## Zimm Model (Non-draining)

Zimm model predicts
$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{kT} / \xi=\mathrm{kT} /\left(6 \pi \eta_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{bN} \mathrm{N}^{1 / 2}\right) \sim \mathrm{N}^{-1 / \mathrm{df}}$
$\tau=\mathrm{N} \xi=6 \pi \eta_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{b} \mathrm{N}^{3 / 2}=6 \pi \eta_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{bN}^{3 / d \mathrm{df}}$

$$
\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle=2 D t
$$

$$
\eta \sim N^{3 / d f}-1=N^{0.5} \text { or } 0.8
$$

$$
\eta=\eta_{0}(1+[\eta] \phi) \sim \eta_{0}\left(1+\phi / \phi^{*}\right) \sim N^{3 / d_{f}-1}
$$

## Rouse Model

## Dilute Solution Chain <br> Dynamics of the chain <br> Rouse Motion

5.3 Specific Pelaxation Processes and Flow Behavior

235


Fig. 5.21. Molecular weight dependence of the relaxation time of the dielectric normal mode in cis-PIP. Data from Boese and Kremer [58]

Rouse model predicts relaxation time follows $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{kT} / \xi=\mathrm{kTN} / \tau$ follows $\mathrm{D} \sim \mathrm{kT} / \mathrm{N}$


Predicts that the viscosity will follow N which is true for low molecular weights in the melt and for fully draining polymers in solution

## Rouse Model

$$
\zeta \frac{d z_{l}}{d t}=k_{s p r}\left(z_{l+1}+z_{l-1}-2 z_{l}\right) \quad \text { Debye used ma for heat capacity }
$$

For $\mathrm{L}=\infty$ You have a wave solution with no modes for $\delta$

$$
z_{l} \sim e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} e^{i l \delta}
$$

Debye gets $\omega=(\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{m})^{1 / 2}$
$\tau$ is the relaxation time $\zeta / \mathrm{k}_{\text {spr }}$
$\delta$ is the phase shift between beads

There are four unknowns and two equations; $\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}, \tau, \delta$ So, we can solve for a relationship between $\tau$ and $\delta$

$$
\tau^{-1}=\frac{4 k_{s p r}}{\zeta} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)
$$



For $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}=10$


Fig. 8.2. Relaxation rates of Rouse modes as a function of the phase shift $\delta$. Marks on the inside of the abscissa show the mode positions for a cyclic chain with $N_{\mathrm{R}}=10$ beads, the marks on the outside give the modes of a linear chain with the same length. The lowest order Rouse modes of the two chains with relaxation rates $\tau_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1}$ are especially indicated by a filled square and a filled circle

## Cyclic Boundary Conditions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau^{-1}=\frac{b_{R}}{\zeta_{R}}(2-2 \cos \delta)=\frac{4 b_{R}}{\zeta_{R}} \sin ^{2} \frac{\delta}{2} \\
z_{l}=z_{l+N_{R}} \\
N_{R} \delta=m 2 \pi
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ values of phase shift

$$
\delta_{m}=\frac{2 \pi}{N_{R}} m ; \quad m=-\left(\frac{N_{R}}{2}-1\right), \ldots, \frac{N_{R}}{2}
$$

For $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}=10$


Fig. 8.2. Relaxation rates of Rouse modes as a function of the phase shift $\delta$. Marks on the inside of the abscissa show the mode positions for a cyclic chain with $N_{\mathrm{R}}=10$ beads, the marks on the outside give the modes of a linear chain with the same length. The lowest order Rouse modes of the two chains with relaxation rates $\tau_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1}$ are especially indicated by a filled square and a filled circle

## Free End Boundary Conditions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{l}-z_{0}=z_{N_{R}-1}-z_{N_{R}-2}=0 \\
\frac{d z}{d l}(l=0)=\frac{d z}{d l}\left(l=N_{R}-1\right)=0 \\
\quad\left(N_{R}-1\right) \delta=m \pi
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ values of phase shift

$$
\delta_{m}=\frac{\pi}{\left(N_{R}-1\right)} m ; \quad m=0,1,2, \ldots,\left(N_{R}-1\right)
$$

$N_{\mathrm{R}}$ Rouse Modes of order " $m$ "

The amplitude of the Rouse modes is given by:

$$
\left\langle Z_{m}^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{2}{3 \pi^{2}} \frac{R_{0}^{2}}{m^{2}}
$$

The amplitude is independent of temperature because the free energy of a mode is proportional to kT and the modes are distributed by Boltzmann statistics

$$
p\left(Z_{m}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{\langle F\rangle}{k T}\right)
$$

$\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ of the total mean-square end to end distance of the chain originates from the lowest order Rouse-modes so the chain can be often represented as an elastic dumbbell

## Rouse dynamics (like a dumbell response)

Dumbbell

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d x}{d t}=-\frac{\left(\frac{d U}{d x}\right)}{\zeta}+g(t)=-\frac{k_{s p r} x}{\zeta}+g(t) \\
& x(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} d t^{\prime} \exp \left(-\frac{t-t^{\prime}}{\tau}\right) g(t) \\
& \tau=\frac{\zeta}{k_{s p r}}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rouse } \\
& \tau_{R}=\frac{\zeta_{R}}{4 b_{R} \sin ^{2} \frac{\delta}{2}} \\
& \delta=\frac{\pi}{N_{R}-1} m, \quad \mathrm{~m}=0,1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{R}}-1
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 6.16. Storage shear moduli measured for a series of fractions of PS with different molar masses in the range $M=8.9 \times 10^{3}$ to $5.81 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. The dashed line in the upper right corner indicates the slope corresponding to the power law Eq. (8.82) derived for the Rouse model of the glass transition. Data from Onogi et al. [74]

## Some rules and approaches for complex constitutive parameters

Cox-Merz Rule time measurements are equivalent to frequency measurements. Complex viscosity and steady shear viscosity are equivalent measurements.

## Kramers-Kronig Relation

Since there is a response function, $\mu(\mathrm{t})$, from which all mechanical responses can be calculated, then the loss and storage moduli are related to each other through the Cauchy integral, $P()$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{\prime}(\omega)-i \alpha^{\prime \prime}(\omega) & =\left\{\int_{-}^{-} \mu(t) \cos (\omega t) d t\right\}-i\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{-} \mu(t) \sin (\omega t) d t\right\} \\
P\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{\omega-\omega_{0}} d \omega\right)= & \left.\lim \lim _{\phi \rightarrow 0}^{\left[\omega_{0}-\phi\right.} \frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{\omega-\omega_{0}} d \omega+\int_{\omega_{\infty}+\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{\omega-\omega_{0}} d \omega\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the Kramers-Kronig dispersion relations can be written using the Cauchy integral as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\alpha^{\prime}(\omega)=\frac{1}{\pi} P_{[ }^{[ } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(\omega)}{\omega-\omega_{0}} d \omega\right] \\
& \left.\alpha^{\prime \prime}(\omega)=\frac{1}{\pi} P^{[ } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{\prime}(\omega)}{\omega-\omega_{0}} d \omega\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the creep compliance, $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{t})$ because it is easier to model. (Stress relaxation is easier to measure, $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{t})$.)
Maxwell Model or Simple relaxor or Dumbell Model

## Dumbbell

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d x}{d t}=-\frac{\left(\frac{d U}{d x}\right)}{\zeta}+g(t)=-\frac{k_{s p r} x}{\zeta}+g(t) \\
& x(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} d t^{\prime} \exp \left(-\frac{t-t^{\prime}}{\tau}\right) g(t) \\
& \tau=\frac{\zeta}{k_{s p r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

# Debye Single Relaxation time "Relaxor" 

$$
J(t)=J_{0} \exp (-t / \tau)
$$

## For an oscillatory strain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J^{*}(\omega)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta J \exp (-t / \tau) \exp (-i \omega t) d t=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta J \exp (-t(1 / \tau+i \omega)) d t=\frac{\Delta J}{1 / \tau+i \omega} \\
& J^{*}(\omega)=\frac{\Delta J}{1 / \tau+i \omega}=\frac{\Delta J}{1 / \tau+i \omega} \frac{1 / \tau-i \omega}{1 / \tau-i \omega}=\frac{\Delta J / \tau}{1 / \tau+\tau^{2}+\omega^{2}}-i \frac{\omega \Delta J}{1 / \tau^{2}+\omega^{2}}=\frac{\tau \Delta J}{1+\tau^{2} \omega^{2}}-i \frac{\omega \tau \Delta J}{1+\tau^{2} \omega^{2}}=J^{\prime}-i J^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Maxwell Model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J^{*}(\omega)=\frac{\tau \Delta J}{1+\tau^{2} \omega^{2}}-i \frac{\omega \tau \Delta J}{1+\tau^{2} \omega^{2}}=J^{\prime}-i J^{\prime \prime} \\
& J^{\prime}=\frac{\tau \Delta J}{1+\tau^{2} \omega^{2}} \\
& =\frac{J^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\omega \tau \Delta J}{1+\tau^{2} \omega^{2}}}{10^{-\log (\omega \tau)}+10^{\log (\omega \tau)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cole-Cole Plot as an indication of a simple Debye relaxor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J^{*}(\omega)=J_{u}+\frac{\tau \Delta J}{1+\tau^{2} \omega^{2}}-i \frac{\omega \tau \Delta J}{1+\tau^{2} \omega^{2}}=J_{u}+J^{\prime}-i J^{\prime \prime} \\
& J_{\mathrm{u}} \text { is the unrelaxed compliance } \\
& {\left[J^{\prime}-\left(J_{u}+\frac{\Delta J}{2}\right)\right]^{2}+\left[J^{\prime \prime}\right]^{2}=\left[J^{\prime}-\left(J_{u}+\frac{\Delta J}{2}\right)\right]^{2}+\left[J^{\prime \prime}\right]^{2}=\left[\frac{2 J^{\prime}-2 J_{u}-\Delta J}{2}\right]^{2}+\left[J^{\prime \prime}\right]^{2}} \\
& =\left[\frac{2 \Delta J-\Delta J\left(1+\omega^{2} \tau^{2}\right)}{2\left(1+\omega^{2} \tau^{2}\right)}\right]^{2}+\left[\frac{\Delta J^{2} \omega^{2} \tau^{2}}{\left(1+\omega^{2} \tau^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]=\frac{\Delta J^{2}\left(1+\omega^{2} \tau^{2}\right)^{2}}{4\left(1+\omega^{2} \tau^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\Delta J^{2}}{4} \quad(x-a)^{2}+(y-b)^{2}=r^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 6.6. Cole-Cole plots of dielectric data obtained for a dipole carrying a rod-like molecule of low molar mass (left) and a polysiloxane with these molecules attached as side-groups (right) [67]

Shear compliance, $J^{*}(\omega)$, easy to calculate. Shear modulus, $\mathrm{G}^{*}(\omega)$, easy to measure.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
J^{*}(\omega)=J_{u}+\frac{\Delta J}{1-i \omega \tau} \quad G^{*}(\omega)=\frac{1}{J^{*}(\omega)}=\frac{1-i \omega \tau}{J_{u}(1-i \omega \tau)+\Delta J}=\frac{1}{J_{u}}-\frac{\Delta J}{J_{u} J_{r}} \frac{1}{1-i \omega \hat{\imath}}=G_{u}+\frac{\Delta G}{1-i \omega \hat{\imath}} \\
J_{r}=J_{u}+\Delta J ; \hat{\imath}=\frac{\tau J_{u}}{J_{r}} ; G_{r}=\frac{1}{J_{r}} ; G_{u}=\frac{1}{J_{u}} ; \Delta \mathrm{G}=G_{u}-G_{r}
\end{array}
$$

$$
J^{*}(\omega)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta J \exp (-t / \tau) \exp (-i \omega t) d t=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta J \exp (-t(1 / \tau+i \omega)) d t=\frac{\Delta J}{1 / \tau+i \omega}
$$

In the terminal flow regime


At long times, the Maxwell element is just viscous

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma(t)=J(t) \tau_{0} \quad \tau(t)=G(t) \gamma_{0} \\
\tau=\eta_{0} \frac{d \gamma}{d t}=\tau_{0} \eta_{0} \frac{d J(t)}{d t} \quad \frac{d J(t)}{d t}=\frac{1}{\eta_{0}} \quad \text { slope is } \frac{1}{\eta_{0}} \text { at long times }
\end{gathered}
$$

In the terminal flow regime for the dynamic compliance

$$
\text { At low } \omega \sim 1 / t \quad J^{*}(\omega)=J_{e}^{0}+i \frac{1}{\eta_{0} \omega}
$$

In the terminal flow regime/low frequency regime


In the terminal flow regime for the dynamic compliance

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { At low } \omega \sim 1 / t \quad J^{*}(\omega)=J_{e}^{0}+i \frac{1}{\eta_{0} \omega} \\
G^{*}(\omega \rightarrow 0)=\frac{1}{J^{*}(\omega \rightarrow 0)}=\frac{\eta_{0} \omega}{\eta_{0} \omega J_{e}^{0}+i}=\frac{\left(\eta_{0} \omega\right)^{2} J_{e}^{0}-i \eta_{0} \omega}{\left(\eta_{0} \omega J_{e}^{0}\right)^{2}+1} \\
G^{\prime}(\omega \rightarrow 0)=\left(\eta_{0} \omega\right)^{2} J_{e}^{0} \quad G^{\prime \prime}(\omega \rightarrow 0)=\eta_{0} \omega
\end{gathered}
$$

## Rouse



Fig. 6.16. Storage shear moduli measured for a series of fractions of PS with different molar masses in the range $M=8.9 \times 10^{3}$ to $5.81 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. The dashed line in the upper right corner indicates the slope corresponding to the power law Eq. (8.82) derived for the Rouse model of the glass transition. Data from Onogi et al. [74]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rouse } \\
& G^{\prime}(\omega) \propto\left(\eta_{0} \omega\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Modulus has units of energy per volume, MPa
Each mode of vibration, p , in the Rouse Model has

$$
\tau_{p}=\tau_{0}\left(\frac{N}{p}\right)^{2}
$$

Larger p modes have smaller $\tau_{\mathrm{p}}$ so larger $\mathbf{p}$ modes are relaxed when $\mathrm{t}=\tau_{\mathrm{p}}$ When $\mathrm{t}=\tau_{\mathrm{p}}$ there are $\mathbf{p}$ unrelaxed modes composed of segments with $\mathbf{k T}$ energy Each of these segments have $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{p}$ monomers of volume $b^{3}$
For a volume fraction of monomers of $\phi$, the number of unrelaxed segments per volume is $\phi /\left(b^{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{p}\right)$ So, the storage modulus is $\mathrm{G}\left(\tau_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \sim \mathrm{kT}\left(\phi /\left(\mathrm{b}^{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{p}\right)\right.$

We know that $\tau_{\mathrm{p}}=\tau_{0}(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{p})^{2}$ so $\mathrm{p} \sim\left(\tau_{0} / \tau_{\mathrm{p}}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~N}$ and
$\mathrm{G}\left(\tau_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \sim \mathrm{kT}\left(\phi /\left(\mathrm{b}^{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{p}\right)=\left(\mathrm{kT} / \mathrm{b}^{3}\right) \phi\left(\tau_{\mathrm{p}} / \tau_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right.$ and for time $\mathrm{t}=\tau_{\mathrm{p}}$

$$
\mathbf{G}(t) \sim\left(\mathbf{k T} / \mathbf{b}^{3}\right) \phi\left(t / \tau_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-\mathrm{t} / \tau_{\mathrm{R}}\right) \quad \text { For } \tau_{0}<\mathrm{t}
$$

## Rouse

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{G}(t) \sim\left(\mathbf{k T} / \mathbf{b}^{3}\right) \phi\left(t / \tau_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-\mathrm{t} / \tau_{\mathrm{R}}\right) \quad \text { For } \tau_{0}<\mathrm{t} \\
& G^{\prime}(\omega)=G_{e q}+\omega \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[G(t)-G_{e q}\right] \sin (\omega \mathrm{t}) d t \\
& G^{\prime \prime}(\omega)=\omega \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[G(t)-G_{e q}\right] \cos (\omega \mathrm{t}) d t \\
& G^{\prime}(\omega)=\frac{\phi k T}{b^{3}} \frac{\left(\omega \tau_{R}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{\left[1+\left(\omega \tau_{R}\right)^{2}\right]\left[1+\sqrt{\left[1+\left(\omega \tau_{R}\right)^{2}\right]}\right]}} \\
& G^{\prime \prime}(\omega)=\frac{\phi k T}{b^{3}} \omega \tau_{R} \sqrt{\frac{\left[1+\sqrt{\left[1+\left(\omega \tau_{R}\right)^{2}\right]}\right]}{\left[1+\left(\omega \tau_{R}\right)^{2}\right]}} \\
& \text { For } \boldsymbol{\omega}<1 / \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathbf{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rouse

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G^{\prime}(\omega)=\frac{\phi k T}{b^{3}} \frac{\left(\omega \tau_{R}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{\left[1+\left(\omega \tau_{R}\right)^{2}\right]\left[1+\sqrt{\left[1+\left(\omega \tau_{R}\right)^{2}\right]}\right]}} \\
& G^{\prime \prime}(\omega)=\frac{\phi k T}{b^{3}} \omega \tau_{R} \sqrt{\frac{\left[1+\sqrt{\left[1+\left(\omega \tau_{R}\right)^{2}\right]}\right.}{\left[1+\left(\omega \tau_{R}\right)^{2}\right]}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\omega \tau_{\mathrm{R}} \ll$ 1, low frequency Normal Viscoelastic Fluid

$$
G^{\prime}(\omega)=\frac{\phi k T}{b^{3}}\left(\omega \tau_{R}\right)^{2} \quad G^{\prime \prime}(\omega)=\frac{\phi k T}{b^{3}} \omega \tau_{R}
$$

kT per monomer

For $1 / \tau_{\mathrm{R}} \ll \omega \ll \mathbf{1} / \tau_{0}$, Rouse Range, Fluid acts like a gel (Winter-Chambon) (Solution in the limit $\tau_{\mathrm{R}} \omega \gg 1$ )

$$
G^{\prime}(\omega)=G^{\prime \prime}(\omega) \sim(\omega)^{1 / 2}
$$



Fig. 8.5
Oscillatory shear data for solutions of poly( 2 -vinyl pyridine) in 0.0023 M HCl in water. Open symbols are the storage modulus $G^{\prime}$ and filled symbols are the loss modulus $G^{\prime \prime}$. Squares have $c=0.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, triangles have $c=1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, and circles have $c=2.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. The curves are the predictions of the Rouse model [Eqs (8.49) and (8.50)]. Data from D. F. Hodgson and E. J. Amis, J. Chem. Phys. 94, 4581 (1991).

For $1 / \tau_{0} \ll \omega$, High Frequency limit
No relaxation modes,

$$
G^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\omega})=k T \phi / b^{3}
$$

High-frequency saturation of $\mathbf{G}^{\prime}$

$$
G^{\prime \prime}(\omega) \sim \omega
$$

This is Solid Behavior


Fig. 8.5
Oscillatory shear data for solutions of poly(2-vinyl pyridine) in 0.0023 M HCl in water. Open symbols are the storage modulus $G^{\prime}$ and filled symbols are the loss modulus $G^{\prime \prime}$. Squares have $c=0.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, triangles have $c=1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, and circles have $c=2.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. The curves are the predictions of the Rouse model [Eqs
(8.49) and (8.50)]. Data from D. F. Hodgson and E. J. Amis, J. Chem. Phys. 94, 4581 (1991).

Winter HH, Chambon F, Analysis of Linear Viscoelasticity of a Crosslinking Polymer at the Gel Point J. Rheo. 30 367-382 (1986).



Fig. 3. Reduced storage and loss moduli of PDMS samples for which the reaction has been stopped at intermediate states of conversion. $t_{c}$ is the instant of intersection (see Figure 2) of $G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$. The curves were shifted sideways (factor
A) to avoid overlap.

The Power-Law is not Restricted in Frequency Range
$\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathrm{T}, \omega)=\mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{T}, \omega)=(\pi / 2)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~T}) \omega^{\mathrm{n}}, \quad 0<\omega<\infty$
$\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{T})=(2 / \pi)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{T}}$
Experimentally $\mathrm{n}=1 / 2($ same as Rouse at moderate $\omega)$

Winter HH, Chambon F, Analysis of Linear Viscoelasticity of a Crosslinking Polymer at the Gel Point J. Rheo. 30 367-382 (1986).

Kramers and Kronig relationship must be followed for this equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{G^{\prime}(\omega)}{\omega^{2}}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{G^{\prime \prime}(x) / x}{\omega^{2}-x^{2}} d x \\
& 1=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{n-1}\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{-1} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Solve for n (only positive values are physical)

$$
n=1 / 2,-3 / 2,-7 / 2,-11 / 2 \ldots
$$

$$
\eta_{0}=\int_{0}^{\infty} G(t) \mathrm{d} t \quad J_{\mathrm{e}}^{0} \eta_{0}^{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} G(t) t \mathrm{~d} t
$$

The ratios of the two integrals that define $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{\circ}$ and $\eta_{0}$, yields a time,

$$
\bar{\tau}=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} t G(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} G(t) d t}=J_{e}^{0} \eta_{0}
$$

This time is called the mean viscoelastic relaxation time. For non-entangled melts (below $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) this time is proportional to the molecular weight squared. For entangled melts it is proportional to the moleculare weight to the 3.4 power. This is because for entangled melts $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{0}$ is constant (plateau) and the time is proportional to the viscosity that increases with a 3.4 power experimentally. Below the entanglement molecular weight both $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{0}$ and the zero shear rate viscosity are proportional to M (as will be discussed later).


## Fig. 9.3

Master curve at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from oscillatory shear data at six temperatures for a 1,4-polybutadiene sample with $M_{\mathrm{w}}=130000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Data from R. H. Colby, L. J. Fetters and W. W. Graessley, Macromolecules 20, 2226 (1987).

## Reptation


-One-dimensional diffusion along the tube -Relaxation time, $\tau_{\text {reptation }}$, is the time for the chain to diffuse a distance $\mathrm{L} \sim \mathrm{N}$ by Rouse diffusion, $\mathrm{D}_{0} \sim 1 / \mathrm{N}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d P}{d t}=D_{0} \frac{d^{2} P}{d s^{2}} \quad \text { Fick's 2'nd Law in } 1-d \\
P(s, t)=\frac{1}{\left(4 \pi D_{0} t\right)^{1 / 2}} e^{-s^{2} / 4 D_{0} t} \\
\left\langle s^{2}\right\rangle=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s^{2} P(s, t) \mathrm{d} s=2 D_{0} t
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\tau_{\text {rep }}=\frac{L^{2}}{2 D_{0}} \sim \frac{N^{2}}{N^{-1}} \sim N^{3} \quad \text { Compare: } \tau_{\text {Rouse }} \sim \mathrm{N}^{2}
$$

$$
D_{\text {rep }}=\frac{\left\langle R^{2}\right\rangle}{6 \tau_{\text {rep }}} \sim \frac{N}{N^{3}} \sim N^{-2}
$$

Compare: $D_{\text {Rouse }} \sim N^{-1}$

## Reptation


-Consider a Maxwell model for the fluid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{\text {rep }}=\frac{\eta_{\text {rep }}}{G_{0}} \quad \text { Where } \mathrm{G}_{0} \text { is the plateau modulus, } \mathrm{G}_{0} \sim 3 \mathrm{kT} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{e}} \\
& \eta_{\text {rep }} \sim G_{0} \tau_{\text {rep }} \sim(3 \mathrm{kT} / \mathrm{Me}) N^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Experimental shows $\mathrm{N}^{3.4}$ due to tube renewal


Figure 11.5.4.
Typical viscosity-molecular weight dependence for molten polymers. $x_{w}$ is proportional to the number of backbone atoms and $M_{w}$. From Berry and Fox (1968).

## Stress Relaxation Modulus for Reptation

$$
\tau_{\mathrm{e}}=\tau_{0} N_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \quad \text { Follows Rouse Relaxation }
$$

$$
G(t) \sim G_{0}\left(\mathrm{t} / \tau_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2} \quad \text { For } \tau_{0}<\mathrm{t}<\tau_{\mathrm{e}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{zx}} / \gamma^{\prime} \\
\Psi_{1}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{xx}}-\sigma_{z z}\right) /\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
\Psi_{2}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{yy}}-\sigma_{z z}\right) /\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Fig. 9.17. PE under steady state shear flow at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : Strain rate dependencies of the viscosity $\eta$, the primary normal stress coefficient $\Psi_{1}$ and the recoverable shear strain $\gamma_{\mathrm{e}}$. The dotted line represents Eq. (9.157). Results obtained by Laun [116]

Figure 7.15 shows the recoverable shear strain, $\gamma_{\mathrm{e}}$, that is proportional to the recoverable shear compliance, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{e}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{e}} / \sigma_{\mathrm{zx}}$. At low strain rates the recoverable shear strain is just linear in the rate of strain as indicated by the dashed line. The linear behavior occurs in the regime where the viscosity and first normal stress coefficient are constant. In fact, the dashed line follows a linear function of the viscosity and first normal stress coefficient,

$$
\gamma_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}=>0\right)=\left(\Psi_{1}\left(\gamma^{\prime} \Rightarrow>0\right) /\left\{2 \eta\left(\gamma^{\prime}=>0\right)\right\}\right) \gamma^{\prime}=\left(\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{z v}\right) /\left(2 \sigma_{\mathrm{zx}}\right)
$$

then,

$$
\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{0}=\gamma_{\mathrm{e}}(\gamma=>0) / \sigma_{\mathrm{zx}}=\Psi_{1,0}\left(2 \eta_{0}{ }^{2}\right)
$$

At low shear rates there remain only two independent parameters that describe polymeric flow.

## Relaxation Spectrum (Ströbl (2007) p. 242-245)

$$
\begin{gathered}
J(\omega)=J_{\mathrm{u}}+\sum_{l} \frac{\Delta J_{l}}{1-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{l}} \\
J(\omega)=J_{\mathrm{u}}+\int \frac{1}{1-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau} \mathrm{~L}_{J}(\log \tau) \mathrm{d} \log \tau .
\end{gathered}
$$

In integral form. Here we use $\ln \tau$ as the argument since each relaxation is of exponential form.

$$
G(\omega)=G_{\mathrm{u}}-\int \frac{1}{1-\mathrm{i} \omega \hat{\tau}} \mathrm{H}_{G}(\log \hat{\tau}) \mathrm{d} \log \hat{\tau}
$$

The modulus function has a similar form but dramatically different values for $\tau$.

$$
G(t)=G_{\mathrm{r}}+\int \exp \left(-\frac{t}{\hat{\tau}}\right) \mathrm{H}_{G}(\log \hat{\tau}) \mathrm{d} \log \hat{\tau}
$$

$$
\hat{\tau}=\tau \frac{J_{\mathrm{u}}}{J_{\mathrm{r}}}
$$

## Relaxation Spectrum (Ströbl (2007) p. 242-245)

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(\omega)=G_{\mathrm{u}}-\int \frac{1}{1-\mathrm{i} \omega \hat{\tau}} \mathrm{H}_{G}(\log \hat{\tau}) \mathrm{d} \log \hat{\tau} \\
\mathrm{~d} \ln \tau=\mathrm{d} \tau / \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the functional dependence of interest is: $\tau \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{G}}(\tau)$ vs. $\tau$
That is why we see plots like:


Qiao H, Zheng B, Zhong G, Li Z, Cardinaels R, Moldenaers P, Lamnawar K, Maazouz A, Liu C, Zhang H Understanding the Rheology of Polymer-Polymer Interfaces Covered with Janus Nanoparticles: Polymer Blends versus Particle Sandwiched Multilayers Macromolecules 56 647-663 (2023)


## Fig. 9.3

Master curve at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from oscillatory shear data at six temperatures for a 1,4-polybutadiene sample with $M_{\mathrm{w}}=130000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Data from
R. H. Colby, L. J. Fetters and
W. W. Graessley, Macromolecules 20, 2226 (1987).

