Duhem for Surface

$$
S^{\sigma} \mathrm{d} T+\sum_{i=1}^{C} n_{i}^{\sigma} \mathrm{d} \mu_{i}+A_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma=0
$$

$$
\Gamma_{i}=n_{i}^{\sigma} / A_{\mathrm{s}}
$$

Gibbs Adsorption Equation $\mathrm{d} \sigma=-\frac{S^{\sigma}}{A_{\mathrm{s}}} \mathrm{d} T-\sum_{i=1}^{C} \Gamma_{i} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{i}$

Gibbs Adsorption Equation $\mathrm{d} \sigma=-\frac{S^{\sigma}}{A_{\mathrm{s}}} \mathrm{d} T-\sum_{i=1}^{C} \Gamma_{i} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gibbs-Duhem Equation: } \sum_{i} n_{i} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{i}=0 \quad \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\mathrm{A}}=-\frac{x_{\mathrm{B}}}{x_{\mathrm{A}}} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{\mathrm{B}} \\
& -\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} \mu_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)_{T}=\left[\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}-\left(\frac{x_{\mathrm{A}}}{x_{\mathrm{B}}}\right) \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\right] \\
& \left.\mathrm{d} \sigma / \mathrm{d} \ln \left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{T}}=-\mathrm{RT}\left[\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}-\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Adsorption, $\Gamma$, depends on the position of the "surface"

$$
\Gamma_{i}=n_{i}^{\sigma} / A_{\mathrm{s}}
$$

Relative Adsorption, doesn't $\quad \Gamma_{i}-\Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\frac{c_{i}^{\alpha}-c_{i}^{\beta}}{c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\alpha}-c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\beta}}\right)$

$$
\Gamma_{i}=\frac{n_{i}^{\sigma}}{A_{\mathrm{s}}}=\frac{1}{A_{\mathrm{s}}}\left(n_{i}-n_{i}^{\alpha}-n_{i}^{\beta}\right)=\frac{1}{A_{\mathrm{s}}}\left(n_{i}-c_{i}^{\alpha} V^{\alpha}-c_{i}^{\beta} V^{\beta}\right)
$$

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{1}{A_{\mathrm{s}}}\left[n_{\mathrm{A}}-c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\alpha} V-\left(c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\beta}-c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\alpha}\right) V^{\beta}\right] \quad \mathrm{V}^{\alpha} \text { is the volume of the } \alpha \text {-phase }
$$

Relative Adsorption $\Gamma_{i}-\Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\frac{c_{i}^{\alpha}-c_{i}^{\beta}}{c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\alpha}-c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\beta}}\right)=\frac{1}{A_{\mathrm{s}}}\left[\left(n_{i}-c_{i}^{\alpha} V\right)-\left(n_{\mathrm{A}}-c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\alpha} V\right)\left(\frac{c_{i}^{\alpha}-c_{i}^{\beta}}{c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\alpha}-c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\beta}}\right)\right]$

Multiply second equation by c ratio then subtract, it doesn't depend on the position of the surface.

## Relative Adsorption

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}=\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}-\Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{B}}^{\alpha}-c_{\mathrm{B}}^{\beta}}{c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\alpha}-c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\beta}}\right)
$$



Gibbs Surface $\Sigma$ is located where there is no net adsorption of A

Figure 6.21 Schematic illustration of the concentration of component A across an interface. The Gibbs dividing surface is positioned such that it gives zero adsorption of component A since the algebraic sum of the two shaded areas with opposite sign is zero.

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} \mu_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)_{T}=\left[\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}-\left(\frac{x_{\mathrm{A}}}{x_{\mathrm{B}}}\right) \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\right] \\
\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}=\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}-\Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{B}}^{\alpha}-c_{\mathrm{B}}^{\beta}}{c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\alpha}-c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\beta}}\right) \\
\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}=\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}^{(A)}=-\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \mu_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)_{T} \quad \text { for } \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Solutes that reduce the surface tension are adsorbed

## Henry's Law for Surfaces (surface impurities change surface tension)

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}=\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}^{(A)}=-\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \mu_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)_{T} \text { for } \Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}=0
$$

For an ideal gas $\mu_{B}=R T \ln p_{B}$ where $p_{B}$ is the partial pressure of $B$

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}=\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}^{(A)}=-\frac{1}{R T}\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \ln p_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)_{T}
$$

Define $\mathbf{j}$ as the surface activity of an impurity

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\text { Surface Activity of B } \quad j_{\mathrm{B}}=-\frac{1}{R T}\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)_{x_{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow 1} \quad \text { At infinite dilution so Henry's Law Regime } \\
p_{i}=x_{i} p_{i}^{*}
\end{array} j_{\mathrm{B}}=-\frac{1}{R T}\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)_{x_{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow 1}=-\frac{1}{R T}\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \mu_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)_{x_{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow 1}\left(\frac{\partial \mu_{\mathrm{B}}}{\partial x_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)_{x_{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow 1}=+\frac{1}{R T} \Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}^{(\mathrm{A})}\left(\frac{\partial \mu_{\mathrm{B}}}{\partial x_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)_{x_{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow 1}\right) ~\left(\frac{\partial \ln a_{\mathrm{B}}}{\partial x_{\mathrm{B}}}\right)_{x_{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow 1} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { A small number of electronegative elements can } \\
& \text { have a large impact on surface energy of metals } \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{A}} \\
& \sim 1000 \text { for oxygen and sulfur }
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 6.22 Surface tension as a function of the concentration for some surface-active species in solid $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Cu}$ and Ag near their melting temperatures [27] and liquid Fe at 1550-1600 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [28].


Figure 6.23 Isothermal surface tension versus composition of some binary metals [28], oxide [29] and salt systems [14, 15].


Nitrogen (or Argon) adsorption


FIG. 9.3 Schematic illustration of a gas adsorption apparatus.

## Langmuir Equation (Wikipedia)

## Can be obtained using Equilibria or Kinetic Model

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}+\mathrm{S} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{ad}}
$$

1) The surface containing the adsorbing sites is a perfectly flat plane with no corrugations (assume the surface is homogeneous). However, chemically heterogeneous surfaces can be considered to be homogeneous if the adsorbate is bound to only one type of functional groups on the surface.
2) The adsorbing gas adsorbs into an immobile state.
3) All sites are energetically equivalent and the energy of adsorption is equal for all sites.
4) Each site can hold at most one molecule of A (mono-layer coverage only).
5) No (or ideal) interactions between adsorbate molecules on adjacent sites. When the interactions are ideal, the energy of side-to-side interactions is equal for all sites regardless of the surface occupancy.

## Langmuir Equation (Wikipedia)

## Can be obtained using Equilibria or Kinetic Model

## Equilibrium Reaction Model

Solvent (bound) + Solute (free) $\leftrightarrow$ Solvent (free) + Solute (bound)
Solvent $=1 ;$ Solute $=2 ; \mathrm{s}=$ surface bound; $\mathrm{b}=$ bulk solution free

$$
\begin{gathered}
K=\frac{a_{1}^{b} \times a_{2}^{s}}{a_{2}^{b} \times a_{1}^{s}} \quad a_{2}^{s}=X_{2}^{s}=\theta, a_{1}^{s}=X_{1}^{s}, \text { and } X_{1}^{s}+X_{2}^{s}=1 \\
\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{~b} \sim 1 \\
\theta=\frac{K \cdot a_{2}^{b}}{1+K \cdot a_{2}^{b}}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Langmuir Equation (Wikipedia)

## Can be obtained using Equilibria or Kinetic Model

## Kinetic Reaction Model

$r_{\mathrm{ad}}=k_{\mathrm{ad}} p_{A}[S], \quad p_{A}$ is the partial pressure of $A$ over the surface $r_{\mathrm{d}}=k_{d}\left[A_{\mathrm{ad}}\right], \quad[S]$ is the concentration of free sites in number $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$, [ $A_{\mathrm{ad}}$ ] is the surface concentration of $A$ in molecules $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (concentration of occupied sites)
$k_{\mathrm{ad}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{d}}$ are constants of forward adsorption reaction and backward desorption reaction

$$
\text { Setting } r_{\mathrm{ad}}=r_{\mathrm{d}} \quad \frac{\left[A_{\mathrm{ad}}\right]}{p_{A}[S]}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{ad}}}{k_{\mathrm{d}}}=K_{\mathrm{eq}}^{A}
$$

## Langmuir Equation (Wikipedia)

## Can be obtained using Equilibria or Kinetic Model

## Kinetic Reaction Model

We can then calculate the concentration of all sites by summing the concentration of free sites [ $S$ ] and occupied sites:

$$
\left[S_{0}\right]=[S]+\left[A_{\mathrm{ad}}\right] .
$$

Combining this with the equilibrium equation, we get $\quad \frac{\left[A_{\mathrm{ad}}\right]}{p_{A}[S]}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{ad}}}{k_{\mathrm{d}}}=K_{\text {eq }}^{A}$

$$
\left[S_{0}\right]=\frac{\left[A_{\mathrm{ad}}\right]}{K_{\mathrm{eq}}^{A} p_{A}}+\left[A_{\mathrm{ad}}\right]=\frac{1+K_{\mathrm{eq}}^{A} p_{A}}{K_{\mathrm{eq}}^{A} p_{A}}\left[A_{\mathrm{ad}}\right]
$$

We define now the fraction of the surface sites covered with $A, \theta_{A}$, as

$$
\theta_{A}=\frac{\left[A_{\mathrm{ad}}\right]}{\left[S_{0}\right]}
$$

This, applied to the previous equation that combined site balance and equilibrium, yields the Langmuir adsorption isotherm:

$$
\theta_{A}=\frac{K_{\mathrm{eq}}^{A} p_{A}}{1+K_{\mathrm{eq}}^{A} p_{A}}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{(\mathrm{g})}+\mathrm{S} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{AS}, \\
& \mathrm{~A}_{(\mathrm{g})}+\mathrm{AS} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \\
& \mathrm{~A}_{(\mathrm{g})}+\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \text { and so on. } \quad 1938 \text { Stephen Brunauer, Paul Emmett, and Edward Teller }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{P}_{0}\right) /\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ads}}\left(1-\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)\right)=1 /\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}} \mathrm{v}_{\text {mono ads }}\right)+\left(\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}-1\right) /\left(\mathrm{K}_{\text {eq }} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{mono}} \text { ads }\right)
$$



## Type I adsorption isotherm

Type I isotherms are those in which the pore size is not substantially larger than the molecular diameter of the sorbate molecules. This isotherm shows that the extent of adsorption increases with pressure until it reaches saturation, at which point no further adsorption occurs.

## Type II adsorption Isotherm

Isotherms of type II are generally observed in adsorbents that have a wide range of pore sizes i.e. macroporous. It is obtained when the bilayer is formed only after the monolayer has been fully formed, and the trilayer is formed only after the bilayer has been fully formed.

## Type III adsorption Isotherm

It is obtained when the formation of monolayers, bilayers, trilayers, and other layers all take place at the same time, resulting in an almost exponential increase in the amount of adsorption.

## Type IV adsorption isotherm

Type IV isotherm predicts the formation of two surface layers on the plane surface or on the wall of a pore much wider than the sorbate's molecular diameter i.e.e mesoporous.

## Type V adsorption isotherm

This type of adsorption isotherm is obtained only when intermolecular attraction effects are large, and adsorption takes place in pores and capillaries.

## Empirical power-law equation for adsorption

## Freundlich adsorption isotherm [edit]

The Freundlich adsorption isotherm is mathematically expressed as

$$
\frac{x}{m}=K p^{1 / n}
$$

It is also written as

$$
\log \frac{x}{m}=\log K+\frac{1}{n} \log p
$$

or

$$
\frac{x}{m}=K c^{1 / n}
$$

It is also written as

$$
\log \frac{x}{m}=\log K+\frac{1}{n} \log c
$$

where
$x=$ mass of adsorbate


Freundlich's original data for adsorption of acetic acid (page 392 in ${ }^{[3]}$ ) and a fit according to Freundlich's exponential law.
$m=$ mass of adsorbent
$p=$ equilibrium pressure of the gaseous adsorbate in case of experiments made in the gas phase (gas/solid interaction with gaseous species/adsorbed species)
$c=$ equilibrium concentration of adsorbate in case of experiments made with an aqueous solution in contact with a dispersed solid phase (dissolved species/adsorbed species).
$K$ and $n$ are constants for a given adsorbate and adsorbent at a given temperature (from there, the term isotherm needed to avoid significant gas pressure fluctuations due to uncontrolled temperature variations in the case of adsorption experiments of a gas onto a solid phase).

At high pressure $1 / n=0$, hence extent of adsorption becomes independent of pressure.


Figure 1.12. Adsorption- and desorption isotherm of $\mathrm{N}_{2}(5.0)$ on standardized material CRMBAM-PM-104 at $77.3 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{p}_{0}=1 \mathrm{~atm}$, [1.36].


FIG. 9.12 Five models for capillary condensation. The radius of the pore equals $r$, the radius of curvature of the spherical meniscus is $R_{s}$, and $t$ is the thickness of the adsorbed layer. The subscripts $a$ and $d$ refer to adsorption and desorption.

## Surface Energy Term and Block Co-Polymers



Fig. 4.27. Different classes of microphase separated structures in block copolymers, as exemplified by PS-block-PI. The numbers give the phase boundaries in terms of the volume fraction of the PS blocks. Figure taken from a review article by Bates and Frederickson [29]


Fig. 4.29. Phase diagram of a di-block copolymer in a schematic representation. The curve describes the points of transition between the homogeneous phase and the microphase separated states. The ordered states are split into different classes as indicated by the dashed boundary lines. They are only shown here for the region of higher values of $\chi N_{\mathrm{AB}}$ away from the phase transition line

Micro-Phase Separation


Fig. 4.28. SAXS curves measured for a series of PS-block-PI with different molar masses in the microphase separated state: (a) $M=2.1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, \phi(\mathrm{PS})=0.53$; (b) $M=3.1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, \phi(\mathrm{PS})=0.40$; (c) $M=4.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, \phi(\mathrm{PS})=$ 0.45 (left). Transmission electron micrographs obtained using ultra-thin sections of specimen stained with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (right). Structures belong to the layer regime. Data from Hashimoto et al. [30]

## How can you predict the phase size? (Meier and Helfand Theory) Consider lamellar micro-phase separation.

For a symmetric binary blend of polymers, the FH theory predicts a critical point at $\chi \mathrm{N}=2$. If the same two polymers are bonded, they microphase separate at $\chi \mathrm{N}=10.5$, the bonding makes the polymers more miscible.

$$
\Delta g_{\mathrm{p}}=\Delta h_{\mathrm{p}}-T \Delta s_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{if}}-T \Delta s_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{conf}}
$$

Enthalpy associated with phase segregation
Entropy associated with locating the junction points at the phase interface
Entropy associated with stretching the chains
$\chi>0$. Drives a positive enthalpic contribution that favors micro-phase separation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta h_{\mathrm{p}}=-k T \chi N_{\mathrm{AB}} \phi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(1-\phi_{\mathrm{A}}\right)+\Delta h_{\mathrm{p}, \text { if }} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Assume transition from perfectly } \\
\text { mixed to perfectly demixed }
\end{array} \\
\Delta h_{\mathrm{p}, \text { if }} \simeq k T \chi \frac{o_{\mathrm{p}} d_{\mathrm{t}}}{v_{\mathrm{c}}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { An interfacial layer of thickness } \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{t}}, \\
\text { Area per polymer chain } \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{p}}
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

## How can you predict the phase size? (Meier and Helfand Theory) Consider lamellar micro-phase separation.

For a symmetric binary blend of polymers, the FH theory predicts a critical point at $\chi \mathrm{N}=2$. If the same two polymers are bonded, they microphase separate at $\chi \mathrm{N}=10.5$, the bonding makes the polymers more miscible.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta g_{\mathrm{p}}= & \Delta h_{\mathrm{p}}-T \Delta s_{\mathrm{p}, \text { if }}-T \Delta s_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{conf}} \\
\Delta s_{\mathrm{p}, \text { if }} \simeq k \ln \frac{d_{\mathrm{t}}}{d_{\mathrm{A}}+d_{\mathrm{B}}} & \\
\Delta s_{\mathrm{p}, \text { conf }} \simeq-k\left(\frac{R}{R_{0}}\right)^{2} & \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}=\mathrm{Nl}^{2} \\
\mathrm{R}=\beta \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{AB}}=\beta\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)
\end{array} \\
\Delta s_{\mathrm{p}, \text { conf }} \simeq-k \beta^{2}\left(\frac{d_{\mathrm{AB}}}{R_{0}}\right)^{2} & \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{B}}
\end{array}
$$

## How can you predict the phase size？（Meier and Helfand Theory） Consider lamellar micro－phase separation．

For a symmetric binary blend of polymers，the FH theory predicts a critical point at $\chi \mathrm{N}=2$ ． If the same two polymers are bonded，they microphase separate at $\chi \mathrm{N}=10.5$ ，the bonding makes the polymers more miscible．

$$
o_{\mathrm{p}} d_{\mathrm{AB}}=N_{\mathrm{AB}} v_{\mathrm{c}}
$$

$$
\Delta g_{\mathrm{p}}=\Delta h_{\mathrm{p}}-T \Delta s_{\mathrm{p}, \text { if }}-T \Delta s_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{conf}}
$$

There is only one free parameter，for instance $o_{p}$ ， the cross－sectional area per polymer chain（Tom Witten，U Chicago）

$$
\frac{1}{k T} \Delta g_{\mathrm{p}}=-\chi N_{\mathrm{AB}} \phi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(1-\phi_{\mathrm{A}}\right)+\chi o_{\mathrm{p}} d_{\mathrm{t}} v_{\mathrm{c}}^{-1}+\ln \frac{d_{\mathrm{t}}}{o_{\mathrm{p}} d_{\mathrm{AB}}=N_{\mathrm{AB}} v_{\mathrm{c}}} ⿻ ⿰ 丿 乛 ⿱ 丨 又 寸, ~\left(\frac{d_{\mathrm{AB}}}{R_{0}}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} \Delta g_{\mathrm{p}}}=\chi \frac{d_{\mathrm{t}}}{}-2 \beta^{2} \frac{N_{\mathrm{AB}}^{2} v_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}{\mathrm{D}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Find the minimum in the free energy } \\
& \text { by varving } \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{k T} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \Delta g_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{~d} o_{\mathrm{p}}}=\chi \frac{d_{\mathrm{t}}}{v_{\mathrm{c}}}-2 \beta^{2} \frac{N_{\mathrm{AB}} v_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{o_{\mathrm{p}}^{3}} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Find the mınımum in the free energy } \\
& \text { by varying o } \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{p}} \\
& \text { Ignoring "ln" term that varies slowly }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
o_{\mathrm{p}}^{3} \propto 2 \frac{v_{\mathrm{c}}^{3}}{R_{0}^{2} d_{\mathrm{t}} \chi} N_{\mathrm{AB}}^{2} \quad R_{0}^{2} \propto v_{\mathrm{c}}^{2 / 3} N_{\mathrm{AB}} \quad o_{\mathrm{p}}^{3} \propto \frac{v_{\mathrm{c}}^{7 / 3}}{d_{\mathrm{t}} \chi} N_{\mathrm{AB}} \quad d_{\mathrm{AB}}^{3}=\frac{N_{\mathrm{AB}}^{3} v_{\mathrm{c}}^{3}}{o_{\mathrm{p}}^{3}} \propto \chi d_{\mathrm{t}} v_{\mathrm{c}}^{2 / 3} N_{\mathrm{AB}}^{2}
$$

How can you predict the phase size? (Meier and Helfand Theory)
Consider lamellar micro-phase separation.

$$
d_{\mathrm{AB}}^{3}=\frac{N_{\mathrm{AB}}^{3} v_{\mathrm{c}}^{3}}{o_{\mathrm{p}}^{3}} \propto \chi d_{\mathrm{t}} v_{\mathrm{c}}^{2 / 3} N_{\mathrm{AB}}^{2}
$$




Fig. 4.30. Set of samples of Fig. 4.28. Molecular weight dependence of the layer spacing $d_{\text {AB }}$

## Binary Correlation Function (Radial Distribution Function) and $S / V$ ratio

$\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{r})$ is the probability of finding a point " x " (diamond) in a phase and
of finding a point " $y$ " (circle) a distance " $r$ " from " $x$ " also in the phase
Consider small " r " compared to the particle size for a smooth/sharp interface (not a spinodal or diffuse interface)
Probability of " $x$ " being in the phase is the volume fraction " $\phi$ " of the particle phase.
There are three possibilities:


Yes for " x " = $\phi$
$\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{r})=$ Yes for " x " and for " y " $=\phi(1-(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{V}) \mathrm{r})$
So, this is a straight line that decays with (S/V)
For small " r " where the curvature of the phase doesn't matter and For smooth sharp interfaces, not diffuse and not rough interfaces

The Fourier transform of the correlation function is the X-ray or neutron scattering and small sizes are at large angles in the small angle regime for nanoparticles. The transform of this linear decay is a power-law decay of -4 slope, $I(q) \sim S / V q^{-4}$ Porod's Law

