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## Preface

Water is now becoming the precious commodity. The demand for irrigation water has increased many times over the years to bring more and more area under irrigation and simultaneously for increasing cropping intensity and to provide food and fiber to our everincreasing population. Bringing more area under irrigation without the improved management may lead to stress to water resources and average success in production and productivity. Micro irrigation refers the drip and sprinkler irrigation and so far the most efficient method of irrigation. Micro irrigation has many advantages over the conventional methods of irrigation. However, the method needs some knowledge and skill for appropriate application of it.
This book Drip and Sprinkler Irrigation is intended as a text book of micro irrigation design and practices for the students of agricultural sciences and the professionals and workers in the field of micro irrigation. The book contains good numbers of numerical as example and task to get the students familiar to the requirements, complicacies, and possible remedies in actual working condition in addition to conventional broad and short questions. In every chapter of the book there are multiple choice questions to assist the students in attending competitive examinations.
The author is thankful to Indian National Committee for Irrigation and Drainage (INCID), Ministry of Water Resources, Govt. of India for financial support in conducting the research work, the outcome of which that are found relevant have been included in this book. The author gratefully acknowledges the support of Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya and my esteemed colleagues who have all along inspired me to go ahead. I would like to acknowledge and appreciate the consistent persuasion of my students excepting which the things could not be materialized at all. The author acknowledges his indebtedness to the authors and researchers of various books, bulletins, monographs and published and unpublished papers from which most of the content of this book is drawn. Every effort is made to acknowledge the sources of information in the text. If any omission remains, it is inadvertent, and will be corrected if noted or pointed out.
I would like to thank NIPA for the support of publication of this book.

R.K. Biswas
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## CHAPTER - 1

## Drip Introduction

### 1.1 Introduction

Drip irrigation is an efficient method of application of water at the plant bottom at a rate nearly equal to the consumptive use rate of the plant, thereby minimizing the conventional water losses like percolation, runoff and evaporation from soil. It is a process of slow application of water on, above or beneath the soil by the surface, sub-surface, bubbler, and spray or pulse system. Fertilizer can also be applied with the drip water. Emitters or applicators are placed closed to the plants and used to spray water in the form of drops, tiny streams or miniature spray. In the drip system water applied from the point source advances in all direction in the soil outward from the source. Drip irrigation is essentially a low rate, low pressure, frequent and long duration application of water in plants root zone area.
Drip irrigation is also called as localized irrigation, trickle irrigation, daily flow irrigation, diurnal irrigation, drop irrigation, sip irrigation, and micro-irrigation. A particular name is being popularized in any area depending on the choice of the people of that area. The International Commission for Irrigation and Drainage (ICID) has recommended the term micro-irrigation while the American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE) has preferred drip irrigation. In India it is told as drip irrigation.

### 1.2 Histories and Development

## International

The surface or gravity and sprinkler irrigation can be traced back to 6000 B.C. along with the oldest civilization along the Nile, Tigress, Euphrates, Indus and Yellow river. Drip irrigation is considered a new approach and was developed originally as subirrigation. In 1860, experiments were conducted in Germany with the clay pipes with open joints laid below the surface of the soils where the basic idea underlying the drip irrigation could have been traced. In 1920, perforated pipes replaced these. Some activities were traced in Coloroda during 1913. In 1930, the irrigation system with 5 cm galvanized iron pipe with triangular holes was developed in Australia.
In the early 1940's, an Israeli Engineer namely Symcha Blass observed that a big tree near a leaky tap showing more growth than other trees in the area, which were not richer with water from the tap. This observation led him to the concept of an irrigation system, which would have very small quantity of water, literally drop by drop. Eventually he designed and patented a low-pressure irrigation system. Drip irrigation was started in Denmark due to large-scale introduction of plastic pipes after the Second World War. The practice was adopted later in England. In early 1960's, drip irrigation showed great success in the desert areas of Negev and Arava in Israel. It was later introduced to USA and gained immediate and wider acceptance.
During 1969, the modern drip irrigation system began to be sold outside Israel on commercial basis. These were installed widely in USA, Australia and Mexico along with Israel and in smaller extent in Canada, Cyprus, France, Iran, New Zealand, United Kingdom, Greece and India. The area of drip irrigation increased to 54, 600ha in 1975 from mere 40ha in 1960. It further increased to 412760ha in 1981 and 1784846ha in 1991. The world wide survey conducted by the International Committee for Irrigation and Drainage (ICID) Group on Micro Irrigation in 1991 reported 35 countries in the world drip irrigation is being practiced. The highest area of 606,000 ha was in USA and the lowest 30 ha in Ecuador. The India was in the seventh position with 70,859 ha.

## Indian

Drip irrigation was practiced in India through indigenous methods such as bamboo pipes, perforated clay pipes and pitcher/porous cup irrigation. The bamboo made long hollow pipes of varying diameter $(50-100 \mathrm{~cm})$ are used in making channels by the tribal farmers of Meghalaya for drip irrigation to betel, pepper and areca nut crops. The source of water is hill streams, which are diverted to hill slopes and the discharge rate at the head varies from $10-30 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$. and is reduced to $10-30$ drops per minute at the time of application. Perforated earthenwares were popular in Maharashtra. Earthen ware pitchers and porous cups were popular in Rajasthan and Haryana for growing vegetable crops (Fig 1.1). The technique of using these is embedding of the earthen cups of
about 500 ml capacity at the side of the plant. The cups are filled with water at $4-5$ days interval. This practice advantageously can be used for the farmers of small plots.


Fig. 1.1 Earthenware pitcher irrigation
Drip irrigation was introduced in India in the early 70's at the Agricultural Universities and other research Institutes. Significant development has taken place only in 80 's. The scientists of Tamil Nadu Agricultural University are the pioneers in drip irrigation research. They have conducted experiments and farm trials in the farmers' field for various crops such as vegetables, grapes, banana, cotton and sugarcane. The progressive farmers in the state of Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh have adopted drip irrigation mainly for horticultural crops. The farmers were readily convinced due to use of locally available pipes or micro-tubes and providing them the scope of cultivating more area from the available little water in the wells. The progress of drip irrigation has really gained momentum in the recent years. From a mere 1500ha in 1985 it has grown to 6000 ha in 1988, $70,859 \mathrm{ha}$ in 1994 and 2, 59,500 ha in 2000 (Table 1.1). So far, maximum drip area covered by tree plants ( $42.2 \%$ ) followed by vegetables $(12.50 \%)$ and vines ( $13.20 \%$ ) (Table 1.2). The total cropped area suitable for micro irrigation in the country is 27 Mha (Table 1.3). The crops found suitable for drip irrigation is listed in Table 1.4.
Table 1.1 Area covered by drip irrigation in India

| State | Area (ha) in 1995 | Area (ha) in 2000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Andhra Pradesh | 11,585 | 31,600 |
| Assam | 180 | 200 |
| Gujarat | 3,560 | 8,000 |
| Haryana | 120 | 1,900 |
| Karnataka | 11,412 | 40,000 |
| Kerala | 3,035 | 6,000 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 1,415 | 3,000 |
| Orissa | - | 2,800 |
| Punjab | - | 1,500 |
| Rajasthan | 304 | 30,000 |
| Tamil Nadu | 5,357 | 34,000 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 111 | 2,000 |
| West Bengal | 100 | 200 |
| Others | 756 | 2,000 |
| Total | 70,859 | $2,59,600$ |

Table 1.2. Percent of area covered by different crops under drip irrigation

| Sl. No. | Crops | $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Vines | 13.20 |
| 2. | Vegetables | 12.50 |
| 3. | Field crops | 7.0 |
| 4. | Flowers | 1.5 |
| 5. | Tree crops | 42.20 |
| 6. | Others \& unspecified 23.60 |  |

Source: A.Alam \& A.Kumar (2000)
Table 1.3. Theoretical potential for drip irrigation

| Crops | Area (Mha) | Area suitable for micro irrigation (Mha) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cereals \& millets | 100.04 | 00.00 |
| Pulses | 22.50 | 00.00 |
| Sugarcane | 4.10 |  |
| Condiments \& Spices | 2.19 | 1.40 |
| Fruits | 3.40 | 3.40 |
| Vegetables | 5.30 | 5.30 |
| Coconut | 1.90 | 1.90 |
| Oil seeds | 26.20 | 1.90 |
| Cotton | 9.00 | 9.00 |
| Others | 1.40 | 00.00 |

Source: Singh, H.P. (2000)
Table 1.4 Crops grown under drip irrigation

## I. Cereals

| 1. Corn | 26. Ber | 52. Valencia Orange |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Sorghum | 27. Betelvine | 53. Watermelon |
| 3. Wheat | 28. Boysen berr |  |

## II. Flowers

4. Chrysanthemum
5. Camation
6. Jasmine
7. Rose
8. Cherry
9. Chikoo (Sapota)
VIII. Plantation Crops
10. Bamboo
11. Cocoa
12. Citrus
13. Coffee
14. Mulberry
15. (All) Ornamental

Trees \& Shrubs
III. Fodders
IV. Fibres
V. Nuts

## VI. Oilseeds

VII.Orchards
9. Alfalfa
10. Asparagus
11. (All) Pastures
12. Cotton
13. Sisal
14. Almond
15. Arecanut
16. Cashewnut
17. Coconut
18. Macadmaia
19. Walnut
20. Groundnut
21. Amla
22. Apple
23. Apricot
24. Avocado
25. Banana
33. Fig
34. Grape (Table \& Wine)

58. Olipalm

59. Rubber
60. Sugarcane
61. Grape fruit
62. Guava
63. Lemon
64. Lime
65. Mango
66. Mosambi
67. Naval Orange
68. Papaya
69. Peach
70. Pear
71. Pineapple
72. Persimmon
73. Plum
74. Pomegranate
75. Strawberry
76. Tangelo
77. Valencia Orange
78. Tamarind
79. Tapioca
$7^{\text {th }}$ plan period with some modification to subsidy rates. To promote the micro-irrigation system and solar pumps, the schemes like Use of Plastics in Agriculture, Oil Palm Development Program and Integrated Central Development Programme on Sugarcane are being implemented in the country through Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Govt. of India. In all these schemes the farmers were provided the assistance to the extent of $90 \%$ of the capital cost of the system for a hectare or Rs. $25,000 /-$ per hectare or whichever is less for $\mathrm{SC} / \mathrm{ST}$, small/marginal and women farmers, $70 \%$ of the cost of other categories of farmers. The cost of incentive is shared $90 \%$ by the Central and $10 \%$ by State Department. In $8^{\text {th }}$ five year plan the Ministry of Agriculture initiated the program for promoting the drip irrigation as a Centrally Sponsored Scheme on Use of Plastic in Agriculture. Besides, program for micro irrigation was taken up through different schemes like Technology Mission for Integrated Development of Horticulture in North East (TMNE). Integrated Scheme for Oil Seeds, Pulses \& Oil Palm and Maize (ISOPOM). Despite all these efforts, the coverage of area under micro irrigation was only about 2 million hectare whereas the Task Force on Micro Irrigation (2004) indicated a potential of 69 million hectare (Anonymous, 2006). Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India modified the micro irrigation scheme in $10^{\text {th }}$ five-year plan period in respect to subsidy and mode of implementation. The $40 \%$ of the scheme cost was borne by the Central Government, $10 \%$ by the State Government and the remaining $50 \%$ by the beneficiary, either through his/her own resources or soft loan from financial institution. Maximum financial assistance was limited to five hectare area per beneficiary family. The implementation of the scheme involved District Rural Development Agencies (DRDA), ICAR Institutes, SAUs, NGOs and Panchayat Raj Institutions.

### 1.3 Components of Drip Irrigation System

The basic essential components of a drip system consist of a pump, distribution lines (main, sub-main, and laterals) and drippers. For better control and monitoring the irrigation, the system also includes the equipments, viz. valves, pressure regulators, filters, pressure gauzes, fertilizer applicator, etc (Fig.1.2).

## i. Pump and prime mover

A pump of suitable capacity is used to supply water through the components of the system at certain level of pressure. The source of water is usually a tank. However, groundwater can also be used directly to the drip irrigation system. If the source of supply is natural stream or farm pond there is possibility of organic and inorganic foreign bodies in water. In such case suction filter should be used for obtaining comparatively clean water. The diesel engines or electric motors are the common prime mover of the pump. In recent time the solar pump is being tried to popularize it for drip irrigation purpose. Usually the centrifugal pumps are used; however, for small system a piston pump can be used.


Fig 1.2. Basic components of a drip irrigation system

## ii. Control head

The control head of the drip system is responsible to regulate the pressure and water supplied, filtering of water, and addition of nutrients in it. This component includes the fertilizer applicator (tank), filter, and some control valves.
Fertilizer tank: Fertilizer tank is used to add suitable nutrient in drip water, especially nitrogen. This enables direct application of fertilizer with irrigation water and reduces the requirement of fertilizer use. The tank is a small vessel having inlet and outlet connected to the main line. As shown in Fig. 1.3 a portion of the flow is directly diverted to the tank to dissolve the nutrients and further join to the main line through the outlet. The point at which the tank is connected to the main line is sometime a venturi. This increases the velocity head and develops the suction to force tank water in to the main line.


Fig 1.3. Arrangement of pump, fertilizer tank, filter unit and accessories
Forming the control head of drip irrigation system
Filter: There should be a good quality filter in control head installation of a drip system. The filter uses to clean the suspended impurities of water supplied by the pump before it reaches to drippers. Impurities in irrigation water may cause blockage the holes and passage of drippers. Success of drippers is greatly depending on the performance of filter.

## iii. Distribution lines

Main line: The main line carries the total amount of water for the irrigation system. It connects the different sub-mains to water source. The main pipes are commonly made of flexible material such as PVC (poly vinyl chloride) or plastics. However, the rigid pipe of asbestos cement or galvanized steel is also used similar to main line for conventional sprinkler irrigation.
Sub-main: The sub-main feed to the laterals on one or both sides. It is made of either of medium density polyethylene (PE) or of PVC. There should be balance between the diameter of main and sub-mains. These are determined in consideration to rate of discharge, number of sub-mains, and friction losses in pipes.
Laterals: It is more commonly made of low density PE of usual diameter 1 to 1.25 cm . The 1.2 cm diameter laterals are popularly used. In some exception cases the small diameter rigid PVC pipe laterals are found in use. The distributors are connected to predetermine spacing in the laterals or near the plants in the case of orchards. The individual lateral length is usually limited to 40 m and a pressure drop of maximum 10 percent between the two ends of a lateral.
Distributors: The distributors drip the water at low discharge rate and at atmospheric pressure. The distributors may be a dripper or a nozzle, a micro tube or any type of commercially manufactured outlet.

### 1.4 Types of Drip System

The following are the types of drip system.

## i. Surface drip

Surface drip system is one where emitters and lateral lines are laid on the soil surface. This is the most common and popular type of drip system. It is suitable for wide spaced plants as well as row crops. The discharges are less than 12 lpm for single outlet pointsource emitters and 12 lpm for line source emitters. The advantages of using surface drip lies in its easy installation and inspection, changing and cleaning emitters, scope of observing surface wetting pattern, and measurement of individual emitter discharge rates.

## ii. Sub-surface drip system

In this system water is slowly applied below the surface through the emitters. Sub-surface drip should not be confused to subirrigation in which root zone is irrigated by controlling the water table. Sub-surface drip systems have gained wider acceptance due to removal of earlier problems of clogging at large extent. It has been found that emitters pointed upward perform better. Su-surface drip system provides little interference with cultivation or any cultural practices and possibly longer operational life. Sometime the surface and sub-surface methods both are applied partially in same field by burying the laterals and placing the emitters on the surface by the riser tubes (sub-laterals). Simply using perforated/porous pipes can also develop sub-surface drip system. Porous subsurface irrigation is, "application of water below the soil surface at the root zone of plants through tiny openings provided on the wall of the pipe at a rate that the soil to absorb water at its natural rate". The porous pipe is made of recycled rubber and polyethylene. This pipe allows both air and water to pass through pores provided the wall at low pressure. The tiny openings in pipes are inbuilt and not mechanically made holes.

## iii. Bubbler

In bubbler irrigation water is applied in the form of small stream or fountain from a point source and the discharge rate greater than the surface and sub-surface drip system but usually less than 225 lpm . This rate of application exceeds the infiltration rate.

Therefore, a basin is required around the plant to control the distribution of water. Bubbler system requires reduced filtration, repair and maintenance and less energy in comparison to surface and sub-surface drip system.

## iv. Spray

In this system water is applied to the soil surface in the form of small spray, jet, fog, or mist. Air is the media of distribution of water instead of soil in case of surface, sub-surface or bubbler. The rate of application is usually less than 175 lpm and used to irrigate tree or wide spaced crops. Spray system is vulnerable to high wind and evaporation loss. Its advantages lie in minimal filtration and repair and maintenance requirements.

## v. Mechanical move

This system is furthering of bubbler concept to large-scale row crops where water is applied through traveling drip or spray and drag or hose-reel drip system. In a traveling drip system linear-move sprinkles are used excepting the sprinkler devices but the attached lateral lines (having the linear move) use to deliver water as a continuous moving stream to each row. Uniformity of water application is good and pressure requirement is less in this system than the conventional sprinkler system. However, it requires preventive measures to check soil erosions and runoff as because the rate of application is usually more than infiltration rate. Hosereel or drag-type drip irrigation system use to pull the surface drip system as a set across the field from one row to the next. This method is suited to use as supplemental irrigation practices.
Advantages of using mechanically moving drip irrigation system are the possible reduction in clogging problems and less expensive pipe network in comparison to surface or sub-surface drip system. The disadvantages are high initial cost, limited water application and extensive maintenance requirements.

## vi. Pulse

The pulse drip system uses high discharge rate emitters and consequently short duration application. This has the application cycle of 5,10 , or 15 minutes in an hour and the discharge rate of the pulse emitter are usually 4 to 10 times than the discharge rate of the typical emitters. Pulse drip system provides the advantage of possible reduction in clogging and disadvantage is the requirement of reliable inexpensive pulse emitter and automatic controller. Pulse drip system may also cause the startup and shutdown inefficiencies due to increase of number of application cycle.

### 1.5 Advantages and Disadvantages

## Advantages

## i. Easier management

Drip irrigation does not impede the other farm operations, viz. spraying, harvesting, processing, weeding, etc. Irrigation and farm operations can be done simultaneously. Farmers have the easy access to the crop fields at all time. It is not possible in other methods of irrigation. Drip irrigation has provided easier management scope.

## ii. Saving in water

It is a general agreement that water requirement is less in drip irrigation compared to conventional method of irrigation. However, the saving of water depends on crop, soil, environment, and efficiency in farm management. The water saving may usually range 30 to 60 percent depending on the area covered by the plants and level of management of conventional irrigation (Table 1.5\&1.6). The reasons behind the saving of water in drip irrigation may be listed as:
a. Less surface is wetted
b. Less water is lost due to evaporation
c. Water is distributed evenly throughout the root zone
d. No percolation losses
e. No water is utilized by weeds

Table 1.5 Yield increase and water saving under drip irrigation

| Banana | 57.5 | 87.5 | 52 | 1760 | 970 | 45 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Grapes | 26.4 | 32.5 | 23 | 532 | 278 | 98 |
| Sweet lime | 100 | 150 | 50 | 1660 | 640 | 61 |
| Pomegranate | 55 | 109 | 98 | 1440 | 785 | 45 |
| Papaya | 13.4 | 23.5 | 75 | 228 | 74 | 68 |
| Tomato | 32.0 | 48.0 | 50 | 300 | 184 | 39 |
| Watermelon | 24.0 | 45.0 | 88 | 330 | 210 | 36 |
| Okra | 15.3 | 17.7 | 16 | 54 | 32 | 40 |
| Cabbage | 19.6 | 20.0 | 2 | 66 | 27 | 60 |
| Chilli | 4.2 | 6.1 | 44 | 110 | 42 | 62 |
| Sweet | 4.2 | 5.9 | 39 | 63 | 25 | 60 |
| potato |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beet root | 46 | 49 | 7 | 86 | 18 | 79 |
| Raddish | 70.0 | 72 | 2 | 46 | 11 | 77 |
| Sugarcane | 128 | 170 | 33 | 2150 | 940 | 56 |
| Cotton | 2.3 | 2.9 | 26 | 90 | 42 | 53 |

Source: Singh et al. (1993)
Table 1.6 Water losses under various irrigation methods

| Method of irrigation | Normal climate | Hot climate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Surface | $30-45 \%$ | $35-50 \%$ |
| Gate pipe | $15-20 \%$ | $20-25 \%$ |
| Sprinkler | $6-9 \%$ | $10-20 \%$ |
| Drip | $1-2 \%$ | $2-3 \%$ |

Source: Saksena (1993)

## iii. Saving in labor

There is great saving in labor in drip irrigation compared to conventional irrigation. However, the system operates in low labor input only when it is properly designed, correctly installed and good quality filtered water is supplied. Saving in labor is very attractive to the countries where labor is scarce and expensive. Substantial ( $60-90 \%$ ) saving in labor occurs as the system eliminates the need for constructing borderes, bunds and other labor intensive works associated with traditional or sprinkler irrigation.
The saving of energy comes from the reduction in requirement of water to be pumped. The drip system operates at low pressure in comparison to other pressurized irrigation system. This reduces the cost of energy. However, only the efficient irrigation can claim the significant saving in energy over the conventional.

## iv. Increase in plant growth and yield

The soil moisture level at plant root zone remains fairly constant at drip irrigation because water is applied frequently and lowly at a
predetermined level. There is wide fluctuation in soil moisture content in conventional and some sprinkler irrigation. Frequent drip irrigation ensures increase in yield and plant growth due to optimum soil moisture and high temperature, good aeration, better environment for root development, and reduction in disease factors. Plant growth in drip irrigation is also influenced by the better water distribution along the row and water holding capacity in heterogeneous soils.

## $\mathbf{v}$. Improved fertilizer and other chemical application

Application of fertilizer with drip water has been proved beneficial for many crop production situations. Higher fertilization efficiency is obtained as (i) water is applied frequently which match the plant requirement of fertilizer at various growth stage, and (ii) good distribution of fertilizer and merely no loss due to leaching or runoff. The fertilization/chemical study has shown a saving of $30-40 \%$ of fertilizer/chemical (Singh, 2000).

## vi. Use of saline water

Frequent application of water in drip system keeps salts in soil water more diluted and below the damaging level (Fig.1.4). This overcomes the problem of using saline water with conventional methods where great fluctuation of soil-moisture content causes to plants salt affected. Excepting this soil water can be used because of continual water feeding forces the salt concentration in the side and under the root zone, less water carries less salt to the soil, less evaporation means less salt deposit, and $20-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ rain can flush the deposit. The study of drip and furrow irrigation with saline water on radish and potato showed much increase in yield and water use efficiency with drip irrigation (Table 1.7).


Fig. 1.4 Accumulation of salt in drip system
Table 1.7. Yield (t/ha) and water-use efficiency (WUE) ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}-\mathrm{cm}$ ) for different vegetable crops under drip and surface method of saline irrigation.

| Irrigation | Reddish |  | Potato |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yield | WUE | Yield | WUE |
| $\mathrm{EC}_{\mathrm{iw}}=6.5 \mathrm{ds} / \mathrm{mEC}_{\mathrm{iw}}=4.0 \mathrm{ds} / \mathrm{m}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Drip method | 15.7 | 17.5 | 30.5 | 93.5 |
| Furrow | 9.9 | 8.7 | 19.2 | 53.6 |

## vii. Limited weed growth

Only a portion of soil surface is irrigated in drip irrigation. Therefore, weeds do not get scope to grow covering the entire area. Filtered water in drip irrigation also allows limited weed seeds to field in comparison to other methods. Investigators are convinced to overall reduction in weed control but some of them have reported the experience of increase in weed growth and control problems within the small wetted portion of the soil surface, particularly at the early days of crop.

## viii. Better use of poor soils

Light soils cannot be irrigated by surface method. It will cause high percolation loss. Heavy soils cannot be irrigated by sprinkler due to poor infiltration rate. Drip systems can be successfully used in both the situations.

## ix. Easier control of pests and weeds

Due to all time access to the field and dry foliage and soil surface favours easier, efficient and effective control of pests and weeds.

## x. No soil erosion

Water application is much less (less than the infiltration rate) in drip irrigation. Therefore, there is little scope for soil erosion, which takes place in other conventional methods.

## xi. Flexible to operate

Spacing of the laterals, drippers, etc. can be adjusted as well as it can be shifted and accommodated in varying requirement in other fields after using it in any field for a season or so.

## Disadvantages

i. Initial cost is high.
ii. Technical knowledge is required to design, installation and maintenance.
iii. Clogging of emitters is a great problem in many areas.
iv. Assured source of water is required.
v. Inadequate customer services for maintenance and supply of spare parts.

### 1.6 Evaluation and Futuristic Approach of Drip Irrigation in India

In 1997-98 Agricultural Finance Corporation Limited evaluated the of Government subsidized scheme on drip/micro irrigation by covering 3,900 farmers of 26 districts in Andhra Pradesh, Haryana, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Orissa and Tamil Nadu. The study indicates that the beneficiary invariably introduced high-value horticultural crops like grapes, banana, mango, cashew nut, and coconut after installing drip system. The increase in yields recorded $41 \%$ for grape, $14 \%$ for pomegranate over the state (Maharashtra) average yield. Economic analysis of 695 beneficiary farmers (who installed drip system without any Govt. subsidy) indicated that the cost of investment (inclusive of subsidy) was recovered within the period of less than 3 seasons in most of the cases. The development of drip irrigation is not well or even fairly distributed through the state. In Andhra Pradesh the drip irrigation was concentrated in the districts of Anantapur, Chittor, Rangareddy, Medak, Mahboob Nagar, and Nalgonda. Similarly, the coverage was largely in the districts of Chengalpattu-MGR Dindigul, Coimbatore and Periyar. In 1993 a survey was conducted by Water and Land Management Institute (WALMI) of Maharashtra over 12 randomly selected farms and found that emission uniformity was $85 \%$ or better in 4 farms but the values were $50 \%$ or less in half of the sample (Singh, 2000).
Sivanappan (2000) summarized the benefits of drip irrigation in India as below:
i. Gap between the irrigation potential is created and utilized is narrowed down.
ii. Losses due to transmission and evaporation acquiring in the open channel distribution avoided.
iii. Simultaneous irrigation is assured to all farmers.
iv. Volumetric changing in water supply could be easily done.
v. By introducing drip irrigation in canal command, crop diversified system is introduced.
vi. The system provides equitable distribution of water from the head to tail-end farmers.
vii. Over-exploitation of ground water can be controlled.
viii. Less electricity power requirement.
ix. Creates avenues for establishing agro-based industries.
x. Overall agricultural production is increased, improving socio-economic status of farmers.
xi. Fertilizer application will be minimized through fertigation.

In spite of very good result and attractive subsidy the adoption of drip irrigation still lagging much behind to expected level. Sharma \& Alam (1993) found the apparent reasons as listed below:
i. High initial cost of the system.
ii. Necessary of an assured irrigation water sources.
iii. These high-tech irrigation methods are energy intensive.
iv. Poor quality of material and maintenance services.
v. Limited choice and marketing facilities for the high value crops.
vi. Poor technical knowledge of the agricultural extension agencies and absence of irrigation information services.
vii. Attractive only in areas where water availability is low/cost of water is high.
viii. Lesser emphasis trials on identification of target areas and promising irrigators.
ix. Few adaptive trials and operational research projects in different agro-ecological regions.
x. Lack of research efforts on developing cost-effective designs suited to local conditions.
xi. Present water pricing acts as a deterrent to adoption of these systems in irrigated areas.

There are many scientists who worked on to identify the steps to be undertaken to popularize the drip irrigation in India. Samuel \& Singh (1998) emphasized on the following:
i. Supply of standard materials and equipment confirming to BIS standard.
ii. The prompt customer services for maintenance and supply of adequate spares.
iii. The annual coverage of area under drip irrigation in the country needs to be enhanced to at least 50 , 000 ha against the current level of $30,000 \mathrm{ha}$.
iv. Efforts would be needed to ensure the balanced development of drip irrigation in all the parts of the country.
v. The state implementing agencies also need to gear up the tempo, particularly in Gujarat, M.P., Rajasthan, Punjab and U.P.
vi. Studies are needed in hardware aspects of drip installation including the development for indigenous emitters. Use of micro sprinklers in an area for which data need to be generated.
vii. The program for training the field functionaries need to be strengthened.
viii. Database of area brought under micro irrigation under different crops need to be developed.
ix. There is need to popularize fertigation to economize the use of fertilizers.

Rande (2000) emphasized on the followings:
i. Educating policy makers, irrigation engineers, agricultural engineers etc. through workshops and seminars on micro irrigation system.
ii. For the irrigators/cultivators, demonstration farms and visit to micro-irrigation, high- value crops farms should be visited.
iii. For the manufacturers, standardization and certification of quality of product, providing excellent after sales service should be made statutory obligatory.
iv. Encouraging adaptive research in micro irrigation and publication of realistic and actual field studies of economic viability of micro irrigation system is essential. Publication of brochures furnishing typical designs of the system useful to common cultivators would be helpful.
v. At the Govt. level, policy decisions should be taken about giving concession/tax benefits to hardware manufacturers, setting up of quality control standards and laboratories for testing of equipments, ensuring efficient after sale service, provide just adequate subsidies to irrigators, providing better marketing facilities, export possibilities to additional produce, etc.

## Questions \& Problems

1.1 Define drip irrigation. Give some other terms of drip irrigation.
1.2 Discuss the history of drip irrigation in India and abroad.
1.3 Explain the drip irrigation system with necessary diagram.
1.4 Explain different type of drip system.
1.5 What are the advantages and disadvantages of drip system?
1.6 What are the reasons behind the saving of water in drip irrigation?
1.7 Discuss the state of drip irrigation in India.
1.8 Describe the futuristic approach of popularizing drip irrigation in India.
1.9 What are the major components in a drip system? Describe in detail.
1.10 Discuss the drip irrigation with reference to easier management and better use of poor soil and water.
1.11 Discuss the reasons behind not satisfactory progress of drip irrigation in India.
1.12 Subsidy to drip irrigation is in fact very good investment- explain.
1.13 Write True or False of the following statements

1. Drip irrigation encourages evaporation loss.
2. Drip irrigation is essentially frequent and long duration application of water.
3. Pitcher irrigation may be said as indigenous method of drip irrigation.
4. Distributors drip the water at higher rate of atmospheric pressure.
5. The diameters of lateral pipes are usually 10 to 15 cm .
6. Spray, fog, mist are the forms of drip irrigation.
7. Drip irrigation is more attractive to the area where cost of water is high.

Ans. 1.False 2. True 3. True 4. False 5. False 6. True 7. True
1.14 Select the appropriate answer from the following.

1. Bubbler irrigation apply water in the form of
a. trickle
b. capillary rise
c. small stream
d. jet fog
2. Modern drip irrigation was introduced by
a. Samuel Jose
b. Keler \& Karmelli
c. Freeman \& Gazzoli
d. Symcha Blass
3. Emitter is said to be
a. distributor
b. lateral
c. pressure regulator
d. fertilizer tank inlet
4. ASAE used to state micro-irrigation as
a. trickle irrigation
b. drop irrigation
c. drip irrigation
d. localized irrigation
5. Drip irrigation was introduced in India
a. 60 's
b. early 60 's
c. early 70 's
d. late 70 's
6. Modern drip irrigation was introduced by
a. Samuel Jose
b. Keller and Karmeli
c. Freeman abd Gazzoli
d. Symcha Blass
7. Emitter is said to be a
a. distributor
b. lateral
c. pressure regulator
d. fertilizer tank inlet
8. ASAE used to state micro-irrigation as
a. trickle irrigation
b. drop irrigation
c. drip irrigation
d. localized irrigation
9. Drip irrigation was introduced in India during
a. 1960's
b. early 1960 's
c. late 1960 's
d. early 1970 's

Ans. 1. (c) 2. (d) 3. (a) 4. (c) 5. (c) 6. (d) 7. (a) 8. (c) 9. (d)
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## CHAPTER - 2

## Hydraulics of Flow Regime

In drip irrigation water is distributed throughout the field from a system of pressurized pipelines. The pressure must be sufficient to overcome the frictional losses and elevation differences. The system also deserves discharge from all the emitters and the emitters must dissipate the pressure difference between the inlet to emitters and atmospheric pressure.
The flow through the drip pipes may be laminar or turbulent or a combination of both. The laminar flow is characterized by the layers of cylindrical tubes of which the maximum velocity is at the center about double of the average velocity. The turbulent flows having pulsatory cross current velocities and maximum velocity is about only 1.25 time of the average velocity. Turbulent flows loss more energy than laminar flow due to development of the cross current velocity.

### 2.1 Reynolds Number

The criterion for distinguishing the flow from laminar to turbulent was developed by Osborne Reynolds (1842-1900) and was named as Reynolds number, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}$. The Reynolds number in circular pipes flowing full is expressed as

$$
R_{e}=\frac{v d}{v}
$$

Where, $v=$ the average velocity of flow, $m / s$
$\mathrm{d}=$ the diameter of pipe, m
$v=$ kinematics viscosity of water, $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$
The pipe diameters in drip system are usually low and expressed in millimeters. Therefore, the Eq. 2.1 may be rewritten by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{e}=\frac{v d}{K v} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, the diameter of the pipe is in millimeter and K is a constant, which equals to 1000 .
The Eq. 2.1 may be written as below by introducing the discharge, q

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{e}=\frac{(q / a) d}{v} \\
& =\frac{q d}{\frac{\pi d^{2} v}{4}} \\
& =\frac{4 q}{\pi d v} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

If the discharge ( q ) is taken in $1 / \mathrm{h}$ and diameter (d) in millimeter, the Eq. 2.3 (2.4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{e}=\frac{4 q / 3.6 \times 10^{6}}{\pi d v \times 1000} \\
& =\frac{4 q}{K \pi d v} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The value of constant K is equal to 3600 .

### 2.2 Darcy-Weisbach Equation

The loss of energy in pipes can be calculated by the well-known

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Darcey-Weisbach equation as } \quad h_{l}=f \frac{l}{d} \frac{v^{2}}{2 g} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{h}_{1}=$ the energy loss in a pipe of length 1
$\mathrm{f}=$ the friction coefficient
$\mathrm{d}=$ the internal diameter of pipe
$\mathrm{v}=$ the average velocity
$\mathrm{g}=$ acceleration due to gravity.
By introducing the discharge q, Eq. 2.5 is become

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{l}=f \frac{l}{d} \frac{q^{2}}{\left(\frac{\pi d^{2}}{4}\right)^{2} 2 g} \\
& =8 f \frac{l}{d^{5}} \frac{q^{2}}{\pi^{2} g} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The friction coefficient f depends on $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and the relative roughness of pipe wall (Table 2.1).
Table 2.1 The flow regimes as defined as a function of $R_{e}$ and the friction factor formula

| Flow regime | Reynolds number, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | f |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Laminar | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}} \leq 2,000$ | $f=\frac{64}{R_{e}}$ |
| Unstable | $2,000\left\langle R_{e} \leq 4,000\right.$ | $f=3.42 \times 10^{-5} R_{e}{ }^{0.85}$ |
| Partially turbulent | $4,000\left\langle R_{e} \leq 10,000\right.$ | $f=\frac{0.3164}{R_{e}^{0.25}}$ |
| Fully turbulent | $\left.R_{e}\right\rangle 10,000$ | $f=\frac{0.3164}{R_{e}^{025}}$ |

## Darcy-Weisbach equation for different flow regimes

## i. Laminar flow

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If flow is laminar, } f=\frac{64}{R_{e}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The friction coefficient f is independent to relative roughness of pipe. It only depends on $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e} \text {. By introducing Eq. } 2.7 \text { in Eq.2.6 }}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{t}=\frac{64}{R_{\varepsilon}} \frac{l}{d} \frac{v^{2}}{2 g} \\
& =\frac{64}{v d} v \frac{l}{d} \frac{v^{2}}{2 g}, \text { putting } R_{e}=\frac{v d}{v} \\
& =\frac{32 v}{d^{2}} \frac{v}{g} \\
& =\frac{32 v}{d^{2}} \frac{q}{g \pi \frac{d^{2}}{4}} \\
& =\frac{128 v q}{\pi d^{4} g}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \text { or } q=\frac{h_{r} \pi d^{4} g}{128 v}=C h_{t}, C=\frac{\pi d^{4} g}{128 v} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq.2.9, C is a constant in a pipe at a given operating condition and temperature. The kinematics viscosity is greatly influenced by the change of temperature. This is known as temperature sensitivity.
Assuming the normalized flow at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the flow at other temperature is multiplied by a factor. The kinematics viscosity of different temperature (after Daugherty and Franzini, 1979) and the multiplication factors are given as below:

Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ Kinematics viscosity ()$, 10-6 \mathrm{~m} 2 / \mathrm{s}$

| 0 | 1.785 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 1.306 |
| 20 | 1.003 |
| 30 | 0.800 |
| 40 | 0.658 |
| 50 | 0.553 |
| 60 | 0.474 |
| 70 | 0.413 |
| Temperature $(0 \mathrm{C})$ | Temperature factor |
| 5 | 0.63 |
| 10 | 0.75 |
| 15 | 0.87 |
| 20 | 1.00 |
| 25 | 1.13 |
| 30 | 1.43 |

Courtesy: Nakayama \& Bucks (1980) \& Vermeiren \& Jobling(1980)

## ii. Unstable flow

The Reynolds number in the range of 2000-4000 the flow changes from laminar to turbulent. The values of $f$ are somewhat uncertain in this range. However, it may be taken as below for first approximation as shown in Table 2.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=3.42 \times 10^{-5} R_{e}^{0.85} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## iii. Turbulent flow

When the flow occurs at Reynolds number over 4,000 , the value of f depends on the roughness of pipe as well as the viscosity and the density of water. The turbulent flow may be divided as below:
a. flow in smooth pipe
b. flow in relatively rough pipe
c. flow in the zone between above two

## (a) Flow in smooth pipe

In smooth pipe the relative roughness is unimportant and the value of f can be obtained by Blasius and Karman - Prandtl equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { When } R_{e}\left\langle 80,000, f=\frac{0.3164}{R_{e}^{0.25}}\right. \text { (Blasius) }  \tag{2.11}\\
& \text { For all values of } R_{e}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}}=2 \log \left(R_{e} \sqrt{f}\right)-0.8(\text { Karman }-\operatorname{Pr} \text { andtl) }) \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Biswas et al (2005) studied the head losses in 10 mm lateral pipe and 4 mm sub-lateral pipe at different pressure and depending on the Reynolds numbers, the friction factors (f) were found out by using the Eq.2.11. The friction losses and the friction factors were related to the following equations:
For 10 mm pipe:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{l}=0.0427 H-0.0003  \tag{2.14}\\
& f=0.0008 H+0.03
\end{align*}
$$

For 4mm pipe:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{l}=0.0442 H-0.0035  \tag{2.16}\\
& f=-0.0009 H+0.042
\end{align*}
$$

where, $=$ friction loss per meter length of the pipe, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{m}$
$\mathrm{f}=$ friction factor
$\mathrm{H}=$ pressure head, m .

## (b) Flow in relatively rough pipe

The Reynolds number $R_{e}$ is unimportant when turbulent flow occurs on a rough surface. The values of $f$ may be calculated by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{f}}=2 \log \frac{d}{s}+1.14(\text { Nikuradse }) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{s}=$ dimension of the roughness
Since the above equation is independent to $R_{e}$ value, by putting the f value in Darcy-Weisbach equation (Eq.5.6) it can be seen that the discharge q is proportional to square root of $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ and all other values are constant for a given pipe. Therefore, $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{C} \sqrt{h_{l}}$ or $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{CH}^{1 / 2}$ or $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{CH}^{1 / 2}$ where C is a constant and H is the head loss.

## (c) Flow in the transition zone between smooth and rough boundaries

The value of $f$ in this particular case is influenced by $R_{e}$ as well as relative roughness of pipe. The following equation can be used for this condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{f}}=1.14-2 \log \left[\frac{s}{d}+\frac{9.35}{h_{l} \sqrt{f}}\right] \text { (Colebrook-White) } \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2.1 Determine the characteristics of flow regime in a drip pipe at a discharge rate of $600 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$, diameter of pipe 15 mm and kinematic viscosity of water $5.6 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$.

Solution: Reynolds number, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{4 q}{K v \pi d}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{4 \times 600}{3600 \times 5.6 \times 10^{-7} x \pi \times 15} \\
& =25262.68, \text { which is more than } 4000 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the flow is turbulent.
Example 2.2 What is value of friction coefficient f in Example 2.1 when flow takes place through smooth pipe and relatively rough pipe of roughness dimension 0.5 mm ?
Solution: In Blasius equation for flow through smooth pipe,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=\frac{0.3164}{R_{e}^{0.25}} \\
& =\frac{0.3164}{(25264.68)^{0.25}}=\frac{0.3164}{12.61}=0.025
\end{aligned}
$$

For relatively rough pipe,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{f}}=2 \log \frac{d}{s}+1.14 \\
\text { or, } \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}}=2 \log \frac{15}{0.5}+1.14 \\
=2.95+1.14=4.09 \\
\therefore f=\left(\frac{1}{4.09}\right)^{2}=0.06
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 2.3 Compare the friction coefficients following Blasius (Eq.2.11) and Biswas et al (Eq.2.14 \& 2.16) equations for the flow of 500 lh and $200 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ in 10 and 4 mm pipe respectively. The inlet pressure for both the pipes is 8 m . Assume kinematic viscosity of water $5.6 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$.

## Solution:

10 mm pipe:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{e}=\frac{4 q}{K \pi d v} \\
& =\frac{4 \times 500}{3600 \pi \times 5.6 \times 10^{-7} \times 10} \\
& =\frac{2000}{0.063}=31578.36
\end{aligned}
$$

Blasius equation, $f=\frac{0.3164}{R_{e}^{0.25}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{0.3164}{31578.36^{0.25}} \\
& =\frac{0.3164}{13.33}=0.0237
\end{aligned}
$$

Biswas et al, $f=-0.0008 H+0.03$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-0.0008 x 8+0.03 \\
& =-0.0064+0.03=0.0236
\end{aligned}
$$

4 mm pipe:

$$
R_{e}=\frac{4 q}{K \pi d v}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{4 \times 200}{3600 \pi \times 5.6 \times 10^{-7} \times 4} \\
& =31578.36
\end{aligned}
$$

Blasius equation,
Biswas et al., $f=-0.0009 H+0.042$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-0.0008 x 8+0.042 \\
& =0.0348
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2.4 Determine the energy loss in a drip laminar pipe flow where Reynolds number is 1600 , length of pipe 15 m , diameter of pipe 16 mm and velocity of water $45 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$.

Solution: The flow is laminar, so, $f=\frac{64}{R_{e}}$

$$
=\frac{64}{1600}=0.04
$$

The energy loss, $h_{l}=f \frac{l}{d} \frac{v^{2}}{2 g}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =0.04 \frac{15 \mathrm{~m}}{16 \mathrm{~mm}} \cdot \frac{(4 \mathrm{~cm})^{2}}{2 \times 9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}} \\
& =0.04 \times \frac{15}{16 / 1000} \times \frac{(0.45)^{2}}{2 \times 9.81} \\
& =0.387 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.3 Hazen-William Formula

There are some empirical formulae to pipe flow, which have been developed by using laboratory or field data though DarcyWeisbach equation gives rational solution of pipe flow. Among these Hazen-William formula is commonly used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=1.32 C R_{h}^{0.65} S_{f}^{0.54} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{v}=$ the velocity
$\mathrm{C}=$ the discharge constant
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}=$ the hydraulic radius
$S_{f}=$ the slope of the energy line i.e., the head loss divided by the pipe length
The values of C are given in Table 2.2.
Table 2.2 Values of C for Hazen-William formula
Description of Pipe ..... C
Polyvinyl chloride pipe ..... 155
Extremely smooth and straight ..... 140
Very smooth ..... 130
Smooth wood and wood stave ..... 120
Vitrified ..... 110
Old riveted steel ..... 100
Old cast iron ..... 95
Old pipe in bad condition. ..... 60 to 80

### 2.4 Hydraulic Characteristics of Distributors

The distributors are the accessories fitted to the pipes, or the holes in the pipe for the purpose of allowing water to drip, flow out, or spray at a low and constant discharge. Hydraulic characteristics include the operating pressure, the range of operating pressure and the normal flow rates at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water temperature and pressure head of 10 m of water excepting if any different pressure head is mentioned. A distributor should posses the characteristics of uniform and low discharge, sufficient aperture to prevent clogging and foreign matter and chemical deposits, low cost, robustness and homogeneity. Distributors may be grouped as (i) orifice or nozzle type, and (ii) long path type. Accordingly dissipation in the distributors follows either the long flow paths or the nozzle orifices. Whatever may be the method of energy dissipation; the discharge through a distributor can be expressed by the equation
$q=k_{d} H^{x}$
Where, $\mathrm{q}=$ the discharge of the distributor, $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$
$K_{d}=$ a coefficient specific to each distributor
$\mathrm{H}=$ the pressure at which the distributor operates, m
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{an}$ exponent, the value of which depends on flow regime.
The most common method of determining the values of $K_{d}$ and $x$ by the linear regression on the logarithm of flow and operating pressure using Eq.2.20

$$
\ln (\mathrm{q})=\ln \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{x} \ln \mathrm{H}
$$

which is the linear form of

$$
\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{mz}+\mathrm{c}
$$

where, $\mathrm{y}=\ln (\mathrm{q}), \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}=\ln (\mathrm{H}), \mathrm{c}=\ln \left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$.
A linear regression of the $\operatorname{lnH}$ on $\operatorname{lnq}$ will give the values of $m$ and $c$. Since $c=\ln K_{d}$ then $K_{d}=e^{c}$, Eq. 2.20 may be expressed as, (2.21)
$\mathrm{q}=e^{c} H^{m}$
For a set of discharges and pressures, the regression equation gives,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln K_{d}=\frac{\sum \ln q \sum(\ln H)^{2}-\sum(\ln q \cdot \ln H) \sum \ln H}{n \sum(\ln H)^{2}-\left(\sum \ln H\right)^{2}}  \tag{2.22}\\
& x=\frac{n \sum \ln q \cdot \ln H-\sum \ln q \cdot \sum \ln H}{n \sum(\ln H)^{2}-\left(\sum \ln H\right)^{2}} \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

When $\mathrm{n}=2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{\ln \left(q_{1} / q_{2}\right)}{\ln \left(H_{1} / H_{2}\right)} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The emission exponent characterizes the flow of distribution.
For $\mathrm{x}=0.5$, the flow is fully turbulent
$\mathrm{x}=1.0$, the flow is laminar
$0.5\langle\mathrm{x}<1.0$, the flow at intermediate stage of laminar and turbulent.
Studying the value of x corresponding to the flow regime it may be stated that the discharge variation is almost linear in laminar flow $\left(\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{x}=1\right)$. For a pressure variation of 10 percent the discharge variation will be 10 percent. In turbulent flow ( $\mathrm{x}=0.5$ ) with a pressure variation of 10 percent the expected discharge variation is only 5 percent. Therefore, to ensure the low and uniform discharge against the undulated topography and friction losses in pipes, high- pressure dissipation in distributors is required. Adopting small flow path does higher dissipation of energy in distributors. However, narrow the path higher is the risk of clogging and wider the path less the dissipation of pressure and higher flow rate. Fig 2.1 illustrates the discharge variation resulting from pressure head variation for different emitter.


Fig. 2.1 Discharge variation resulting from pressure head variation for different emitters (Adapted from: Keller and Bliesner, 1990)

## i. Flow in orifice or nozzle type distributors

Flow through any orifice or nozzles are turbulent, therefore, the value of x is 0.5 in the Eq.2.21.
$\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{H}^{0.5}$
or, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{aC}_{\mathrm{d}}(2 \mathrm{~g})^{0.5}$
Where,
$\mathrm{a}=$ cross-sectional area of the orifice
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{a}$ discharge coefficient depends on the characteristics of orifice or nozzle
$\mathrm{g}=$ acceleration due to gravity.

## ii. Flow in long path distributors

According to Darcy-Weisbach formula (Eq. 2.6 and 2.20),

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=K_{d} H^{x}=\sqrt{\frac{H d^{5} g \pi^{2}}{8 f l}} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case of laminar flow, the Eq. 2.20 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=K_{d} H=\frac{\pi d^{4} g H}{128 l} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Eq.2.27 and 2.28 are expressed for turbulent and laminar flow respectively.
Example 2.5 The discharges against the pressure head recorded to a distributor are given below:
$\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}) 0.81 .11 .72 .12 .93 .74 .24 .6$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}H(m) & 1.5 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12\end{array} 15$
Develop the head-discharge relationship.

## Solution:

| H | q | $\ln \mathrm{H}$ | $\operatorname{lnq}$ | LnH.lnq | $(\ln H)^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.405 | -0.223 | -0.090 | 0.164 |
| 2 | 1.1 | 0.693 | 0.095 | 0.066 | 0.480 |
| 4 | 1.7 | 1.386 | 0.531 | 0.736 | 1.921 |


| 6 | 2.1 | 1.792 | 0.742 | 1.330 | 3.210 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 2.9 | 2.079 | 1.065 | 2.214 | 4.322 |
| 10 | 3.7 | 2.3025 | 1.308 | 3.011 | 5.302 |
| 12 | 4.2 | 2.485 | 1.435 | 3.566 | 6.175 |
| 15 | 4.6 | 2.708 | 1.526 | 4.132 | 7.334 |
| $\Sigma=13.8505$ |  |  |  |  | $\Sigma=6.479 \Sigma=14.966 \Sigma=28.91$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln K_{d}=\frac{\sum \ln q \sum(\ln H)^{2}-\sum(\ln q \ln H) \sum \ln H}{n \sum(\ln H)^{2}-\left(\sum \ln H\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{6.479 \times 28.91-14.966 \times 13.8505}{8 \times 28.91-(13.8505)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{187.3079-207.2866}{231.28-191.8364}=\frac{-19.9787}{39.4436}=-0.5065 \\
& \therefore K_{d}=0.6026 \cong 0.6
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
x=\frac{n \Sigma \ln q \cdot \ln H-\Sigma \ln q \cdot \Sigma \ln H}{n \Sigma(\ln H)^{2}-(\Sigma \ln H)^{2}}=\frac{8 x 14.966-6.479 \times 13.8505}{8 \times 28.91-(13.8505)^{2}}
$$

$$
=\frac{29.99}{39.4436}
$$

$$
=0.761
$$

$\therefore q=0.6 H^{0.76}$

### 2.5 Manufacturing Variation of Distributors

The parameter that describes the anticipated variation of discharges of a set of new distributors caused by the variation in the manufacturing of the distributors is called manufacturer's 'coefficient of variation'. The manufacturing variation may occur in different way such as, inability to hold dimensional tolerances due to molding pressure and temperature, variation to material used, mold parting line flash, welding and gluing flash and mold wear. The extent of controlling the variation not only depends on the quality of the manufacturing materials and quality control but also on the mode of operation of the distributors. The most important of a distributor is to maintain the diameter of it. The diameter usually varies between 1 to 2 mm , which must be manufactured precisely. With laminar flow, the flow varies with $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ and in turbulent flow with $\mathrm{d}^{19 / 7}$. Therefore, a $2 \%$ variation in d causes $4 \%$ variation in laminar flow and more than $6 \%$ variation in turbulent flow. Thus, manufacturing coefficient of flow is a great concern of turbulent flow. Reasonable ranges of CV are described in Table 2.3.
Table 2.3 Interpretation of manufacturing coefficient of variation

| Coefficient of Variation(CV) | Interpretation |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.05 or less | Good |
| $0.05-0.10$ | Average |
| $0.10-0.15$ | Marginal |
| 0.15 or more | Unacceptable |

The manufacturers should provide the value of coefficient of variation. However, it can be determined from the discharge data of a set of at least 50 emitters at a reference pressure head. This is calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V=\frac{\sqrt{\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}+\ldots \ldots+q_{n}^{2}-n \bar{q}^{2}\right) /(n-1)}}{\bar{q}} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\sigma}{\bar{q}} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{CV}=$ coefficient of manufacturing variation for the set of emitters in which are the individual discharge rates, $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$.
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of emitters in the sample
$\vec{q}=$ average discharge rate of the sample $\left(q+q+\ldots \ldots \ldots+q_{n}\right) / \mathrm{n}, 1 / \mathrm{h}$
$\sigma=$ standard deviation of the discharge rate of the emitters, $1 / \mathrm{s}$.
The CV value has very useful physical significance, because the discharges of the emitters are normally distributed. The physical significance of a CV derived from the classic bell-shaped normal distribution curve is:

- Essentially all the observed rates fall within ( $1 \pm 3$ coefficient of variation)(average discharge) $=(1 \pm 3 \mathrm{CV})$.
- Approximately $95 \%$ of the discharge rates fall within ( $1 \pm 2 \mathrm{CV}$ ).
- The average of low one fourth of the discharge rate is approximately equal to $(1-1.27 \mathrm{CV})$
- Approximately $68 \%$ of the discharge rates fall within $(1 \pm \mathrm{CV})$.

From the above, for the emitter of $\mathrm{CV}=4 \%$ i.e., 0.04 and $=4 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$; the maximum discharge, $\mathrm{q}_{\max }=(1+3 \times 0.04) 4=4.48 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$. Similarly, the minimum discharge, $\mathrm{q}_{\min }=3.52 \mathrm{lh}$. Further, $95 \%$ of the discharge rates fall within the range of 3.68 and 4.32 lh . The average discharge of low one fourth of the discharge is approximately $3.80 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$. Differences in discharges due to variation in manufacturing is sometime more important than pressure variation. Therefore, it is very important to know the value of CV in selecting the distributors.

## CV of multiple distributors

Distributors are used in number in trees or vines. The value of CV in such cases gets adjusted. Therefore, the variation of discharges is less than the variation to an individual distributor. The CV of multiple uses of distributors is determined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CV}_{\text {tooal }}=\frac{C V}{\sqrt{e}} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{CV}_{\text {total }}=$ the distributors' system coefficient of variation
$e=$ the number of distributor per plant
$\mathrm{CV}=\mathrm{CV}_{\text {total }}$, when there is one outlet of the distributor.
CV \& head-discharge relationship
A good number of drippers of Indian make of nominal discharges 2, 3 and $5 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ were tested for discharge ( q ), average discharge, standard deviation (and coefficient of variation (CV) and is presented in Table 2.4 to 2.6. The average CV of the discharges for different pressure was $0.036,0.026$ and 0.024 for 2,3 and 4 lh drippers respectively. The head-discharge relationship developed for different drippers are presented in Fig. 2.2 to 2.4 and in Eq.2.32 to 2.34.
For $2 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{h}$ nominal discharge, $q=1.3315 H^{0.3092}$
For $31 / \mathrm{h}$ nominal discharge, $q=1.9377 H^{0.3026}$
For $51 / \mathrm{h}$ nominal discharge, $q=3.2589 H^{0.3409}$


Fig. 2.2 Head discharge relationship for the drippers of $2 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ nominal discharge


Fig. 2.3 Head discharge relationship for the drippers of 3 lh nominal discharge


Fig. 2.4 Head discharge relationship for the drippers of 5 lh nominal discharge
Table 2.4 Discharges, CV and SD of the drippers under different pressure head at nominal discharge of $2 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$

| Sl.No.Discharges at 2 m <br> pressure head, $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ | Discharges at 2.5 m <br> pressure head, $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ | Discharges at 3.5 m <br> pressure head, $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ | Discharges at 7.5 m <br> pressure head, $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1.552 | 1.728 | 1.888 | 2.256 |
| 2. | 1.552 | 1.648 | 1.936 | 2.2848 |
| 3. | 1.552 | 1.696 | 1.936 | 2.304 |
| 4. | 1.552 | 1.696 | 1.936 | 2.3232 |
| 5. | 1.552 | 1.696 | 1.936 | 2.352 |
| 6. | 1.6 | 1.744 | 1.936 | 2.352 |
| 7. | 1.6 | 1.744 | 1.936 | 2.3808 |
| 8. | 1.6 | 1.744 | 1.9552 | 2.4 |
| 9. | 1.6 | 1.744 | 1.9552 | 2.4 |
| 10. | 1.728 | 1.744 | 1.9552 | 2.4 |
| 11. | 1.728 | 1.744 | 1.9552 | 2.4 |
| 12. | 1.728 | 1.792 | 1.9744 | 2.4 |
| 13. | 1.728 | 1.792 | 1.9744 | 2.4 |
| 14. | 1.728 | 1.792 | 1.984 | 2.4 |
| 15. | 1.568 | 1.792 | 1.984 | 2.4 |
| 16. | 1.568 | 1.792 | 1.984 | 2.4 |
| 17. | 1.568 | 1.792 | 1.984 | 2.4192 |
| 18. | 1.568 | 1.792 | 1.984 | 2.4288 |
| 19. | 1.568 | 1.792 | 1.984 | 2.4288 |


| 20. | 1.568 | 1.792 | 1.984 | 2.4288 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21. | 1.568 | 1.792 | 1.984 | 2.4288 |
| 22. | 1.488 | 1.8208 | 1.984 | 2.4384 |
| 23. | 1.488 | 1.8208 | 1.984 | 2.4384 |
| 24. | 1.536 | 1.8208 | 1.984 | 2.4384 |
| 25. | 1.536 | 1.8208 | 1.984 | 2.448 |
| 26. | 1.536 | 1.8208 | 1.984 | 2.448 |
| 27. | 1.536 | 1.8208 | 1.984 | 2.448 |
| 28. | 1.536 | 1.8208 | 1.984 | 2.448 |
| 29. | 1.72 | 1.84 | 1.984 | 2.448 |
| 30. | 1.72 | 1.84 | 1.984 | 2.448 |
| 31. | 1.72 | 1.84 | 1.984 | 2.448 |
| 32. | 1.72 | 1.84 | 1.984 | 2.448 |
| 33. | 1.72 | 1.84 | 1.984 | 2.448 |
| 34. | 1.72 | 1.84 | 1.6 | 2.448 |
| 35. | 1.536 | 1.84 | 1.9936 | 2.448 |
| 36. | 1.536 | 1.84 | 2 | 2.448 |
| 37. | 1.536 | 1.84 | 2 | 2.448 |
| 38. | 1.536 | 1.84 | 2.0032 | 2.4576 |
| 39 | 1.536 | 1.84 | 2.0128 | 2.4576 |
| 40. | 1.584 | 1.84 | 2.0128 | 2.4768 |
| 41. | 1.584 | 1.84 | 2.0128 | 2.4768 |
| 42. | 1.584 | 1.84 | 2.0128 | 2.4768 |
| 43. | 1.584 | 1.84 | 2.0128 | 2.4768 |
| 44. | 1.584 | 1.84 | 2.0128 | 2.4768 |
| 45. | 1.584 | 1.84 | 2.0128 | 2.4768 |
| 46. | 1.584 | 1.84 | 2.0128 | 2.4768 |
| 47. | 1.584 | 1.8496 | 2.0128 | 2.4768 |
| 48. | 1.584 | 1.8496 | 2.0128 | 2.496 |
| 49. | 1.592 | 1.8592 | 2.0128 | 2.496 |
| 50. | 1.592 | 1.8592 | 2.0128 | 2.496 |
| 51. | 1.592 | 1.8592 | 2.0128 | 2.496 |
| 52. | 1.592 | 1.8592 | 2.0128 | 2.496 |
| 53. | 1.592 | 1.8592 | 2.032 | 2.496 |


| 54. | 1.592 | 1.8592 | 2.032 | 2.496 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55. | 1.592 | 1.8592 | 2.032 | 2.496 |
| 56. | 1.552 | 1.8688 | 2.032 | 2.496 |
| 57. | 1.552 | 1.8784 | 2.032 | 2.496 |
| 58. | 1.552 | 1.8784 | 2.032 | 2.496 |
| 59. | 1.552 | 1.888 | 2.032 | 2.5152 |
| 60. | 1.552 | 1.888 | 2.032 | 2.5152 |
| 61. | 1.552 | 1.888 | 2.032 | 2.5152 |
| 62. | 1.552 | 1.888 | 2.032 | 2.5152 |
| 63. | 1.6 | 1.888 | 2.032 | 2.544 |
| 64. | 1.6 | 1.888 | 2.032 | 2.544 |
| 65. | 1.6 | 1.888 | 2.0512 | 2.544 |
| 66. | 1.6 | 1.888 | 2.0512 | 2.544 |
| 67. | 1.6 | 1.888 | 2.08 | 2.544 |
| 68. | 1.6 | 1.888 | 2.08 | 2.544 |
| 69. | 1.776 | 1.888 | 2.08 | 2.544 |
| 70. | 1.776 | 1.888 | 2.08 | 2.5728 |
| 71. | 1.776 | 1.8952 | 2.08 | 2.5728 |
| 72. | 1.776 | 1.936 | 2.08 | 2.592 |
| 73. | 1.728 | 1.936 | 2.176 | 2.592 |
| 74. | 1.824 | 1.936 | 2.256 | 2.592 |
|  | $=1.607$ | $\mathrm{SD}=0.078$ | $\mathrm{SD}=0.0585$ | $=2.0017$ |
| 0.0489 | $\mathrm{CV}=0.0319$ | $\mathrm{CV}=0.03538$ | $\mathrm{CV}=0.0278$ |  |

Average CV=0.036
Table 2.5 Discharges, CV and SD of the drippers under different pressure head at $3 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ nominal discharge

| Sl.No. | Discharges at 2 m <br> pressure head, $1 / \mathrm{h}$ | Discharges at2.5m <br> pressure head, $1 / \mathrm{h}$ | Discharges at 3.5 m <br> pressure head, $1 / \mathrm{h}$ | Discharges at 7.5 m <br> pressure head, $1 / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1.92 | 2.488 | 2.856 | 3.504 |
| 2. | 2.224 | 2.584 | 2.8848 | 3.504 |
| 3. | 2.304 | 2.584 | 2.8848 | 3.552 |
| 4. | 2.304 | 2.584 | 2.904 | 3.6 |
| 5. | 2.352 | 2.584 | 2.904 | 3.6 |


| 6. | 2.352 | 2.584 | 2.904 | 3.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | 2.352 | 2.632 | 2.904 | 3.6 |
| 8. | 2.352 | 2.632 | 2.904 | 3.6 |
| 9. | 2.352 | 2.632 | 2.904 | 3.6 |
| 10. | 2.4 | 2.632 | 2.904 | 3.6 |
| 11. | 2.4 | 2.632 | 2.904 | 3.6288 |
| 12. | 2.4 | 2.632 | 2.904 | 3.6288 |
| 13. | 2.4 | 2.632 | 2.904 | 3.6288 |
| 14. | 2.4 | 2.632 | 2.904 | 3.6288 |
| 15. | 2.4 | 2.6704 | 2.9232 | 3.6288 |
| 16. | 2.4 | 2.6704 | 2.9232 | 3.648 |
| 17. | 2.4 | 2.68 | 2.9232 | 3.648 |
| 18. | 2.4 | 2.68 | 2.9232 | 3.648 |
| 19. | 2.4 | 2.68 | 2.9232 | 3.648 |
| 20. | 2.4 | 2.68 | 2.9328 | 3.648 |
| 21. | 2.4 | 2.68 | 2.9424 | 3.648 |
| 22. | 2.4 | 2.68 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 23. | 2.4192 | 2.68 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 24. | 2.4192 | 2.68 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 25. | 2.4192 | 2.68 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 26. | 2.448 | 2.68 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 27. | 2.448 | 2.68 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 28. | 2.448 | 2.68 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 29. | 2.448 | 2.68 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 30. | 2.448 | 2.68 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 31. | 2.448 | 2.6992 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 32. | 2.448 | 2.6992 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 33. | 2.448 | 2.6992 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 34. | 2.448 | 2.6992 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 35. | 2.448 | 2.6992 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 36. | 2.448 | 2.7184 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 37. | 2.448 | 2.728 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 38. | 2.496 | 2.728 | 2.952 | 3.648 |
| 39. | 2.496 | 2.728 | 2.952 | 3.6672 |


| 40. | 2.496 | 2.728 | 2.952 | 3.6672 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41. | 2.496 | 2.728 | 2.952 | 3.6672 |
| 42. | 2.496 | 2.728 | 2.952 | 3.696 |
| 43. | 2.496 | 2.728 | 2.952 | 3.696 |
| 44. | 2.496 | 2.728 | 2.952 | 3.696 |
| 45. | 2.496 | 2.728 | 2.952 | 3.696 |
| 46. | 2.496 | 2.728 | 2.952 | 3.696 |
| 47. | 2.496 | 2.7568 | 2.952 | 3.696 |
| 48. | 2.496 | 2.776 | 2.952 | 3.696 |
| 49. | 2.544 | 2.776 | 2.952 | 3.696 |
| 50. | 2.544 | 2.776 | 2.9616 | 3.696 |
| 51. | 2.544 | 2.776 | 2.9616 | 3.696 |
| 52. | 2.544 | 2.776 | 2.9712 | 3.696 |
| 53. | 2.544 | 2.776 | 2.9808 | 3.696 |
| 54. | 2.544 | 2.776 | 2.9808 | 3.7248 |
| 55. | 2.544 | 2.776 | 3 | 3.744 |
| 56. | 2.544 | 2.776 | 3 | 3.744 |
| 57. | 2.544 | 2.776 | 3 | 3.744 |
| 58. | 2.592 | 2.7952 | 3 | 3.744 |
| 59. | 2.592 | 2.7952 | 3 | 3.792 |
| 60. | 2.592 | 2.824 | 3 | 3.792 |
| 61. | 2.592 | 2.824 | 3.048 | 3.792 |
| 62. | 2.64 | 2.824 | 3.048 | 3.792 |
| 63. | 2.64 | 2.824 | 3.096 | 3.8304 |
| 64. | 2.64 | 2.872 | 3.096 | 3.84 |
|  | $\bar{q}=2.4529$ | $\bar{q}=2.7046$ | $\bar{q}=2.95$ | $\bar{q}=3.667$ |
|  | $\mathrm{SD}=0.109$ | SD $=0.0728$ | SD $=0.0444$ | $\mathrm{SD}=0.0654$ |
|  | $\mathrm{CV}=0.0446$ | $\mathrm{CV}=0.0269$ | $\mathrm{CV}=0.015$ | $\mathrm{CV}=0.0178$ |

Average CV $=0.026$
Table 2.6 Discharges, CV and SD of the drippers under different pressure head at $5 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ nominal discharge
Sl.No. Discharges at $2 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ Discharges at $2.5 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ Discharges at 3.5 m Discharges at 7.5 m pressure head, $1 / \mathrm{h}$ pressure head, $1 / \mathrm{h}$ pressure head, $1 / \mathrm{h}$ pressure head, $1 / \mathrm{h}$

| 1 | 3.888 | 4.16 | 4.7904 | 5.52 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 2 | 3.984 | 4.32 | 4.7904 | 5.5552 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 3.984 | 4.3584 | 4.8 | 6.24 |
| 4 | 3.984 | 4.3584 | 4.8192 | 6.24 |
| 5 | 3.984 | 4.3584 | 4.896 | 6.24 |
| 6 | 3.984 | 4.368 | 4.896 | 6.288 |
| 7 | 3.984 | 4.368 | 4.896 | 6.2976 |
| 8 | 3.984 | 4.368 | 4.896 | 6.3168 |
| 9. | 3.984 | 4.368 | 4.896 | 6.336 |
| 10. | 3.984 | 4.368 | 4.896 | 6.336 |
| 11. | 3.984 | 4.368 | 4.896 | 6.336 |
| 12. | 3.984 | 4.368 | 4.896 | 6.3552 |
| 13. | 4.032 | 4.3776 | 4.896 | 6.384 |
| 14. | 4.032 | 4.3968 | 4.896 | 6.384 |
| 15. | 4.032 | 4.3968 | 4.896 | 6.384 |
| 16. | 4.032 | 4.3968 | 4.9152 | 6.384 |
| 17. | 4.032 | 4.3968 | 4.9152 | 6.384 |
| 18. | 4.032 | 4.3968 | 4.9152 | 6.384 |
| 19. | 4.032 | 4.416 | 4.9152 | 6.384 |
| 20. | 4.08 | 4.416 | 4.9152 | 6.384 |
| 21. | 4.08 | 4.416 | 4.9152 | 6.4128 |
| 22. | 4.08 | 4.416 | 4.944 | 6.432 |
| 23. | 4.08 | 4.416 | 4.944 | 6.432 |
| 24. | 4.08 | 4.416 | 4.944 | 6.432 |
| 25. | 4.08 | 4.416 | 4.944 | 6.432 |
| 26. | 4.08 | 4.416 | 4.944 | 6.432 |
| 27. | 4.08 | 4.416 | 4.944 | 6.432 |
| 28. | 4.08 | 4.416 | 4.944 | 6.432 |
| 29. | 4.08 | 4.416 | 4.944 | 6.432 |
| 30. | 4.08 | 4.416 | 4.944 | 6.432 |
| 31. | 4.08 | 4.4352 | 4.944 | 6.432 |
| 32. | 4.08 | 4.4352 | 4.944 | 6.432 |
| 33. | 4.08 | 4.4352 | 4.944 | 6.4512 |
| 34. | 4.08 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.4512 |
| 35. | 4.08 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.48 |


| 36. | 4.08 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37. | 4.08 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.48 |
| 38. | 4.08 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.48 |
| 39. | 4.08 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.48 |
| 40. | 4.1088 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.48 |
| 41. | 4.128 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.48 |
| 42. | 4.128 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.48 |
| 43. | 4.128 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.48 |
| 44. | 4.128 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.48 |
| 45. | 4.128 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.48 |
| 46. | 4.128 | 4.464 | 4.944 | 6.48 |
| 47. | 4.128 | 4.464 | 4.9632 | 6.528 |
| 48. | 4.128 | 4.4736 | 4.9728 | 6.528 |
| 49. | 4.128 | 4.4928 | 4.9728 | 6.528 |
| 50. | 4.128 | 4.4928 | 4.9728 | 6.528 |
| 51. | 4.128 | 4.4928 | 4.9728 | 6.528 |
| 52. | 4.128 | 4.4928 | 4.992 | 6.528 |
| 53. | 4.128 | 4.4928 | 4.992 | 6.576 |
| 54. | 4.132 | 4.4928 | 4.992 | 6.576 |
| 55. | 4.176 | 4.4928 | 4.992 | 6.576 |
| 56. | 4.176 | 4.4928 | 4.992 | 6.576 |
| 57. | 4.176 | 4.5024 | 4.992 | 6.576 |
| 58. | 4.176 | 4.512 | 4.992 | 6.576 |
| 59. | 4.176 | 4.512 | 4.992 | 6.576 |
| 60. | 4.176 | 4.512 | 4.992 | 6.6048 |
| 61. | 4.176 | 4.512 | 4.992 | 6.6048 |
| 62. | 4.176 | 4.512 | 5.0112 | 6.6048 |
| 63. | 4.176 | 4.512 | 5.0112 | 6.624 |
| 64. | 4.224 | 4.512 | 5.04 | 6.624 |
| 65. | 4.224 | 4.512 | 5.04 | 6.624 |
| 66. | 4.224 | 4.512 | 5.04 | 6.624 |
| 67. | 4.224 | 4.512 | 5.04 | 6.624 |
| 68. | 4.224 | 4.512 | 5.04 | 6.624 |
| 69. | 4.224 | 4.5312 | 5.04 | 6.624 |

71. 
72. 

4.224
4.5312
5.04
6.672
4.5312
5.04
6.672
73.
74.
75.
76.
77.
78.
79.
80.
81.
82.
4.8
$\bar{q}=4.13$
$\mathrm{SD}=0.1256$
$\mathrm{CV}=0.03$
4.32
4.32
4.32
4.8
$\bar{q}=4.13$
$\mathrm{SD}=0.1256$
$\mathrm{CV}=0.03$
4.32
4.32
4.32
4.32
4.56
4.56
4.608
4.704
4.8
$\bar{q}=4.463$
$\mathrm{SD}=0.0845$
$C V=0.0189$
5.04
6.672
5.088
5.088
6.672
6.672
4.56
5.088
6.7008
4.56
5.088
6.7008
5.136
6.72
5.136
6.72
5.184
6.7392
5.184
6.768
5.28
$\bar{q}=4.97$
$\mathrm{SD}=0.08367$
6.768
$\bar{q}=6.48$
$\mathrm{SD}=0.197$
$\mathrm{CV}=0.0168$
$\mathrm{CV}=0.03$

### 2.6 Irrigation Uniformity and Efficiency

The discharges from the distributors depend on:
i. Designed distributors characteristics
ii. Standard of manufacture
iii. Friction losses in the pipe network
iv. Elevation fluctuation of the field
v. Number of clogging or partially clogged distributor within the system
vi. Variation in the water temperature within the system.

So far, there is no analytical means to deal with all the above items in drip system design. However, in a good irrigation system it is desired to ensure sufficient water to least-watered plant. Therefore, the relationship between the minimum discharges of the distributors to the average discharge is an important factor. The degree of emitter flow variation in a lateral can be expressed in different ways, such as:
i. $E_{u}=1-\frac{\Delta q}{\bar{q}}$
ii. $E_{u}=\frac{q_{\text {min }}}{\bar{q}}$,Keller and Bliesner(1990)
iii. $q_{\mathrm{var}}=\frac{q_{\max }-q_{\min }}{q_{\max }}$, Wu and Gitlin (1974)

Where, $=$ uniformity coefficient of the emitter flows
$\overline{\Delta q}=$ mean of absolute deviation from the mean emitter flow
$\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}=$ mean of emitter flow
$q_{\text {ㅍin }}=$ average of the lowest one fourth of the emitters
$q_{\mathrm{var}}=$ emitter flow variation

$$
q_{\min }=\text { minimum emitter flow }
$$

$q_{\text {max }}=$ maximum emitter flow
The quantitative expression of Eq. 2.37 is very simple because it requires only the maximum and minimum flow. Since the pressure flow variation vis-à-vis the emitter flow variation are smooth curves (Fig. 2.2-2.4), the relationship between the emitter flow variation, $q_{\text {var }}$, and the uniformity coefficient (Eq.2.36) can be obtained as shown in Fig. 2.5. A uniformity coefficient about $98 \%$ equals an emitter flow variation of $10 \%$ and a uniformity variation coefficient of $95 \%$ equals an emitter flow variation of $20 \%$.
The quantitative expression of Eq. 2.37 is very simple because it requires only the maximum and minimum flow. Since the pressure flow variation vis-à-vis the emitter flow variation are smooth curves (Fig. 2.2-2.4), the relationship between the emitter flow variation, and the uniformity coefficient (Eq.2.36) can be obtained as shown in Fig. 2.5. A uniformity coefficient about 98\% equals an emitter flow variation of $10 \%$ and a uniformity variation coefficient of $95 \%$ equals an emitter flow variation of $20 \%$.


Fig. 2.5 Relationship between emitter flow variation and uniformity coefficient
The pressure and emitter flow variation are related by the x -value $\left(q=K_{d} H^{x}\right)$ as shown in Eq.2.20.

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{\mathrm{var}}=1-\left(1-H_{\mathrm{var}}\right)^{x}  \tag{2.38}\\
& \text { and, } H_{\mathrm{var}}=\frac{H_{\max }-H_{\min }}{H_{\mathrm{max}}} \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Keller and Karmeli (1971) defined the designed $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ (emission uniformity) as "the manufacturer's discharge ratio, adjusted for the number of distributors per plant and expressed as a percentage, multiplied by the ratio of the absolute minimum, determined from the nominal rate versus head curve to the average distributor discharge rate and expressed as the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{u}=100 \frac{q_{\min }}{\bar{q}} M_{r} f(e) \text { with } M_{r} f(e)=1-\frac{1.27 C V}{\sqrt{e}} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{min}}=$ the minimum discharge rate of the distributors determined with the minimum pressure, within the applicable range, causing the nominal relationship $q$ \& $h$.
$\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}=$ the average discharge rate of all the pressure
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ the manufacturer's discharge ratio
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{e})=$ the adjustment factor for number of distributors per plant.
The manufacturer's discharge ratio is the average of the low $1 / 4$ to the average discharge rate of a test sample of distributors operated at a reference pressure head and estimated from the CV. The average of the low $1 / 4$ is taken as the practical minimum.
The Eq. 2.40 may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{x}}=100\left(1-\frac{1.27 C V}{\sqrt{e}}\right) \frac{q_{\text {ma }}}{q} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2.6 The standard deviation of discharges and average discharge rate are $5 \%$ and $5.05 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{hr}$ respectively of a set of distributors. What is the maximum and minimum flow through the distributors? What is the emission uniformity if 6 -oulet distributors
are used?
Solution: Manufacturer's coefficient of variation, $C V=\frac{\sigma}{\bar{q}}=\frac{0.05}{5.05}=9.9 \times 10^{-7}$
$q_{\text {maniol }}=\left\{1 \pm \frac{3 \sigma}{q}\right\} q=\{1 \pm 3 \mathrm{CV}\} \mathrm{g}$
$=5.201 / \mathrm{s}$

## Questions and Problems

2.1 Prove that in $h_{1}=\frac{128 n l q}{\pi d^{4} g}$ drip laminar flow
2.2 How the roughness of pipes influence on friction factor, f ?
2.3 What is a distributor? What are the characteristics the distributors should have?
2.4 What is manufacturers' coefficient of variation? How is it important to uniformity of discharge?
2.5 How do you calculate the CV? What is the important physical significance of CV?
2.6 What are the factors on which the discharge of distributors depends? State the formulae, which are commonly used to express the uniformity coefficient of the distributors.
2.7 How Keller and Karmeli defined the emission uniformity?
2.8 Determine the characteristics flow, which takes place in a lateral pipe at a rate of $5001 / \mathrm{h}$. The diameter of lateral and the kinematics viscosity of water are 12 mm and $5.5 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ respectively. What is the value of friction coefficient, f , if the lateral is a relatively rough pipe?

Ans. 26793.76 (turbulent) $\mathrm{f}=0.028$
2.9 Determine the energy loss in a drip partially laminar flow with Reynolds number 3500. The length of the pipe 25 m , diameter of pipe 15 mm and velocity of water $50 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$.

Ans. 0.388 m
2.10 Develop the q (discharge)- H (head) relationship form the following data:

## $q($ lit/hr) 1.121 .521 .852 .152 .402 .62 .833 .103 .22 <br> $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m}) & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 18\end{array}$

2.11 The following discharges $(1 / \mathrm{s})$ were recorded in the distributors at the nominal head of 10 m :
$4.01,4,03,4.19,4.15,4.05,3.97,3.92,3.96,4.08,3.90,4.14,4.10,3.92,3.94,4.07,4.20,4.13,4.09,3.91,3.99,4.07,3.89,4.17$, 4.11, 4.13, 3.93, 4.17 and 3.95 .

Determine the coefficient of manufacturing variation for this set of emitters. Find the $q_{\max }, q_{\min }$ and
2.12 What is the maximum and minimum flow through distributors when standard deviation of discharge and average discharge are $5 \%$ and $4.051 / \mathrm{h}$ respectively. What is the coefficient of variation (CV) of discharges through the distributors?.
Ans. $q_{\max }=3.851 / \mathrm{h}, q_{\min }=2 / \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{CV}=0.0123$
2.13 The discharge of distributors are characterized by $q=0.65 h^{0.8}$. The minimum and average pressure head in a lateral are 9 and 10 m respectively. Determine the CV.

Ans. $\mathrm{CV}=0.027$
2.14 The standard deviation of discharge and average discharge rate of a set of distributors are $4.5 \%$ and $6.51 / \mathrm{h}$ respectively. What is the minimum uniformity of emission if 4-outlet distributors are used?
Ans. 97.57\%
2.15 Write True or False of the following:

1. In laminar flow friction coefficient is independent to relative roughness of pipe.
2. Reynolds number is more than 5000 in fully turbulent flow
3. Reynolds number is unimportant when turbulent flow occurs on rough surface.
4. Drip system is standardized at water temperature of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
5. Discharge coefficient depends on the characteristics of orifice or nozzle.
6. The variation in distributor diameter causes more variation to discharge in laminar flow than turbulent flow.
7. Manufacturer's coefficient of variation of distributor discharge should be within 0.1 for good distributor.
8. More the number of distributors in a plant more the manufacturer's coefficient of variation of distributor discharges.
9. Approximately $68 \%$ of the discharge rates of the distributors fall within.
10. In a fully compensating distributor the discharge to 0.5 power of pressure head.

Ans. 1.True 2. False 3. True 4. False 5. True 6. False 7. False 8. False 9. True 10. False.
2.16 Select the appropriate answer from the following. Reynolds number in circular pipe may be expressed as
a. $R_{e}=\frac{v d}{v}$
b. $R_{e}=\frac{v d^{2}}{v}$
c. $R_{e}=\frac{v^{2} d}{v}$
d. $R_{e}=\frac{v d}{g v}$

1. The loss of energy in pipe is
a. directly proportional to diameter (b) directly proportional to square of diameter (c) inversely proportional to the diameter (d) inversely proportional to the square of diameter
2. Unstable flow starts when Reynolds number exceeds
a. 1000
b. 1500
c. 2000
d. 4000
3. Normal temperature of water in drip is assumed
a. $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
b. $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
c. $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
d. $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
4. The discharge-head relationship of a distributor is $q=K_{d} H^{x}$. In fully turbulent flow the value of exponent x is
a. 0.5
b. 0.75
c. 0.85
d. 1.0
5. Water flow at a rate of $0.151 / \mathrm{s}$ through a lateral pipe of 10 mm diameter. If kinematics viscosity of water is $5.6 \times 210^{-7} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$, the Reynolds number is
a. 33928
b. 16964
c. 25446
d. 67856
6. The dimension of kinematic viscosity is
a. $\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{-1}$
b. $\mathrm{LT}^{-1}$
c. LT (d) $\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{~T}$
7. In a flow regime the Renolds number is 1750 . Flow regime is said to be
a. laminar
b. unstable
c. partially turbulent
d. turbulent
8. In a laminar flow the Reynolds number $\left(R_{e}\right)$ is 1750 . The coefficient of friction (f) is about
a. 0.03
b. 0.04
c. 0.05
d. 0.06
9. The coefficient of friction ( f ) in a turbulent flow is 0.02 . The Reynolds number is about
a. 18791
b. 37581
c. 46977
d. 62636
10. At fully turbulent flow a distributor discharges $41 / \mathrm{h}$ at 10 m pressure. The discharge coefficient $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ of the distributor is about
a. 0.86
b. 1.16
c. 1.26
d. 1.46
11. A set of distributors of average discharges $41 / \mathrm{h}$ and manufacturer's coefficient of variation is 0.03 . The average discharge of low one- fourth of the discharge rates is about
a. $3.851 / \mathrm{h}$
b. $3.951 / \mathrm{h}$
c. $4.121 / \mathrm{h}$
d. $4.361 / \mathrm{h}$
12. The manufacturer's coefficient of variation of a set of distributors is $4 \% ; 6$ numbers of which are used in a plant. The effective coefficient of variation of the distributors is about
a. 0.04
b. 0.03
c. 0.02
d. 0.01

Ans. 1. (a) 2. (c) 3. (c), 4. (d), 5. (a) 6. (a) 7. (a) 8. (a) 9. (c) 10. (d) 11. (c) 12. (a) 13. (d)
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## CHAPTER - 3

## Drip Design Procedure

### 3.1 Crop Water Requirements

In designing an irrigation system, the primary objective is to know the water requirement of crops. Water requirement of crops are determined either by field cultivation or by estimation through commonly used empirical formulae such as Blaney Criddle, radiation, Penman or pan evaporation method.

## Definitions

Crop water requirement or evapotranspiration ( $\mathbf{E T}_{\text {crop }}$ ): It may be defined as the rate of evapotranspiration of a disease free crop growing in a field of not less than one hectare under adequate fertility and water supply so that full productive potential can be achieved in prevailing environment. Evapotranspiration requirement of a crop refers the $\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}$ and expressed in $\mathrm{mm} /$ day.

Reference crop evapotranspiration ( $\mathbf{E T}_{\mathbf{0}}$ ): It is the rate of evapotranspiration from an extended surface of 8 to 15 cm tall green grass of uniform height, actively growing, completely shading the ground and not short of water. $\mathrm{ET}_{0}$ may be computed by using the empirical formulae and meteorological data for the specific period.
Crop coefficient $\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{c}}\right)$ : It is the ratio of crop evapotranspiration, $\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}$, and the reference crop evapotranspiration, $\mathrm{ET}_{0}$, when both apply to large field under optimum growth condition.
Ground Cover: Drip irrigation system is mainly used to irrigate orchard or row crops. At the young stage of crops the most of fields remain unshaded. It is more usual to wide spaced crops. During this period there is little loss of water in the form of evaporation and transpiration from the unshaded portion whereas it occur considerably in surface or sprinkler irrigation. Thus, water requirement in crops in conventional method includes the non-beneficial evaporation or transpiration from the unshaded or uncovered areas in the field. This is taken into account in calculating the water requirement of crop in drip irrigation and is modified by introducing the reduction factor called ground coverage factor $\left(\kappa_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$. The method of accurate estimation of $\kappa_{\mathrm{r}}$ is still to be developed. However, the following relationships may be used for approximate estimation in which the ground cover, GC, is the fraction of the total surface area actually covered by the foliage of the plants when viewed from directly above (FAO,1980).
i. Keller and Karmeli (1974):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{G C}{0.85} \text { or } 1 \text {, whichever is the smallest } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## ii. Freeman and Gazzoli:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}}=G C+\frac{1}{2}(1-G C) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii. Decroix:
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}=(0.10+G C)$ or 1 , whichever is the smallest
The value of 0.10 includes the oasis effect, which is very important when the coverage is small.
With the consideration of above terms and relationships, the water requirement of crop in drip irrigation is
$E T_{\text {crop }}=K_{c} x K_{r} x E T_{0}$
We know the pan evaporation $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pan}}\right)$ is very close to $\mathrm{ET}_{0}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{ET}_{0}$ often estimated by a multiplication factor called pan factor $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pan}}$. Therefore, the Eq. 3.4 becomes,
$E T_{\text {crop }}=K_{p} x K_{c} x K_{r} x E_{\text {pan }}$

Example 3.1 The following are the pan evaporations ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pan}}$ ), reference crop evapotranspirations $\left(\mathrm{ET}_{0}\right)$ and crop coefficients ( $\kappa_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) of an arbitrary location and crop.

|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pan}}$, <br> $\mathrm{mm} /$ day | 2.5 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| $\mathrm{ET}_{0}$, <br> $\mathrm{mm} /$ day | 1.75 | 1.90 | 3.0 | 3.25 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| $\kappa_{\text {c }}$ | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.75 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

Determine the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ values and water requirement of crop $\left(\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}\right)$ at different months. Assume $45 \%$ ground coverage from January to March and $60 \%$ for the remaining months.
Solution: Using Decroix assumption,
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}=0.1+\mathrm{GC}$
$=0.1+0.45$
$=0.55$, when GC is $45 \%$
\& $\kappa_{\mathrm{r}}=0.1+0.6=0.7$, when GC is $60 \%$.
$\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}$ in any month $=K_{p} x K_{c} x K_{r} x E_{\text {pan }}$
For the month of January, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{E T_{0}}{E_{p a n}}=\frac{1.75}{2.5}=0.7$
$\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}=0.7 \times 0.6 \times 0.55 \times 2.5$

$$
=0.58 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{day}
$$

Similarly the $\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}$ of other months are calculated and tabulated as below.

|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | [ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {pan }}$, $\mathrm{mm} /$ day | 2.5 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{ET}_{0}$, $\mathrm{mm} /$ day | 1.75 | 1.90 | 3.0 | 3.25 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | 0.7 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.69 | 0.8 | 0.68 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.75 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0 |
| $E T_{\text {crop }}$, mm/day | 0.58 | 0.63 | 1.15 | 1.72 | 2.3 | 2.36 | 2.44 | 2.35 | 1.85 | 1.37 | 0.8 | 0 |

Example 3.2 The following information were obtained in a research of papaya cultivation under drip irrigation at Gayeshpur, West Bengal (Biswas et al, 1999):
The daily requirement of a plant was determined by, $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pan}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}} \times \mathrm{A}$
Where,
$\mathrm{V}=$ volume of water applied to each plant, $1 /$ day
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {pan }}=$ pan evaporation $\times 1.0,0.8$ and 0.6 at the irrigation level of $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ respectively, mm/day
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ crop factor (assumed $0.8,1.0$ and 1.2 at 4-6, 7-9 and 10-12 months respectively of the crop age)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ pan factor $=0.8$
$\mathrm{A}=$ area under a plant $=2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$
Yield $=36225,40200,38550$ and $31200 \mathrm{~kg} /$ ha for $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{I}_{3}$ and conventional method of irrigation respectively
a. Determine the volume of water applied at each plant at different irrigation levels for a pan evaporation of 5 mm in a day at $5^{\text {th }}$ month of crop age.
b. If the cumulative pan evaporation (CPE) during the period except in the rainy days for which irrigation was required was 240 mm , find the total depth of water applied in each irrigation level.
c. Determine the irrigation water use efficiency in different irrigation treatment and percent increase in yield over conventional method.


Solution:
(a) $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pan}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}} \times \mathrm{A}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore \mathrm{I}_{1}=5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1.0 \times 0.8 \times 0.8 \times 4 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
& =\frac{5}{1000} \times 4=0.02 \mathrm{~m}^{3}=12.81 / \text { day } \\
& \mathrm{I}_{2}=5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.8 \times 0.8 \times 0.8 \times 4 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
& =10.241 / \text { day }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{I}_{3}=5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.6 \times 0.8 \times 0.8 \times 4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

$$
=7.68 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{day}
$$

(b) Depth of water applied at any irrigation level,
$I=E_{\text {pan }} \times K_{p} \times K_{c}$
The crop coefficient is taken 1.0 as the average of the crop coefficients of $0.8,1.0$ and 1.2 during the period.
Therefore, $\mathrm{I}_{1}=240 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1.0 \times 0.8 \times 1.0$
$=19.2 \mathrm{~cm}$
$\mathrm{I}_{2}=240 \mathrm{mmx} 0.8 \times 0.8 \times 1.0$
$=15.36 \mathrm{~cm}$
$\mathrm{I}_{3}=240 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.6 \times 0.8 \times 1.0$
$=11.52 \mathrm{~cm}$
(c) Irrigation water use efficiency (WUE) at irrigation level,
$I_{1}=\frac{\text { Yield } / \text { ha }}{\text { depth of water applied }}$

$$
=\frac{36,225 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}}{19.2 \mathrm{~cm}}=1886.72 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}-\mathrm{cm}
$$

$I_{2}=\frac{40,200}{15.36}=2617.19 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}-\mathrm{cm}$
$I_{3}=\frac{38,550}{11.52}=3346.35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}-\mathrm{cm}$
WUE in conventional method $=\frac{31,200}{24}=1300 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}-\mathrm{cm}$
Percent increase in yield over conventional methods at irrigation levels

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\frac{36225-31200}{31200} \times 100=16.11 \\
& I_{2}=\frac{40200-31200}{31200} \times 100=28.85 \\
& I_{3}=\frac{38550-31200}{31200} \times 100=23.56
\end{aligned}
$$

Irrigation water requirement (IR): It is the portion of water requirement of a crop or a predetermined portion of it, which is supplied through irrigation. If the water requirement of a crop is solely satisfied through irrigation then water requirement of crop and irrigation water requirement is same. In drip irrigation, water requirement of a crop is usually a predetermined portion to conventional method.
Net irrigation requirement ( $\mathbf{I R}_{\mathbf{n}}$ ): Rainfall, water in soil profile and underground seepage may contribute to water requirements of plants. Subtracting these contributions to water requirement of crop is called the net irrigation water requirement.
Gross irrigation water requirement $\left(\mathbf{I R}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)$ : In confirming the net irrigation requirement to crop some additional amount of water usually requires to minimize the unavoidable system losses. Adding this additional amount to net irrigation requirement is the gross irrigation requirement. When there is some extra amount of water is required for leaching that too is included in gross irrigation requirement.

$$
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ irrigation efficiency and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ leaching requirement.
The unavoidable system losses as stated above may be account to irrigation efficiency or overall irrigation efficiency in drip irrigation. Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the application efficiency, which may be defined as the ratio of water stored in the plant root zone to water delivered to the field. Thus, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ takes into consideration the deep percolation or other losses of water. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ is the uniformity of application of water through different distributors. The value of both $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ are less than 1 .
Drip irrigation system does not permit the deep percolation. It is meant for only to supply the calculated volume to satisfy the crop requirement. However, the porous or light soils, which have poor water holding capacity and high infiltration rate should be provided with some additional water to meet up the unavoidable percolation losses. Vermeiren \& Jobling (1980) suggested the values of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for different soils are listed in
Table 3.1 Values of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for various soils

| Soil type | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Coarse sand or light top soil with gravel subsoil | 0.87 |
| Sands | 0.91 |
| Silts | 0.95 |
| Loam \& clays | 1.00 |

The value of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ entirely depends on the discharge rates of different distributors in the system. Discharge from a distributor is the function of pressure variation and discharge characteristics of the distributors. Well-designed distributor network and high perfection in manufacturing the distributors gives high value of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$.
Some researchers suggested allowing 10 percent additional water to irrigation requirement as first approximation to minimize the requirement of leaching and unavoidable percolation. Therefore, gross irrigation requirement may be written as

$$
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{g}}=
$$

Peak irrigation requirement: Peak irrigation requirement is used to calculate the pipe sizes, pump capacity, etc. Once the system is designed for certain capacity it remains constant. However, the daily requirement of water may changes. This changes is met by adjusting the duration of pumping but not by increasing or decreasing the flow rate. The determination of peak irrigation requirement may arise in two cases: one when the irrigation is the sole source to meet water requirement and another when irrigation is supplemental to nature. Therefore, gross irrigation requirement may be calculated as below:

$$
\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pan}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}(3.6)
$$

Peak pan evaporation considered is not the absolute peak pan evaporation but a compromise between the costs of supplying the absolute peak irrigation requirement to losses in production if certain reduced amount of peak demand is supplied to the plants. Instead of taking the average of pan evaporation of exceptional hot days, the peak pan evaporation is taken as average of the month of maximum evaporations. The plant factor is also very important. There are some plants whose flowering; fruit set or other water sensitive periods may coincide the extreme days of evaporation. The orchard crops with well-established and strong root system the 'average maximum value' can satisfy the water requirement of 90 to 95 percent. The plants which are more water demanding, delicate and with small root system, the peak pan evaporation should be taken as the average daily evaporation for the 10 days of maximum evaporation.
The value of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is considered from the published data on agro-climatic zone basis. However, when there is no such data avoidable a value of 0.8 may be taken as first approximation as the value fluctuates around this. For many maturing crops with good water holding capacity the value of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}$ may be taken as unity.
The peak irrigation requirement when the irrigation is supplemental to irrigation may be fixed on the basis of local research on each crop and soil type. In general, the shallow rooted crops on lighter soils the peak irrigation requirement will be more and it will be less in deep- rooted crops with good water holding capacity.
Day-to-day irrigation requirement: The amount of water to be irrigated in a day or per irrigation to be calculated in a normal operating system by the modification of the values $K_{c}, K_{r}$ and $E_{p a n}$. The $K_{c}$ and $K_{r}$ value will depend on the stage of the growth. The $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pan}}$ is taken as the average daily evaporation for the months.
Example 3.3 With the data in Example 3.1 and assuming the $\mathrm{Ea}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and crop spacing as $0.85,0.90$ and $5 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{~m}$ respectively, find the gross irrigation requirement in $\mathrm{mm} /$ day, $1 /$ day/plant and peak irrigation requirement (PIR).
Solution: Using the available data the values of $\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}$ were determined in Example 3.1. With these values of $\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}$ and introducing the $E_{a}$ and $E_{u}$, the gross irrigation requirement in $\mathrm{mm} /$ day, $1 /$ day/plant and peak irrigation requirement (PIR) are determined as below.

|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {pan }}$, mm/day | 2.5 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| $\mathrm{ET}_{0}$, mm/day | 1.75 | 1.90 | 3.0 | 3.25 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | 0.7 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.69 | 0.8 | 0.68 | 0.61 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.75 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| $\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}$, mm/day | 0.58 | 0.63 | 1.15 | 1.72 | 2.3 | 2.36 | 2.44 | 2.35 | 1.85 | 1.37 | 0.8 | 0.69 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{S}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | -0. | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{mm} /$ day | 0.760 .82 | 1.58 | 2.25 | 3.00 | 3.08 | 3.19 | 3.07 | 2.42 | 1.05 |  | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{g}}$ lit/day/plant |  |  |  | 75 | 77 | 79.75 | 76.75 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{PIR}=79.75 \mathrm{l} /$ day $/$ plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.2 Water Distribution in Soils and Wetting Pattern

The shape of the distribution of water when applied from a point source in soil depends mainly on soil characteristics and gravity force. The soil texture, the soil horizontal and vertical permeability, capillary suction, presence or absence of impervious layers, the volume of water applied per irrigation, the rate of application and the initial moisture content influence the wetting pattern of soil.
The fine textured soil such as clay and clay loam, the capillary forces are strong and gravity force can be considered negligible. The horizontal movement may be faster than the downward. The wetting pattern usually takes the shape of a bulb (Fig. 3.1a). In light soil the capillary forces are small and the gravity force has some influence on movement of water. The downward movement is faster than horizontal, which causes a wetting pattern of more elongation to downward (Fig. 3.1b). The soils in between the fine and light soils the influence of capillary suction and gravity are almost equal Therefore, the wetting pattern will have more or less equal horizontal and vertical elongation leads to pear shape (Fig.3.1c). However, the soils are very complicated in nature. Soil characteristics are seldom homogeneous. Therefore, it is very difficult to predict the exact shape of the wetting pattern.
Schwarzman and Zur (1985) proposed the following empirical equations correlating depth and width of the wetted soil volume to emitter discharge, saturated hydraulic conductivity of soil and volume of water in the soil volume.


In heavy soil
(a)


In medium soil (b)


In light soil (c)
Fig. 3.1 Drip water penetration pattern

$$
\begin{align*}
& z=K_{1}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.63}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{0.45}  \tag{3.7}\\
& w=K_{2}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.22}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{-0.17} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining Eqs.3.7and 3.8 one can get the following relationship,
$w=K_{3}(z)^{0.33}(q)^{0.33}\left(C_{s}\right)^{-0.33}$
Where, $\mathrm{z}=$ vertical distance to wetting front, m
$\mathrm{w}=$ wetted width or diameter of wetting front, m
$\mathrm{K}_{1}=$ empirical coefficient $=29.2$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ volume of water applied, 1
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soil, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{q}=$ emitter discharge, $1 / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{K}_{2}=$ empirical co-efficient $=0.031$
$\mathrm{K}_{3}=$ empirical co-efficient $=0.0094$
Singh et al. (2000) stated the investigation on the radial and vertical movement of water front in clay and clay loam soils at Rahuri, Maharashtra using different discharge rates ( $2,4,6,8,10$ and 12 lh ) and different irrigation schedules (daily, alternate day, two and three days interval). It was observed that wetting front movement in vertical as well as radial plane was different for different emitter discharge and irrigation schedules. The average depth at which maximum radial spread occurs found to be 40 to 60 cm irrespective of emitter discharge and volume of water applied. The findings of the investigation gave the following relationships for estimating the maximum lateral and vertical movement of waterfront:
For clay loam soil, $w=0.50 q^{-0.09} v^{0.24}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=0.48 q^{0.14} v^{0.29} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For clay soil, $w=0.50 q^{-0.19} v^{0.24}$
$z=0.48 q^{0.17} v^{0.15}$
where, $\mathrm{w}=$ maximum lateral movement, m
$\mathrm{z}=$ maximum vertical movement, m
$\mathrm{q}=$ emitter discharge, $1 / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{v}=$ volume of water added, 1
There are also some graphical representations and charts to estimate the extent of wetting, which was developed by limited number of field experiments (Fig. $3.2 \& 3.3$ ) (FAO, 1980). Therefore, the values are suggested to use with caution as a first approximation (FAO, 1980). Singh et al (2000) conducted the experiment with three types of soil, viz. loam, sandy loam and sand with three discharges for determining the horizontal and vertical movement of water front from a point source. The relation thus developed are stated below,
$S_{\mathrm{y}}=c t^{d}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t}{S_{x}}=a+b t \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ vertical advance, cm
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}$ constant
$t=$ time of application, min
$d=$ arithmetic slope of the straight line
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ horizontal advance of moisture front, cm
$\mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}=$ constant
Table 3.2 Vertical advances $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)$ and elapsed time $(\mathrm{t})$ for different soils and flow rate

| Type of <br> soil | Discharge rate <br> $(\mathrm{lph})$ | Observed vertical distance of <br> water $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Equation | Correlation <br> coefficient |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sandy <br> loam | 1.4 | 34 | $S_{y}=$ <br> $2.487 t^{0.3646}$ | $0.998^{*}$ |
|  | 1.8 | 40 | $S_{y}=$ <br> $2.297 t^{0.4142}$ | $0.998^{*}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$1.723 t^{0.5147}$

| Loam | 1.4 | 30 | $\begin{gathered} S_{y}= \\ 2.4876_{t} t^{0.3495} \end{gathered}$ | 0.997* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.8 | 34 | $\begin{gathered} S_{y}= \\ 2.1915_{t} t^{0.3988} \end{gathered}$ | 0.995* |
|  | 2.8 | 40 | $\begin{gathered} S_{y}= \\ 1.6834_{t} 0.49 \end{gathered}$ | 0.999* |
| Sand | 1.4 | 48 | $\begin{gathered} S_{y}= \\ 2.075_{t} 0.439 \end{gathered}$ | 0.995* |
|  | 1.8 | 58 | $\begin{gathered} S_{y}= \\ 1.792 t^{0.505} \end{gathered}$ | 0.999* |
|  | 2.8 | 75 | $\begin{gathered} S_{y}= \\ 1.725_{t} 0.5806 \end{gathered}$ | 0.995* |

*Significance at $1 \%$ level
Source: Singh et al. (2000)
Table 3.3 Empirical equations relating horizontal advance $\left(S_{x}\right)$ and elapsed time ( $t$ ) for different soils and flow rates

| Type of soil | Discharge rate ( 1 ph ) | Observed horizontal distance of water (cm) | Equation | Correlation coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sandy <br> loam | 1.4 | 30.5 | $\begin{gathered} t / S_{x}=3.387+ \\ 0.0305 t \end{gathered}$ | 0.999* |
|  | 1.8 | 34 | $\begin{gathered} t / S_{x}=1.999+ \\ 0.0276 t \end{gathered}$ | 0.999* |
|  | 2.8 | 39 | $\begin{gathered} t / S_{x}=1.892+ \\ 0.0227 t \end{gathered}$ | 0.999* |
| Loam | 1.4 | 24 | $\begin{gathered} t / S_{x}=2.488+ \\ 0.0266 t \end{gathered}$ | 0.999* |
|  | 1.8 | 28 | $\begin{gathered} t / S_{x}=1.961+ \\ 0.025 t \end{gathered}$ | 0.998* |
|  | 2.8 | 29 |  |  |
| Sand | 1.4 | 35 | $\begin{gathered} t / S_{x}=1.714+ \\ 0.0193 t \end{gathered}$ | 0.999* |
|  | 1.8 | 38 | $\begin{gathered} t / S_{x}=3.13+ \\ 0.0395 t \end{gathered}$ | 0.999* |

$$
\begin{gathered}
t / S_{x}=2.895387+ \\
0.0355 t \\
t / S_{x}=1.463+ \\
0.0325 t
\end{gathered}
$$

*Significance at $1 \%$ level
Source: Sigh et al. (2000)


Fig. 3.2 Approximate guide for estimating the diameter of wetting Courtesy: FAO (1980)


Fig. 3.3 Approximate guide for estimating the diameter of wetting Courtesy: FAO (1980)
Example 3.4 Drip irrigation is done from a point source at a rate of $2 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ and $5 \mathrm{l} /$ plant/day. If the saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soil is $1.2 \mathrm{~m} /$ day, determine the width and depth of wetting.
Solution: Using Schwarzman \& Zur (1985) [Eq.3.7 \& 3.8)],
Depth of wetting, $z=K_{1}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.63}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{0.45}$
$=29.2 \times 5^{0.63}\left(\frac{1.2}{24 \times 3600 \times 2}\right)^{0.45}$
$=-29.2 \times 2.76 \times 4.77 \times 10^{-3}$
$=0.38 \mathrm{~m}$
Width of wetting,
$w=K_{2}\left(V_{w}\right)^{022}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{-0.17}$
$=0.031 x 5^{0.22}\left(\frac{1.2}{24 \times 3600 \times 2}\right)^{-0.17}$
$=0.031 \times 1.42 \times 7.53$
$=0.33 \mathrm{~m}$
Example 3.5 In continuation of Example 3.4 and assuming 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and $301 /$ plant/day water application, develop the relation between water front advance and volume of water application.

## Solution:

Using Schwarzman \& Zur (1985) equation the depths of wetting (z) and the widths of wetting (w) calculated are listed below.
Volume of water application, Water front advance

| 1/plant/day | Vertical (z), m | Horizontal (w), m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0.38 | 0.33 |
| 10 | 0.59 | 0.39 |
| 15 | 0.77 | 0.42 |
| 20 | 0.92 | 0.45 |
| 25 | 1.06 | 0.47 |
| 30 | 1.19 | 0.49 |



Thus, the following two equations are developed for different application volume for the application rate of $2 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ and at saturated hydraulic conductivity of soil $1.2 \mathrm{~m} /$ day.

Depth of wetting, $z=0.1362 V^{0.6377}$
Width of wetting, $z=0.2335 \nu^{0.2183}$
Example 3.6 Referring to Example 3.4, assuming point source discharge rate of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 \& $10 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ and water application of 5, $10,15,20 \& 301 /$ plant/day, calculate the vertical and horizontal wetting and develop the relations between water spreading and rate of application.

## Solution:

Similar to Example 3.4, by using the Schwarzman \& $\operatorname{Zur}(1985)$ equation, the depths of wetting (z) and the widths of wetting (w) calculated are listed below.

For application volume, $\mathrm{V}=5 \mathrm{l} /$ plant/day

| Rate of discharge, $1 / \mathrm{h}$ | Water front advance |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vertical (z), m Horizontal (w), m |  |
| 1 | 0.52 | 0.30 |
| 2 | 0.38 | 0.33 |


| 3 | 0.32 | 0.36 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0.25 | 0.39 |
| 7 | 0.22 | 0.41 |
| 10 | 0.19 | 0.44 |
| For V = 10 1/plant/day |  |  |
| Rate of discharge, l/h | Water front advance |  |
|  | Vertical (z), m Horizontal (w), m |  |
| 1 | 0.81 | 0.34 |
| 2 | 0.59 | 0.39 |
| 3 | 0.50 | 0.42 |
| 4 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| 5 | 0.39 | 0.45 |
| 6 | 0.36 | 0.47 |
| 7 | 0.34 | 0.48 |
| 10 | 0.29 | 0.51 |

For 151/plant/day

| Rate of discharge, $1 / \mathrm{h}$ | Water front advance |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vertical (z), m Horizontal (w), m |  |
| 1 | 1.05 | 0.38 |
| 2 | 0.77 | 0.42 |
| 3 | 0.64 | 0.45 |
| 4 | 0.56 | 0.47 |
| 5 | 0.51 | 0.50 |
| 6 | 0.47 | 0.51 |
| 7 | 0.44 | 0.52 |
| 10 | 0.37 | 0.56 |

For 20 plant/day

| Rate of discharge, $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ | Water front advance |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vertical (z), m Horizontal (w), m |  |
| 1 | 1.26 | 0.40 |
| 2 | 0.92 | 0.45 |
| 3 | 0.77 | 0.48 |


| 4 | 0.67 | 0.51 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 0.61 | 0.53 |
| 6 | 0.56 | 0.54 |
| 7 | 0.52 | 0.56 |
| 10 | 0.45 | 0.59 |

For 30 1/plant/day

| Rate of discharge, $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ | Water front advance |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vertical (z), m Horizontal (w), m |  |
| 1 | 1.62 | 0.44 |
| 2 | 1.19 | 0.49 |
| 3 | 0.99 | 0.53 |
| 4 | 0.89 | 0.56 |
| 5 | 0.79 | 0.58 |
| 6 | 0.72 | 0.59 |
| 7 | 0.68 | 0.61 |
| 10 | 0.58 | 0.65 |

By computer analysis of the data the following charts and equations were obtained for water front advances towards vertical and horizontal at different application volume.


Example 3.7 Five liter water has been applied from point source at a rate of 1 lh in clay and clayey loam soil. Estimate the possible
width and depth of wetting of soil.
Solution: Using the empirical equation as stated by Singh et al (2000)[Eq. 3.10 \& 3.11],
For clay soil:
Width of wetting, $\mathrm{w}=0.50 q^{-10.19} v^{0.29}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =0.50 \times 1 \times 1.59 \\
& =0.80 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Depth of wetting, $z=0.48 q^{0.17} v^{0.15}$
$=0.48 \times 1^{0.17} x 1.27$
$=0.61 \mathrm{~m}$

## For clayey loam soil:

Width of wetting, $w=0.50 q^{-0.09} v^{0.24}$
$=0.50 x 1^{-0.09} x 5^{0.24}$
$=0.50 \times 1 \times 1.47$
$=0.74 \mathrm{~m}$
Example 3.8 Referring the Example 3.7 estimate the hydraulic conductivities in clay and clayey loam soil.

## Solution:

The width and depth has been calculated as 0.8 and 0.61 m respectively in Example 3.7.
Using Schwarzman \& Zur (1985) equation:
For clay soil:

$$
\begin{gathered}
z=K_{1}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.63}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{0.45} \\
\text { or }, 0.61=29.2 \times 5^{0.63} x C_{s}^{0.4} x q^{-0.45}=80.488 C_{s} \\
\text { or, } C_{s}^{0.45}=\frac{0.61}{80.488}=7.57 \times 10^{-03} \\
\therefore C_{s}=1.195 \times 10^{-05} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}=1.69 \mathrm{~m} / \text { day (vertical). } \\
\text { Again, } w=K_{2}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.22}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{-0.17} \\
C_{s}^{-0.17}=18.11, \therefore C_{s}=3.99 \times 10^{-08} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}=3.44 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \text { day (horizontal) }
\end{gathered}
$$

### 3.3 Selection of Number of Distributors per Plant

## Proportion of area to be wetted

The percentage of area or soil volume of potential root zone which to be wetted is important in designing drip system. The percentage of wetting varies widely crop to crop. It is reported that the percentage of wetting may be as low as 25 percent (tree plants) to hundred percent to very close growing crops (vegetables). Keller and Karmeli (1974) developed a guide for estimating the wetted volume as represented in Table 3.4. This table is made with the assumption of approximately 40 mm of water application per irrigation with 0.3 m fairly uniform penetration of water beneath the soil, minimum percentage of wetting as 33 percent for single, straight, equally spaced lateral lines, uniformly spaced distributors for coarse, medium and light soils and for various discharges.
The Table 3.4 can be used by entering from the left column where spacing of the laterals are given. On the same line of lateral spacing the wetted percentage, P , is read in the concerned column of certain discharge and soil type. Say, the lateral spacing
between the lateral is 1 m . The corresponding wetted percentages are 70,80 and 100 for medium textured soil and $1.5,2.0$ and 4.0 $1 / \mathrm{h}$ discharge respectively.

## Double lateral for each row of plants

In tree plants, sometime the laterals are required to be used in pairs taking the plant rows in between or the distributors used in cluster around the plant instead of using the equally spaced on the lateral (Fig.3.4). In such situation the value of P obtained from Table 3.4 may be adjusted using the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{P_{1} S_{1}+P_{2} S_{2}}{S_{r}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{l}}=$ the inner spacing $(\mathrm{m})$ between the pairs of laterals, which should be taken from Table 3.4 , corresponding to $\mathrm{P}=100 \%$, the value for given emitter discharge rate, soil type and spacing.
$\mathrm{P}_{1}=$ is taken from Table 3.4 for $\mathrm{S}_{1}$
$P_{2}=$ is taken from Table 3.4 for $S_{2}$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ the spacing between the rows of plants
$\mathrm{S}_{1}=$ spacing between inner rows of laterals
$\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ spacing between outer rows of laterals
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ spacing between the distributors in a lateral
$S_{t}=$ spacing between the plants in a row
Table 3.4 Guide for determining values of P
(Percentage of soil wetted by various discharges and spacing for a single row of uniformly spaced distributors in a single line applying in a straight line applying 40 mm of water per cycle over the wetted area)

| Effective spacing <br> between laterals $\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}$ | Emission point discharge |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Less than | 1.5 lph | 2 lph | 4 lph |
|  |  |  | 8 |  |
|  |  |  | lphMore |  |
|  |  | than 12 |  |  |
|  |  |  | lph |  |

Recommended spacing of emission points along the lateral for coarse, medium and fine textured soils- $\mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{m}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { C } & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{F}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0.2 & 0.5 & 0.9 & 0.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}0.7 & 1.0 & 0.6 & 1.0 & 1.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}1.0 & 1.3 & 1.7 & 1.3\end{array}$
1.6
2.0

Percentage of soil wetted

| 0.8 | 38 | 88 | 100 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 33 | 70 | 100 | 40 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1.2 | 25 | 58 | 92 | 33 | 67 | 100 | 67 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1.5 | 20 | 47 | 73 | 26 | 53 | 80 | 53 | 80 | 100 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2.0 | 15 | 35 | 55 | 26 | 40 | 60 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 60 | 80 | 100 | 80 | 100 | 100 |
| 2.5 | 12 | 28 | 44 | 16 | 32 | 48 | 32 | 48 | 64 | 48 | 64 | 80 | 64 | 80 | 100 |
| 3.0 | 10 | 25 | 37 | 13 | 26 | 40 | 26 | 40 | 53 | 40 | 53 | 67 | 53 | 67 | 80 |
| 3.5 | 9 | 20 | 31 | 11 | 23 | 34 | 23 | 34 | 46 | 34 | 46 | 57 | 46 | 57 | 68 |


| 4.0 | 8 | 18 | 28 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.5 | 7 | 16 | 24 | 9 | 18 | 26 | 18 | 26 | 36 | 26 | 36 | 44 | 36 | 44 | 53 |
| 5.0 | 6 | 14 | 22 | 8 | 16 | 24 | 16 | 24 | 32 | 24 | 32 | 40 | 32 | 40 | 48 |
| 6.0 | 5 | 12 | 18 | 7 | 14 | 20 | 14 | 20 | 27 | 20 | 27 | 34 | 27 | 34 | 40 |

Source: FAO (1980)
Example 3.8. Design the spacing of inner rows of laterals and find the percentage of wetting of field for tree plants spaced 6 mx 6 m in medium textured soil. The available discharge rate of distributors is $81 / \mathrm{h}$.
Solution: Using the Table 3.4, the spacing of inner rows of laterals $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ is 1.5 m for a value of $\mathrm{P}=100 \%$ at medium textured soil with the discharge rate of $8 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ of the distributors. Therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{1}=100 \%$.
$\mathrm{S}_{2}=6 \mathrm{~m}-1.5 \mathrm{~m}=4.5 \mathrm{~m}$
The value of $\mathrm{P}_{2}=36 \%$, from Table 3.4 for $\mathrm{S}_{2}=4.5 \mathrm{~m}$
$\therefore P=\frac{P_{1} S_{1}+P_{2} S_{2}}{S_{r}}$
$=\frac{100 \times 1.5+36 \times 4.5}{6}$
= $52 \%$
Example 3.9 Tree plants are cultivated at coarse textured soil with 6.5 mx 6.5 m spacing. The distributors fitted to the laterals pass through the plant rows and spaced 1.5 m use to irrigate the plants. If the discharge rate of the distributors is $81 / \mathrm{h}$, find the percentage of area of wetting.
Solution: The inner spacing of pair of laterals, $\mathrm{S}_{1}=1.5 \mathrm{~m}$
The spacing between the rows, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}=6.5 \mathrm{~m}$
The spacing between the laterals, $\mathrm{S}_{2}=6.5 \mathrm{~m}-1.5 \mathrm{~m}=5 \mathrm{~m}$
Assuming single row, equally spaced laterals with uniformly spaced distributors,
$\mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \%$ (Using Table 3.4 for spacing (S) 1.5 m , discharge rate $81 / \mathrm{h}$ and coarse textured soil.
Similarly, $\mathrm{P}_{2}=24 \%$ for $\mathrm{S}_{2}=5 \mathrm{~m}$
The percentage of wetting,
$P=\frac{P_{1} S_{1}+P_{2} S_{2}}{S_{r}}$
$=\frac{80 \times 1.5+24 \times 5}{6.5}$
$=\frac{240}{6.5}$
$=36.92 \%$

## Use of multiple emissions

The shallow rooted or short duration crops suffer if water being applied from a single distributor. The anchorage is important to tree plant. Application of water to tree plant at early stage may be suitable by the single distributor. As the tree plants grow further common sense suggests that water should be applied uniformly around the plant bottom for the uniform development of root system in any direction and provides better anchorage. This may be done by increasing the number of the distributors one to two and two to few and making the distributors pointing progressively outward matching with the growth of trees from the trunk to encourage spreading of the roots. There may be different arrangement of distributors around the plant bottom. The Fig. 3.5 illustrates a few possible arrangements. The number of emission points and their spacing related by the following equation

$$
\frac{P}{100}=\frac{n S_{c} S_{w}}{S_{i} S_{r}}
$$

Where, $n=$ the number of emission points per tree
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ep}}=$ the spacing between emission points
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ the width of wetted strip, which corresponds to the $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ value taken from Table 3.4, giving $\mathrm{P}=100 \%$ for the given emission discharge and soil type.
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ the spacing between trees in the rows
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ the spacing between tree rows
Example 3.10 Find out the wetted area in a tree cultivation spaced 7 mx 7 m with 3 numbers of distributors per plant, the spacing of distributors 2 m and the discharge rate $41 / \mathrm{h}$ of each distributor. Assume medium textured soil.

## Solution:

Spacing between trees, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}=7 \mathrm{~m}$
Spacing between tree rows, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}=7 \mathrm{~m}$
Number of distributors, $\mathrm{n}=3$
Spacing between emission points, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ep}}=2 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.2 \mathrm{~m}$ from Table 3.4 for emitter discharge of $4 / \mathrm{h}$, medium textured soil and $\mathrm{P}=100 \%$
Now, $\frac{P}{100}=\frac{n S_{e p} S_{w}}{S_{t} S_{r}}$
$=\frac{3 \times 2 \times 1.2}{7 \times 7}$
$=0.1469$
$\because P=14.69 \%$

## Irrigation interval

The net irrigation water applied in irrigation can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I R_{n}=(F C-W P) d_{m} Z \frac{P}{100} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ depth of water to be applied, mm
$\mathrm{FC}=$ the volumetric moisture content at field capacity, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{m}$
WP = the volumetric moisture content at wilting point, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{m}$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ the moisture depletion allowed or desired, percent
$\mathrm{Z}=$ root zone depth to be considered, m
$\mathrm{P}=$ the percent of wetted soil to total soil volume
The root zone depth varies much crop to crop. The crops also grow in wide range of soil condition. In absence of information in these regards, as the first approximation the Table 3.5\&3.6 respectively may be used for the design purpose.
Table 3.5 Maximum/minimum values of $Z$ for different crops

| Crop | Z, m |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tomatoes | $1.0-1.2$ |
| Vegetables | $0.3-0.6$ |
| Citrus | $1.0-1.2$ |
| Deciduous fruit | $1.0-2.0$ |
| Grapes | $1.0-3.0$ |

Table 3.6 Physical properties of some soil

| Soil texture | Available <br> weight |  |  | Water holding capacity by volume, <br> $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FC | WP | Available | 85 |
| Sandy | 9 | 4 | 5 | $(70-100)$ |
|  | $(6-12)$ | $(2-6)$ | $(4-6)$ | 120 |
| Sandy | 14 | 6 | 8 | $(90-150)$ |
| loam | $(10-18)$ | $(4-8)$ | $(6-10)$ | 170 |
| Loam | 22 | 10 | 12 | $(140-190)$ |
|  | $(18-26)$ | $(8-12)$ | $(10-14)$ | 190 |
| Clay loam | 27 | 13 | 14 | $(170-220)$ |
|  | $(25-31)$ | $(11-15)$ | $(12-16)$ | 210 |
| Silty clay | 31 | 15 | 16 | $(180-230)$ |
|  | $(27-35)$ | $(13-17)$ | $(14-18)$ | 250 |
| Clay | 35 | 17 | 18 | $(200-250$ |
|  | $(31-39)$ | $(15-19)$ | $(16-20)$ |  |

No design is suggested where the moisture status is maintained for maximum potential evapotranspiration. Again the crop should not go under water stress. There are some crops, which are very much sensitive to water stress. These crops are designed for the irrigation at $30 \%$ depletion of the moisture and the crops that are less sensitive to water stress are designed for $60 \%$ moisture depletion.

## i. Evapotranspiration rate and soil moisture

Evapotranspiration occurs by overcoming the resistance to movement of water depends on the particular plant, the type of soil, soil moisture content and the evapotranspiration itself. Denmead \& Shaw (1962) stated that at a lower evapotranspiration rate the movement of water through the soil is little restricted until the moisture content is very low (i.e., close to wilting point). On the other hand at high evapotranspiration rate a small reduction in soil moisture corresponding to small change in soil moisture significantly affects the transpiration rate. The inference can be made from this study that there is no field optimum irrigation interval nor any fixed suction at which to irrigate; but rather irrigation should be made at low soil moisture suction so that transpiration can occurs at the designed rate under the prevailing atmospheric condition. This means that irrigation should be frequently in hot period at low suction and long interval at considerable suction in cooler period. Demean and Shaw suggested some values of soil suction at various pan evaporation (Table 3.7).
Table 3.7 Guide to limit soil suction

| Prevailing Class A pan <br> evaporation (mm/day) | Maximum soil suction at which evapotranspiration can be <br> maintained at $80 \%$ of maximum |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3.5 bars |
| 3 | 2.0 bars |
| 4 | 1.4 bars |
| 5 | 0.4 bars |

## ii. Irrigation at fixed deficit

The irrigation at fixed deficit determines the time of irrigation when the soil has reached to a predetermined water deficit or otherwise after the fixed amount of evaporation. That means the cumulative evaporation to be divided by PIR to find out the irrigation interval. However, pulse irrigation may be required to minimize the losses in light soil. Usually two-day consumption would be considered for designed deficit for heavy soil. This method, therefore, provides frequent irrigation during high evapotranspiration and less frequent during low evapotranspiration and using same volume of water in such irrigation to bring the soil in field condition. This situation gives advantage in automation to irrigation by connecting the pan and the sensor to the irrigation system.

## iii. Irrigation at fixed interval

In fixed irrigation interval the predetermined frequency is such that it will meet up the maximum water requirement of the plants. Of course, the irrigation should be frequent in hot atmosphere and less frequent in cool atmosphere. In light soils the frequency will be more frequent to heavy soils. In shallow rooted crops like vegetables the irrigation interval will be much closer compared to irrigation interval to deep rooted plants like trees.
The Table 3.8 suggests the irrigation interval for different crops, soils and atmospheric conditions.
Table 3.8 Suggested irrigation intervals

| Climate | Soil |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Very coarse, no water <br> holding capacity | Light sandy | Heavier loams, and <br> clayey soils |
| Hot and dry, <br> high <br> transpiration <br> rate | Pulse irrigation during the <br> day or once a day, when <br> plants are using most <br> water | 1 day interval or 2 days <br> when some silt or clay in <br> soil | 2 or 3 days interval <br> in heavy soils <br> which have poor <br> aeration |
|  | Pulse irrigation during the <br> day or once a day, when <br> plants are using most | 2 or 3 days interval | 3 or 4 days interval |
| water |  |  |  |

## Duration of each irrigation

In general the duration of irrigation should be as long as possible, which suggests a low flow rate. In coarse soil the application rate should be kept close to consumptive rate to the purpose of avoiding application loss due to deep percolation and it should be preferably applied during the day time when consumption rate is high. The time of duration is influenced by any underestimate of crop requirements, abnormal peak requirement, breakdowns, general maintenance and slow decrease in average distributor discharge with time. For porous soils the suggested application time is 6 to 10 hours per day and 10 to 18 hours per day for soils of good water holding capacity. During the period of peak demand the duration may be increased to 20 to 22 hours per day.

## Discharge per distributor or set of distributors

When the amount of water and the duration of application are selected, the discharge per distributor or group of distributors can be automatically found by the equation,
$q_{d}=\frac{I R_{g} A}{I_{d}}=\frac{I R_{g}}{I_{d}} S_{e} S_{l}$
Where,
$q_{d}=$ the discharge of a distributor or group of distributors
$\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ gross irrigation requirement or the depth of water considered for the period of irrigation interval
$I_{d}=$ the period of each irrigation
A = the area allocated to each plant
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ spacing between the distributors
$\mathrm{S}_{1}=$ spacing between the laterals.
Example 3.11 Determine the maximum interval between two consecutive drip irrigations from the following data:
PIR $=6.2 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day
$\mathrm{FC}=16 \%$
PWP=5\%
$\mathrm{BD}=1.35 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
Root zone depth $=1.5 \mathrm{~m}$
Maximum allowable soil moisture depletion=30\%
Design objective is to wet the potential root zone $=40 \%$
Solution: Volumetric moisture content of $\mathrm{FC}=16 \times \mathrm{xD}=16 \times 1.35=21.6 \%$ or $21.6 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{m}$
", ,", WP=5xBD=5x1.35=6.75\% or $6.75 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{m}$
We know, $I R_{n}=(F C-W P) d_{m} Z \frac{P}{100}$
$=(21.6-6.75) \mathrm{cm} / m x \frac{30}{100} \times 1.5 m x \frac{40}{100}$
$=\frac{14.85 \times 1.5 \times 1200}{100 \times 100}$
$=2.67 \mathrm{~cm}$
Maximum interval $=\frac{I R_{n}}{P I R}$
$=\frac{2.67 \mathrm{~cm}}{6.2 \mathrm{~mm} / \text { day }}$
$=4.3 \mathrm{days}$
Example 3.12 Determine the type of distributors to be used, the frequency of irrigation, the spacing of distributors on the laterals, the spacing between the laterals, the number of distributors per tree and the duration of each irrigation from the following data:

Spacing of crop $=5 \mathrm{mx} 5 \mathrm{~m}$
Plant coverage $=75 \%$
Sandy soil, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=90 \%$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}=90 \%$
$\mathrm{FC}=10 \%$ by weight basis
WP=4\%, , ,"
$\mathrm{BD}=1.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
Maximum allowable soil moisture depletion $=33 \%$
Effective root zone depth $=1.5 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}=5.8 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day
Design objective of wetting $=35$ to $40 \%$ of potential root zone
Nominal discharges of the distributors available $=2,4$ and $6 / \mathrm{h}$

## Solution:

i. Net water requirement of crop $\left(\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}\right)$ is $5.8 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day or $5.8 \mathrm{~mm} /$ dayx $5 \mathrm{mx} 5 \mathrm{~m}=1451 /$ day $/$ plant
ii. Maximum net depth of water to be applied in each irrigation
$I R_{n}=(F C-W P) d_{m} Z \frac{P}{100}$
$=(10 \times 1.5-4 \times 1.5) \mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{mx} \frac{33}{100} \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m} \times \frac{40}{100}$
$=\frac{9 \times 1980}{10000}=1.782 \mathrm{~cm}$
$=17.82 \mathrm{~mm}$
iii. The maximum interval between two consecutive irrigation
$I_{i}=\frac{L A_{\infty}}{E T_{e v y}}=\frac{17.82 \mathrm{~mm} / \text { irrigation }}{5.8 \mathrm{~mm} / \text { day }}$
iv. Irrigation efficiency, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{xE} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$
$=\frac{90 \times 90}{100 \times 100}$
= $81 \%$
Gross irrigation requirement for the irrigation interval
$I R_{g}=\frac{E T_{\text {ovg }}}{I_{t}} \times 3$ days
$=\frac{5.8 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{day}}{0.81} \times 3$ days $=21.48 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\therefore q_{d}=\frac{21.48 m m \times 5 m \times 5 m}{15 h}$
The discharge of $361 / \mathrm{h}$ can be had by using the 6 -exit distributor with a discharge of $61 / \mathrm{h}$ per emission point clustered around the plant.
v. The wetted perimeter can be determined by using the Table 3.4 and the following equation
$\frac{P}{100}=\frac{n S_{c} S_{w}}{S_{i} S_{r}}$
Using the discharge rate of distributor $61 / h$ (average of $41 / h$ and $81 / h$ ) the width of the wetted strip, $S_{w}$, found to be $\frac{1.5+1.2}{2}-1.35 \mathrm{~m}$, which corresponds to $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ value giving $\mathrm{P}=100 \%$ in Table 3.4 for medium soil.
Let spacing between distributor, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ep}}=1.5 \mathrm{~m}$
$\therefore P=\frac{100 \times 6 \times 1.5 \times 1.35}{5 \times 5}=48.6 \%$
The P value does not match to desired value.
Let spacing between distributor, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ep}}=1.2 \mathrm{~m}$
$P=\frac{100 \times 6 \times 1.2 \times 1.35}{5 \times 5}=38.88 \%$
The value of P found to be within the desired range.
vi. The layout may be any of the following


### 3.4 System Capacity

The capacity of the system depends on the type of distributors and the type of distribution methods. Distributions are done either rotational or on demand basis.

## Rotational method

In rotational method the entire farm area is divided into number of blocks and the irrigation is done on rotational basis to these blocks. The possible maximum number of blocks in a farm may be determined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \leq \frac{I_{i} 24 \text { hours }}{I_{d}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{N}=$ number of rotational blocks
$I_{d}=$ duration of irrigation in each block
It is not expected that the system should operate round the day through the season. There should have some factor of safety to overcome time loss due to breakdown, maintenance, decrease of distributors' discharge, etc. Therefore, for the peak period the maximum operating time hours are taken 20 . Thus the capacity of the system,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{s}=\frac{S}{N} \frac{I R_{g}}{I_{d}} I_{i} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{S}}=$ system capacity
$\mathrm{S}=$ total farm area
Example 3.13 Find out the (i) number of rotational units and (ii) system capacity for drip irrigation of a 25 ha farm for irrigation interval of 3 days and the duration of irrigation in each irrigation block is 15 hours. Assume gross irrigation requirement as $7 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day.
i. Number of rotational units,

$$
N \leq \frac{I_{d} x 24 \text { hours }}{I_{d}}
$$

Assuming a 20 hr system operation per day,

$$
N=\frac{3 \times 20 h}{15 h}=4
$$

ii. System capacity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{s}=\frac{S}{N} \frac{I R_{g}}{I_{d}} I_{i} \\
& =\frac{25 \mathrm{hax} 7 \mathrm{~mm} / \text { dayx } 3 \text { days }}{4 x 15 \mathrm{~h}} \\
& =\frac{5250 \mathrm{~m}^{3}}{60 \mathrm{~h}} \\
& =87.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

## (i) System capacity and week-end consideration

A peak period operation hour has been considered 20 hours. Sometime the system may even works for 22 or even 24 hours to satisfy the field requirements. The allowance of 4 hours may be sufficient for filter clearance or any other maintenance job. However, this is all considered for a week of 7 days. It is desirable to have at least one non-working day in a week. The total requirements of 7 days need to be discharged in 6 days. The time of operation in a day already assumed high which should not be further increased to minimize the requirement of weekend. Therefore, the capacity of the system as calculated on 7 days basis is multiplied by a factor, k depending on the irrigation interval (Table 3.9).
Table 3.9 Values for multiplication factor k
Maximum interval between irrigation in days Multiplication factork

| 2 | 2 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 3 | 1.5 |
| 4 | $4 / 3$ |
| 5 | $5 / 4$ |
| 6 | $6 / 5$ |
| 7 | $7 / 6$ |
| 8 | $7 / 6$ |

## (ii) System capacity as per demand basis

In this system irrigation is given as asked by the farmers whenever they want. Therefore, there is a great chance of operating many units at a time. This understandably deserves more system capacity than rotational method where water is applied at some predetermined interval. Clement's suggested the following formula based on statistical probability to find out the capacity of the system (FAO,1980):

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{1}{r}\left[1+U \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1}}-\frac{1}{n}}\right] \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{x}=$ the ratio $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{r}}$ in which $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is the discharge of the supply line on rotational basis calculated for a continuous irrigation period. $Q_{d}$ is the discharge of the supply line calculated on as per demand basis.
$r$ = operation factor, daily irrigation time/24 hours
$\mathrm{U}=$ parameter depending on the required quality of operation
$\mathrm{n}_{1}=$ number of off-takes simultaneously in operation, in which m is the hydro module.
$\mathrm{n}=$ the total number of off-takes.
The daily irrigation time is a factor depends on the habit of the farmers. If the farmers do not like to irrigate in day- time or in night the value of x will reduce. However, the value of r should not go below 0.67 . The quality of operation factor, U , reflects the possibility of availability of water in required quantity in any off-takes in the system for which it was designed. For an operational quality of 99 percent the probability of discharging insufficient water is only 1 percent. The operational quality is usually kept between 95 to 99 percent. The operational quality and the corresponding $U$ values are given in Table 3.10. The draw back of Clement's method is that it assumes all the off-takes have the same operational frequency and discharge.
Table 3.10 Values for parameter U
Quality of operation, \% Parameter U

| 70 | 0.525 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 0.842 |
| 85 | 1.033 |
| 90 | 1.282 |
| 95 | 1.645 |
| 99 | 2.327 |
| 99.5 | 3.09 |

Example 3.14 Determine the system capacity as per demand basis using the data in Example 3.12 and the following:
Farm area=420ha
Number of farmers: 20, each of them having 21 ha, which for their convenience divide into 4 units of 5 ha, 1 ha is kept for other purposes.
Layout of the area: Rectangular as shown in figure below.
Hydrant: Each farm unit having one hydrant. The total number of hydrant $=20$
Laterals: Each small unit of 5 ha having $250 \mathrm{~m} / 5 \mathrm{~m}$ spacing $=50$ laterals
Distributors: Each lateral is carrying $200 \mathrm{~m} / 5 \mathrm{~m}$ spacing $=40$ distributors. Each distributor is discharging $361 / \mathrm{h}$ and lateral discharge is 1440/h. All 40 laterals are operating at same time.
Hydrant capacity: Each lateral capacity x number of lateral in a sub unit.


$$
=1440 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{hx} 50
$$

$$
=72,000 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}
$$

Hydro module, $\mathrm{m}=\frac{72000}{3600 \mathrm{sec}}=201 / \mathrm{s}$
Specific discharge: Gross irrigation requirement is $7.16 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day (say 7.2 mm ). Therefore, the specific discharge is $72000 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{day} / \mathrm{ha}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{72000}{3600 \times 24}-0.83=1 / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{ha} \\
& Q_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{400 \mathrm{hax} 0.831 / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{ha}}{3}=110.676 / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

The operation factor, $t=\frac{15 h}{24 h}=0.625$
$\mathrm{n}_{1}=\frac{\underline{O}}{m r}=\frac{110.67 l / \mathrm{s}}{201 / \mathrm{xx} 0.625}=8.85$
Quality of operation parameter ( U ): Assuming quality of operation $95 \%$, quality of operation parameter, $\mathrm{U}=1.1$ (Table 3.11).
$x=\frac{1}{r}\left[1+U \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{2}}-\frac{1}{n}}\right]$
$=\frac{1}{0.625}\left[1+1.645 \sqrt{\frac{1}{8.85}-\frac{1}{20}}\right]$
$=1.6(1+1.645 \sqrt{0.113-0.05})$
Capacity of the system, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{r}} \cdot \mathrm{X}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =110.67 \mathrm{1} / \mathrm{sx} 2.256 \\
& =249.67 \mathrm{1} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Questions \& Problems

3.1 Define crop water requirement, crop coefficient, net irrigation water requirement, gross irrigation water requirement and peak irrigation requirement.
3.2 How ground coverage is taken in to consideration for water requirement of crop?
3.3 Differentiate the peak irrigation requirement and day-to-day irrigation requirement.
3.4 Discuss the procedure of determination of water requirement of crop under drip irrigation.
3.5 What are the factors govern the wetting pattern of soils in drip system? Why knowing wetting pattern is important? Describe the empirical formulae for determining wetting pattern.
3.6 What is the importance of using multiple emissions? Give the formula relating number of emission points and their spacing.
3.7 Discuss the irrigation at fixed deficit and fixed interval.
3.8 Explain the impact of rate of evapotranspiration on frequency of irrigation.
3.9 Explain the influence of week-end on system capacity.
3.10 Describe the method of determining the system capacity in rotational method and as per demand basis of drip irrigation.
3.11 Find the scope and limitation of guideline provided by Keller and Karmelli (1974) in designing the lateral spacing and percentage of area of wetting.
3.12 The following are the pan evaporation $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pan}}\right)$, pan factor $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ and crop coefficient $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ of an arbitrary location and crop.

|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Der |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {pan }} \mathrm{mm} /$ day | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $\leftarrow$ | 0.75 | $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ |  |  | 0.8 |  | $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ | 0.75 |  | $\rightarrow$ |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.65 | 0.7 | 0.75 | 0.8 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.9 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0. |

Determine the water requirement of crop ( $\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}$ ) at different months. Assume $40 \%$ ground coverage from January to April, $70 \%$ from May to August and $80 \%$ for the rest of the months.
3.13 A crop was grown at $5 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{~m}$ spacing. The pan evaporation in a day was recorded 5 mm . If the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}$ were $0.8,0.7$ and 0.6 respectively, what was the crop water requirement in $1 /$ plant for that day? Ans. 42
3.14 In a research work for the determination of water requirement of a crop, the yields of the crop were $42,550,45,650$ and 30,345 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ for the irrigation treatments at 80,60 and 40 percent of pan evaporation respectively. During the experimentation the cumulative pan evaporation was recorded 480 mm .Compare the water use efficiency of different irrigation treatments.
Ans. $1108,1585 \& 1580 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{cm}$ respectively
3.15 Determine the probable width and depth of wetting when drip irrigation is done from a point source at the rate of $4 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ and 5 $\mathrm{l} /$ plant/day. The saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soil is $1.1 \mathrm{~m} /$ day.

Ans. Depth $=0.245 \mathrm{~m}$, width $=0.388 \mathrm{~m}$
3.16 Ten liter water has been applied from point source at a rate of $1.5 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ in clay soil. Estimate the possible width and depth of wetting of soil.

Ans. width $=0.94 \mathrm{~m}$, depth $=0.73 \mathrm{~m}$
3.17 Design the spacing of inner rows of laterals and find the percentage of wetting of a field for a crop spaced 5 mx 5 m in fine textured soil assuming the discharge rate of distributors as $41 / \mathrm{h}$.
Ans. Inner spacing $=1.5 \mathrm{~m}$, Percentage of wetting $=62.2$
3.18 The tree plants are cultivated at 6.0 mx 6.0 m spacing in coarse textured soil. The inner space of paired laterals through the plant rows is 1.2 m . Find the percentages of area of wetting if the distributors used are of capacity $41 / \mathrm{hr}$.

Ans. 26.84\%
3.19 The tree crops spaced at $6.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.5 \mathrm{~m}$ in medium textured soil using 4 numbers of distributors in each plant and each of capacity $61 / \mathrm{hr}$. The spacing of distributors is 2.5 m . Determine the possible percentage of area of wetting.

Ans. 31.95\%
3.20 Determine the PIR from the following data:
$\mathrm{FC}=20 \%, \mathrm{PWP}=7 \%, \mathrm{BD}=1.4 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, root zone depth $=1.2 \mathrm{~m}$, maximum allowable soil moisture depletion $=30 \%$, design objective to wet the potential root zone $=45 \%$, irrigation interval $=4$ days.

Ans. $7.38 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day
3.21 Find the number of units of a rotational drip irrigation system where the irrigation interval is 3 days and each distributor is operated for 10 hours. Ans: 6
3.22 What is the discharge per distributor or group of distributor if gross irrigation requirement is 27.0 mm , spacing of plants $5 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{~m}$ and the distributors are operated for 15 hours in each irrigation. Ans. $45 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$
3.23 Determine the system capacity in drip irrigation from the following data:

Area of farm: 120ha
No. of units $=4$
Net irrigation requirement $=6.2 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day
Irrigation efficiency $=90 \%$
Irrigation interval $=4$ days
Assume any other data if necessary.

Ans. 153.09 1/s
3.24 A drip irrigation system for 100ha area is designed for maximum hours of operation 20 in a day during peak period and the distributors are operated 16 hours in each irrigation. What is the suitable number of irrigation units for the area? What is the system capacity if the irrigation interval is 4 day? Ans: 5 units, $90.29 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$
3.25 Write True or False of the following:

1. Reference crop evapotranspiration is always more than evapotranspiration.
2. Crop coefficient is usually more in early stage of plant growth.
3. Oasis effect to ground coverage factor $\left(\kappa_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ is required in early days of plant growth.
4. In any given situation the irrigation water requirement and crop water requirement may be same.
5. All that rainfall occurs can be subtracted from crop water requirement to calculate net irrigation requirement.
6. The drip irrigation system is designed on the basis of peak irrigation requirement.
7. The pan factor fluctuates around 0.8 .
8. The amount of water to be applied in any irrigation is calculated by using the modified values of $\kappa_{c}, \kappa_{r}$ and $E_{p a n}$.
9. Light soil is susceptible to deep penetration of water.
10. Point source of application of water is better to application of water in tree plants.

Ans. 1. False 2. False 3. True 4. True 5. False 6. True 7. True 8. True 9. True 10. False.
3.26 Select the appropriate answer from the following.

1. In any irrigation system, the primary objective is to know the
a. water requirement of crop
b. soil type
c. source of water
d. season of the year
2. The beneficial loss among the following is
a. evaporation
b. seepage
c. transpiration
d. runoff
3. Lowest among the following is
a. irrigation water requirement
b. net irrigation requirement
c. gross irrigation requirement
d. crop water requirement
4. The irrigation system capacity is selected considering the
a. absolute peak pan evaporation
b. ninety percent of peak pan evaporation
c. average of peak pan evaporation of the week
d. average of the month of peak pan evaporation
5. At any rate of drip water application the maximum horizontal spreading is expected in
a. coarse soil
b. medium soil
c. light soil
d. heavy soil
6. Application of water by single distributor may not cause suffer to
a. shallow rooted crops
b. medium rooted crops
c. deep rooted crops
d. tree plants
7. Rate of water application is usually low in
a. light soil
b. medium soil
c. heavy soil
d. deep soil
8. Week-end effect depends on
a. net irrigation requirement
b. type of soil
c. irrigation interval
d. ground cover
9. Duration of irrigation in each block influence to select the
a. number of rotational block
b. duration of system operation in each day
c. irrigation interval
d. gross irrigation requirement
10. Hydro module is usually expressed in
a. $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day
b. $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
c. $1 / \mathrm{hr}$
d. $1 / \mathrm{sec}$

Ans. 1. (a) 2. (c) 3. (b) 4. (d) 5. (d) 6. (a) 7. (c) 8. (c) 9. (a) 10. (d)
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## CHAPTER - 4

## Design of Pipe Network

The pipe network in a drip system consists of a mainline, sub mains, laterals, and distributors. The layout of the pipes depends on the physical factors like shape and size of the area, topography and any other obstacles in the field. In any field there may be the scope of different layout of the pipes. The most economic one should be selected. In general, contour line is followed in laying the laterals in sloping land, sub main length usually be shorten in uphill and equally spaced laterals on either side of a sub main in a flat land provides good layout.

### 4.1 Hydraulic Formulae/Head Losses in Pipes

There are numerous equations for solving the head loss in pipes. The most commonly used are the Darcy-Weisbach, Hazen-William and Scobey's.

## Darcy-Weisbach:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l}=f \frac{l}{d} \frac{v^{2}}{2 g} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $f=\frac{0.3164}{R_{e}^{0.25}}$ (for turbulent flow)
or, $f=\frac{64}{R_{e}}$ (for laminar flow)

## Hazen-William:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l}=\frac{3.022 l v^{1.852}}{C^{1.852} d^{1.167}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $=$ head loss of the pipe of length $1, \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{v}=$ velocity of flow, $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}$
$d=$ diameter of pipe, $m$
Scobey:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{I}=\frac{K_{s} l v^{1.9}}{1000 d^{1.1}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above equations,
$h_{l}=$ the friction loss of 1 length of pipe
$\mathrm{v}=$ the mean velocity
$\mathrm{d}=$ the diameter of the pipe
C,f, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ constants.
The Eq. 4.1 to 4.3 may be generalized as follow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=K_{1} \frac{l v^{m}}{d^{n}}=K \frac{l q^{m}}{d^{2 m+n}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{q}=$ discharge in the pipe
$\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}=$ exponents depends on the formula used
$\mathrm{K}=$ constant depends on the formula used
When Scobey's formula used, $\mathrm{m}=1.9$ and $\mathrm{n}=1.1$.

## William-Hazen:

The William-Hazen equation for smooth pipe (using $\mathrm{C}=150$ which are normally used for plastic pipes) is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l}=1.135 \times 10^{6} \frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{4.871}} l \quad \text { or } h_{l}=15.27 \frac{q^{1.852}}{D^{4.871}} l \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which and $1 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{q}$ is the total discharge in $1 / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{d}$ is the internal diameter in mm and D in cm .
The Eq. 4.5 converts to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{4.871}} l \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for lateral and sub-main where flow decreasing to zero at the end of the pipe. The Eq. 4.6 is applicable to lines with more than twenty outlets.
When C is given, the total energy drop can be calculated by using following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{l}=K\left(\frac{Q}{C}\right)^{1.852} D^{-4.871} L F \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ is the head loss in pipe, m
K is constant, $1.21 \times 10^{10}$
Q is the flow rate in the pipe, $1 / \mathrm{s}$
C is the coefficient of friction for continuous section
D is the diameter of pipe (inside), mm
F is the outlet factor
L is the length of pipe, m
The Table 4.1 gives the C value of Hazen-William formula for different types of pipes. The friction losses can also be determined from the equations in Table 4.2 and the Fig. 4.1-4.3. These values are obtained following Hazen-William equation for $\mathrm{C}=150$ which is the normally used value in plastic pipes.
Table 4.1 Hazen and Williams coefficient for flow in pipes

| Description of pipe | C Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Polyvinyl chloride pipe | 155 |
| Extremely smooth and straight | 140 |
| Very smooth | 130 |
| Smooth wood and wood stave | 120 |
| New riveted steel | 110 |
| Vitrified | 110 |
| Old riveted steel | 100 |
| Old cast iron | 100 |
| Old pipes at bad condition | 60 to 90 |

Small pipes with badly tuberculated 40 to 50
Courtesy: Sivanappan, R.K.(1984)
Table 4.2 Equations to calculate the friction losses in PVC pipes ( $\mathrm{C}=150$ ) following Hazen-William

| ID of pipe, mm | Head losses $(\Delta \mathrm{H}), \mathrm{m} / 100 \mathrm{~m} ;(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8.5 | $3353.241_{q^{1.852}}$ |
| 8.8 | $2831.9741_{q^{1.852}}$ |
| 9.0 | $2538.33_{q^{1.852}}$ |
| 9.4 | $2053.8 q^{1.852}$ |
| 12.4 | $493.219 q^{1.852}$ |
| 15.2 | $197.6534_{q^{1.852}}$ |
| 16.4 | $136.50 q_{q^{1.852}}$ |
| 19 | $66.6585 q^{1.852}$ |
| 20.8 | $51.9215 q^{1.852}$ |
| 24.2 | $20.5163 q^{1.852}$ |
| 26.8 | $12.4801_{q^{1.852}}$ |
| 46 | $0.8982 q^{1.852}$ |
| 56 | $0.3445 q^{1.852}$ |
| 71 | $0.1084 q^{1.852}$ |
| 84 | $0.0478 q^{1.852}$ |



Fig. 4.1 Head losses in PVC pipes $(\mathrm{C}=150)$ following Hazzen-William


Fig. 4.2 Head losses in PVC pipes $(\mathrm{C}=150)$ following Hazzen-William


Fig. 4.3 Head losses in PVC pipes $(\mathrm{C}=150)$ following Hazzen-William

### 4.2 Lateral Design

Design of the lateral depends on the pressure distribution in it and the characteristics of the distributors. Uniformity of irrigation can be achieved by adjusting the size of the distributors or length of the micro tubes. The pipe size of the laterals can be determined on the following ways:

## i. Analytical method



## ii. Graphical method

The diameter of a lateral is selected on the basis of difference of discharges of the distributors should not exceed 10 percent.

## Analytical method

## (a) Head losses in a pipe with decreasing discharge

## (i) Uniform pipe flow

The drip laterals consists of multiple flow outlets. The friction losses through these pipes can be determined by the formula proposed by Christiansen (1942) as below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{DH}=F\left[\frac{k l Q^{*}}{d^{2 \pi+\pi}}\right]=F\left[\frac{k_{1} / V^{*}}{d^{*}}\right] \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, F is a reduction coefficient whose value depends on the number of outlets on lateral. Friction loss of a lateral is first determined by using the Eq. 4.1 to 4.3 as if there is only one outlet in a lateral. The friction loss is multiplied by the coefficient F to determine the friction losses of laterals with multiple outlets. The Table 4.3 gives the value of F for the formulae as described in Eq. 4.1-4.3.

Table 4.3 Values of coefficient F

| Number of outlets Hazen-Williams $\mathrm{m}=1.85$ | Scobey $\mathrm{m}=1.90$ | Darcy-Weisbach $\mathrm{m}=2.0$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0.639 | 0.634 | 0.625 |
| 3 | 0.535 | 0.528 | 0.518 |
| 4 | 0.486 | 0.480 | 0.469 |
| 5 | 0.457 | 0.451 | 0.440 |
| 6 | 0.435 | 0.433 | 0.421 |
| 7 | 0.425 | 0.419 | 0.408 |
| 8 | 0.415 | 0.410 | 0.398 |
| 9 | 0.409 | 0.402 | 0.391 |
| 10 | 0.402 | 0.396 | 0.385 |
| 11 | 0.397 | 0.392 | 0.380 |
| 12 | 0.394 | 0.388 | 0.376 |
| 13 | 0.391 | 0.384 | 0.373 |
| 14 | 0.387 | 0.381 | 0.370 |
| 15 | 0.384 | 0.379 | 0.367 |
| 16 | 0.382 | 0.77 | 0.365 |
| 17 | 0.380 | 0.375 | 0.363 |
| 18 | 0.379 | 0.373 | 0.361 |
| 19 | 0.377 | 0.372 | 0.360 |
| 20 | 0.376 | 0.370 | 0.359 |
| 22 | 0.374 | 0.368 | 0.357 |
| 24 | 0.372 | 0.366 | 0.355 |
| 26 | 0.370 | 0.64 | 0.353 |


| 28 | 0.369 | 0.363 | 0.351 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 0.368 | 0.362 | 0.350 |
| 35 | 0.365 | 0.359 | 0.347 |
| 40 | 0.364 | 0.357 | 0.345 |
| 50 | 0.361 | 0.355 | 0.343 |
| 100 | 0.356 | 0.350 | 0.338 |
| $\infty$ | 0.351 | 0.345 | 0.333 |

Courtesy: FAO (1980)
Considering the F value the following general equation was proposed by Wu and Gitlin (1975) as below which gives the approximate calculation of total head losses in a lateral.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{f}=5.35\left(\frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{4.871}}\right) l \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $=$ total energy drop by friction at the end of the lateral or submain, $m$
$\mathrm{q}=$ total discharge at the inlet of lateral or submain, $1 / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{inside}$ diameter of lateral or submain, cm
$1=$ length of the lateral or submain,m

## (ii) Tapered pipe lateral

The smaller pipe size can be used to down streams of the long laterals as the flow decreases. This provides saving in cost of pipes. The total head losses in such a lateral may be determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta H_{t}=\Delta H_{d_{1} l}-\Delta H_{d_{l} l_{2}}+\Delta H_{d_{2} l_{2}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\Delta H_{t}$ total head loss in tapered pipe
the head loss for the total length considering the larger diameter
the head loss for the last portion considering the larger diameter
the head loss for the last portion considering the smaller diameter.


Fig. 4.4 Tapered lateral pipe

## (b) Effects of temperature and local head loss

Hazen-William formula is widely used in irrigation and water-works design and is most applicable in pipes of diameter 5 cm and larger, and velocities less than $3.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. The advantage of using this formula is that the coefficient C does not involve Reynolds number and all problems have direct solution. However, this is also the disadvantage, since this avoids the effects of temperature and thereby the viscosity. Sometime this causes serious error to design. Therefore, when Hazen-William formula is being used the design may be verified by Darcy-Weisbach formula and multiplied with a friction coefficient reflecting the temperature effect.
The type of distributors used along the lateral has got the influence on total head losses of the lateral. Different type of distributors offers different local resistance to flow. Considerable resistance is obtained for in-line or bayonet type of distributors. The following formula determines the local head loss in a lateral with in-line distributors.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta h=\frac{\sigma q^{2}}{4650 r^{4}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{q}=$ the nominal discharge of the distributors, $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
$r=$ the inside diameter (ID) of the distributors, $m$
$\sigma=$ a coefficient the value of which depends on the number of distributors on the lateral and is defined as
$\sigma=2 e^{3}+2.73 e^{3}-0.70 e$
and, $\mathrm{e}=$ number of distributors on the line.
Biswas et al. (2005) described the local head losses due to protrusion of distributors or sub-laterals in to laterals as barb losses. They studied the pressure heads in the laterals with and without emitters/sub-laterals, the discharges, velocity of flow and friction head losses and thereby the barb losses. Barb loss due to each emitter was found 0.038 m , which was equivalent to friction loss in 21.77 cm length of lateral pipe. The barb loss due to 4 mm sub-lateral was 0.046 m . Reddy et al. (2001) reported comparable result of 16.804 cm equivalent length of lateral as barb loss for each emitter.

## (c) Pressure distribution along a lateral

The total specific energy at any section of a drip line can be expressed by the energy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}=z+H+\frac{v^{2}}{2 g} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\bar{H}=$ total energy, m
$H=$ pressure head, m
$\mathrm{z}=$ potential head or elevation, m
$\mathrm{v}=$ velocity of flow, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{g}=$ acceleration due to gravity, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.
The energy gradient line in drip is not like a straight line but an exponential type with respect to the length. The shape of the energy gradient line may be expressed by the following equation
$R_{i}=1-(1-i)^{m+1}$
Where, $R_{i}=\frac{\Delta H_{i}}{\Delta H}$ and is called the energy drop ratio
$\Delta H_{i}=$ total pressure drop at a length ratio $i\left(i=\frac{l}{L}\right)$
$\Delta H=$ the total energy drop at the end of the line
$\mathrm{L}=$ total length of the line
1 = given length measured from the head end of the line
$\mathrm{m}=$ exponent of the flow rate in friction equation.
The dimensionless energy gradient lines are the followings for different friction equations
$R_{i}=1-(1-i)^{3}$ (following Darcy-Weisbach)
$R_{i}=1-(1-i)^{2.852}$ (following Hazen-William)
$R_{i}=1-(1-i)^{2.9}$ (following Scoby)
The change of energy in a drip line can be had by differentiating Eq. 4.13 with respect to length.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{H}}{d L}=\frac{d z}{d L}+\frac{d H}{d L}+\frac{d}{d L}\left(\frac{v^{2}}{2 g}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the discharge of the distributors along the drip line is low, the change of velocity head with respect to length is negligible. Therefore, Eq. 4.18 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d H}{d L}=\frac{d z}{d L}+\frac{d H}{d L} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Where } \frac{d H}{d L}=-S_{f} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The negative sign indicates the friction loss with respect to length. The ratio represents the slope of the line, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z}{d L}= \pm S_{0} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\frac{d \bar{H}}{d L}=-S_{1}+S_{0}$
When the lateral is laid to down slope.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d H}{d L}=-S_{f}-S_{0} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the lateral is laid to up slope.
The pressure variation along the lateral can be expressed as below if the input pressure is given,
$H_{i}=H-\Delta H_{i} \pm \Delta H_{i}{ }^{\prime}$
Where, =hydrostatic head at a given length ratio i ,
$H=$ input pressure
$\Delta H_{i}=$ frictional loss of head at a given length ratio i,
$\Delta H_{i}^{\prime}=$ the energy gain (down slope) or loss (up slope) at a given length ratio i.
The Eq.4.24 can be expressed by using energy drop ratio and the energy gain or loss ratio $R_{i}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}=H-R_{i} \Delta H \pm R_{i}^{\prime} \Delta H^{\prime} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\Delta H=$ total energy drop by friction

$$
\Delta H_{i}=\text { total energy gain or loss by slope }
$$

$R_{i}=\frac{\Delta H_{i}}{\Delta H}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{\Delta H_{i}^{\prime}}{\Delta H^{\prime}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

For uniform slopes, is the same as the length ratio i. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}=H-R_{2} \Delta H+i \Delta H^{\prime} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For non-uniform terrain with $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, \ldots \ldots S_{\mathrm{j}}, \ldots \ldots S_{\mathrm{n}}$, slopes of the sections,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}=H-R_{2} \Delta H+\frac{L}{n} \sum_{i}^{\approx} S_{j} \ldots \ldots\left(S_{j}=1,2,3, \ldots n\right) \tag{428}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, slope of the j section along the line using ' + ' sign for down slope (energy gain) and ' - ' sign for up slope (energy loss).
Example 4.1 Determine the pressure and emitter flow variation of a 15 mm (ID) lateral line of 100 m length. The emitters are 0.80 m spaced along the lateral and emitter flow is $41 / \mathrm{h}$ at an operating pressure of 10 m at head end. Lateral line is $1.5 \%$ down slope, the x value in the $\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{H}$ relationship of the emitters to be 0.5 .
Solution: Lateral length line, $L=100 \mathrm{~m}$
Lateral line size, $\mathrm{D}=15 \mathrm{~mm}$ (ID)
Total lateral flow, $q=\frac{100 \mathrm{~m}}{0.8 \mathrm{~m}} .4 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =500 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h} \\
& =0.139 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

The total pressure drop (friction loss) using Eq.4.6,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta H=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{q^{1852}}{d^{4371}} . l \\
& =3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{(0.139)^{\text {Ls52 }}}{(15)^{4372}} \cdot 100 \\
& =3.98 \times 10^{5} \cdot \frac{2.59 \times 10^{-2}}{5.35 \times 10^{5}} \cdot 100 \\
& =1.923 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { At } i=0.1, R_{i}=1-(1-i)^{2.852} \text { [following Eq.4.15] }
$$

$$
\text { and, } H_{t}=H-R \Delta H+\frac{L}{n} \sum_{1}^{n} S_{l}
$$

$$
\text { or, } H_{0.1}=10-0.26 \times 1.923+10 \times \frac{1.5}{100}
$$

$$
=9.65 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$$
\text { Similarly, at } \mathrm{i}=0.2, H=10=0.471 \times 1.923=0.30=9.39 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$$
i=0.3, H=10-0.638 x 1.923+0.45=9.22 m
$$

$$
i=0.4, H=10-0.768 x 1.923+0.60=9.12 m
$$

$$
i=0.5, H=10-0.861 x 1.923+0.75=9.09 m
$$

$$
i=0.6, H=10-0.927 x 1.923+0.90=9.11 m
$$

$$
i=0.7, H=10-0.968 \times 1.923+1.05=9.18 m
$$

$$
i=0.8, H=10-0.99 \times 1.923+1.20=9.29 m
$$

$$
i=0.9, H=10-0.999 \times 1.923+1.35=9.42 m
$$

$$
i=1.0, H=10-1.0 \times 1.923+1.50=9.57 m
$$

Pressure variation, $H_{\mathrm{cz}}=\frac{H_{\max }-H_{\min }}{H_{\max }}=\frac{10-9.09}{10}=0.091$
Emitter flow variation,
$q_{\mathrm{var}}=1-\left(1-H_{\mathrm{var}}\right)^{x}$
$=1-(1-0.091)^{0.5}$
$=1-0.95$
$=0.0466$

## Keller and Karmeli method

The average distributor outlet is defined as the outlet, which has the average discharge rate, and the pressure head, which causes this average discharge, is called the average head. Keller and Karmeli (1974) studied the wide range of distributor exponents and pressure losses and found that the average pressure occurs at the length ratio $\mathrm{i}=0.39$ following FAO (1980) (Fig.4.5). They also found that approximately 77 percent of the total head loss occurs between $\mathrm{i}=0.0$ and $\mathrm{i}=0.39$, and the rest 23 percent occurs between $\mathrm{i}=0.39$ and $\mathrm{i}=1.00$. Therefore, for a flat terrain average head loss can be determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}=H(i=0)-0.77 \Delta H \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fig. 4.5 Pressure distribution along a lateral
If the discharge-pressure relationship ( $q=K_{d} H^{x}$ (of the distributors are available by the manufacturers then the $\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}$ and $q_{\text {min }}$ can be determined since $\bar{H}$ and $H_{\min }$ are known. This information will enable to calculate the emission uniformity $\left(E_{u}\right)$.
The following equations give very good fit to head loss curve for a constant diameter lateral pipe in flat terrain,


Where, is the fraction of the total head remains to be lost to the end of the line. At
$L=0, L=0, E(i)=\frac{\Delta H}{\Delta H}=1$. The values of for various values of i are given in Fig.4.6 \& 4.7. These were obtained by resolving the equations for i.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(i)=\exp \left[-4.38815 \cdot \frac{(i)^{1.19088}}{(1-i)^{0.05555}}\right] \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 4.2 Determine the uniformity of emission and the pressure curve on flat, sloping and undulated terrain with the following:
Lateral length $=200 \mathrm{~m}$
Distributor discharge $=4 / \mathrm{h}$
Distributor characteristics,
Average pressure $=10 \mathrm{~m}$
Manufacturers' coefficient of variation, $C V=4 \%$
PVC pipes available in the market $=\mathrm{ID}(\mathrm{mm})-9.4,12.8,16.4,20.8$.
Spacing of distributors $=$ at every 5 m .

## Solution:

For flat terrain: Number of distributors on the line $=\frac{200 \mathrm{~m}}{5 \mathrm{~m}}=40$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lateral flow rate }=40 \times 4 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{hr}=160 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h} \\
& =0.044 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

The total head loss in the lateral is tabulated below (Table 4.4) as determined by using Fig. 4.1-4.3 and the appropriate value of F (Table 4.3) assuming no local loss and temperature at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Table $4.4 \Delta H$ values for different ID
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}\hline \text { ID } \\
(\mathrm{mm}) & \begin{array}{l}\text { Head loss } \\
\mathrm{m} / 100 \mathrm{~m} \text { full } \\
\text { flow }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Total } \\
\Delta H \text { full } \\
\text { flow }\end{array} & \mathrm{F} & \Delta H(m) & \begin{array}{l}\Delta H(m) \\
\text { following } \\
\text { Eq.4.6 }\end{array} & \text { Remarks } \\
\hline 9.4 & 8.7 & 17.4 & 0.364 & 6.33 & 4.45 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Pipe selected should not } \\
\text { exceed the tolerance limit of }\end{array}
$$ <br>

\& \& \& \& \& \& 10 \% of average pressure\end{array}\right]\)|  |  |  |  | 0.39 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12.8 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 0.364 | 1.38 |
| 16.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.364 | 0.364 |
| 20.8 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.364 | 0.10 |

From the above calculation following the Fig. $4.1-4.3$ it appears that among the available diameter pipes, the minimum diameter pipe, which satisfies the tolerance limit, is 16.4 . However, head loss calculated following Eq. 4.6 marginally approves the 12.8 mm diameter pipe. Therefore, 16.4 mm diameter pipe is preferred.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(i=1)=10 m-0.23 \Delta H=10-0.23 \times 0.364=9.92 m \\
& H(i=0)=10 m-0.77 \Delta H=10+0.28=10.28 m \\
& q_{\min }=0.65(9.92)^{0.8}=0.65 \times 6.27=4.07 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h} \\
& \overline{\boldsymbol{q}}=0.65(10)^{0.8}=0.65 \times 6.31=4.10 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Manufacturer's discharge ratio, $M_{r}=1-1.27 \mathrm{CV}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1-1.27 \times 0.04 \\
& =1-0.05=0.95 \\
& E_{\mathrm{c}}=100 \frac{q_{\text {紬 }}}{\bar{q}} M_{,} f(s), f(s)=1 \\
& =100 \times \frac{4.07}{4.10} \times 0.95 \\
& =94.3 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

The pressure distribution curve is determined by using the Eq.4.31. The values obtained are given in Table 4.5 and represented by curve on Fig.4.6 \& 4.7.

Table 4.5. Pressure variation $(H(i))$ along the lateral

| i | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{i})$ | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{i}) \Delta \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{i})$, meter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 0.364 | 10.28 |
| 0.025 | 0.99 | 0.363 | 10.27 |
| 0.1 | 0.95 | 0.345 | 10.26 |
| 0.2 | 0.81 | 0.296 | 10.22 |
| 0.3 | 0.64 | 0.232 | 10.15 |
| 0.4 | 0.456 | 0.166 | 10.09 |
| 0.5 | 0.297 | 0.108 | 10.03 |
| 0.6 | 0.175 | 0.063 | 9.98 |
| 0.7 | 0.093 | 0.034 | 9.95 |
| 0.8 | 0.044 | 0.016 | 9.94 |
| 0.9 | 0.017 | 0.006 | 9.93 |
| 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 9.92 |

Let us assume a uniform slope of $0.1 \%$ for sloping terrain and $0.1 \%$ up to 100 m and $0.1 \%$ down after 100 m for undulating terrain. By studying the gain or loss in elevation the pressure head at different length ratio (i) and the corresponding discharges are tabulated in Table 4.6 for sloping and undulated terrain.
Table 4.6 Pressure variation for sloping and undulated terrain

| Length ratio | Sloping terrain |  | Undulated terrain |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Head, m | Discharge, $1 / \mathrm{h}$ | Head, m | Discharge, $1 / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 0 | 10.28 | 4.19 | 10.28 | 4.19 |


| 0.1 | 10.28 | 4.19 | 10.24 | 4.18 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.2 | 10.26 | 4.19 | 10.18 | 4.16 |
| 0.3 | 10.21 | 4.17 | 10.09 | 4.13 |
| 0.4 | 10.17 | 4.16 | 10.01 | 4.10 |
| 0.5 | 10.13 | 4.14 | 9.93 | 4.08 |
| 0.6 | 10.10 | 4.13 | 9.90 | 4.07 |
| 0.7 | 10.09 | 4.13 | 9.84 | 4.07 |
| 0.8 | 10.10 | 4.13 | 9.85 | 4.06 |
| 0.9 | 10.11 | 4.14 | 9.91 | 4.07 |
| 1.0 | 10.12 | 4.15 | 9.92 | 4.07 |
|  | $\Sigma=45.72$ |  | $\Sigma=45.15$ |  |
|  | $\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}=4.16$ |  | $\bar{q}=4.11$ |  |



Fig.4.6 Values if $E(i)$ against $i$ in $\log$-log paper


Fig.4.7 Values if $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{i})$ against i in plain paper
Considering the discharges for only the length ratio (i) of $0,0.1,0.2, \ldots, 1.0$, from Table 4.6 the average discharges are 4.16 and 4.11 Vh for sloping and undulated terrain respectively.
Therefore, $E_{z(t s o z \times x)}=100 \cdot \frac{q_{\text {me }}}{\bar{q}} M_{r} f(e)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =100 \cdot \frac{4.13}{4.16} \cdot 0.95 \\
& =94.13 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\text {vapualeacd }}= & 100 \cdot \frac{4.06}{4.11} \cdot 0.95 \\
& =93.84 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

The pressure distribution at different lengths for flat, undulated and sloping terrains are shown in Fig. 4.8.

| Distance, m Sloping terrainHead, m | UndulatedterrainHead, m | Flat terrainHead m |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 10.28 | 10.28 | 10.28 |
| 20 | 10.28 | 10.24 | 10.26 |
| 40 | 10.26 | 10.18 | 10.22 |
| 60 | 10.21 | 10.09 | 10.15 |
| 80 | 10.17 | 10.01 | 10.09 |
| 100 | 10.13 | 9.93 | 10.03 |
| 120 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 9.98 |
| 140 | 10.09 | 9.84 | 9.95 |
| 160 | 10.1 | 9.85 | 9.94 |
| 180 | 10.11 | 9.91 | 9.93 |
| 200 | 10.12 | 9.92 | 9.92 |

## Graphical Design Method

The graphical design method is faster than the methods described earlier. It is therefore more useful for designing large number of laterals. This method uses a set of curves called "polyplot" the development and use of which is described by Herbert (1971). The polyplot technique is based on the following assumptions and limitations:
i. Specific Discharge Rate, SDR, characterizes the flow in the lateral.


Fig. 4.8 Pressure distribution curves for flat terrain C , sloping terrain C 2 and undulated terrain C 3
$\mathrm{SDR}=\frac{\text { discharg e per distributo or group of distributor }}{\text { dis tance between distributo or group of distributors }}$
or, $\mathrm{SDR}=\frac{\text { total discharg } e}{\text { total lengthof flow }}$
The SDR technique is applied only to pipelines having flow decreasing to zero at the closed end.
ii. The spacing between the outlets is 6 m . If the outlets are more than 6 m , friction loss will be little higher and the friction curve will be slightly above the friction curve shown. Similarly, when spacing is less than 6 m , the real curve will be slightly below. However, for most practical purposes these curves are accurate enough.
iii. Friction losses in pipes are calculated based on Darcy-Weisbach equation in which friction $f$ is taken as:

$$
f=\frac{64}{R_{\varepsilon}} \text { for laminar flow }
$$

and $f=0.0056+0.50 R_{e}^{-0.32}$ for turbulent flow.
The "change-over point' from laminar to turbulent is not stated. However, it may be assumed to be $=2000$. The Table
4.7 represents the limits of laminar flow for various discharges.
iv. Values of $f$ are established empirically for smooth pipes. Since the $f$ values are established for smooth pipes, the curves are established for smoothly pipes. The curves will be applicable to other commercial pipes, because even for steel and asbestos pipes the friction factor does not deviate much to a quite high Reynolds number.
v. The method does not take into account the possible fluctuation due to lack of homogeneity in the manufacture of distributors. The coefficient of variation due to manufacture is equally important to friction head loss in the system. There is some risk to implement the design unless the emission uniformity is verified.
Table 4.7 Approximate limits of laminar flow for various pipe sizes and discharges

| Internal diameter of pipe, mm | Flow at which $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.51 | 2.4 lph |
| 0.89 | 4.6 |
| 1.27 | 6.7 |
| 6.35 | 0.6 lpm |
| 6.35 | 0.9 |
| 12.70 | 1.2 |
| 15.88 | 1.5 |
| 19.05 | 1.8 |
| 24.40 | 2.4 |
| 31.75 | 3.1 |
| 38.10 | 3.7 |
| 50.80 | 4.8 |

Source: Irrigation and Drainage Paper 36, FAO (1980)
The steps required to use the "polyplot" techniques in designing the laterals are stated below with reference to Fig. 4.9.
Case I. Fixed outlet discharge with tapered pipes.
Step 1. Calculate the SDR and make this SDR line on the small graph in the upper left corner.
Step 2. Select the various pipe sizes (internal diameter) expected to be used on laterals.
Step 3. Mark the points of intersections of SDR lines to lines of diameter of pipes.


Fig.4.9 Graphical design of pipelines with flow decreasing uniformly to zero Source: Irrigation \& Drainage Paper 36, FAO(1980) (p.63)
Step 4. Determine the Working Curve denomination towards down from the points of intersection for various diameters.
Step 5. Marks Working Curves so determined on the main chart. These are then the actual friction curves for these particular pipe diameters and SDR.
Step 6. Select the nominal design pressure at which the lateral is to be operated.
Step 7. Place a sheet of tracing paper over the chart and draw at same scales ordinate and abscissa axis of the underlying friction curves.
Step 8. Origin of axis is the closed end at the farthest point of the lateral. From the origin draw the original profile of the terrain over which the lateral is to run.
Step 9. Draw the lines parallel to the profile that represents the design tolerance for pressure variation. $\mathrm{A} \pm 10 \%$ variation is used for turbulent flow distributors and $\pm 5 \%$ to $\pm 7 \%$ for laminar flow distributors.
Step 10. Start at the closed end and select the smallest pipe or the Working Curve number. Keeping the vertical line on the tracing paper coincident with the ordinate axis of the underlying curves slide the tracing paper up and down and fixes the position that includes maximum length of the pipe within the parallel lines of the tolerance limit. Draw the length of the curve.
Step 11. Select the next pipe size or the working curve number. Similar to Step 10 slide up and down the tracing paper to include the maximum length of this pipe size within the tolerance limit. Draw this length of the curve on the tracing paper.
Step 12. The process will continue till the selected length of the pipes reach to the inlet end.
Step 13. Determine the length of different diameter pipes from the points of intersections of the curves drawn on tracing paper for different pipe sizes.
Step 14. From the pressure distribution curves so prepared, determine $q_{\text {min }}$ and $q_{\max }$ and calculate $E_{u}$ for given $M_{r}$.
Example 4.3 A lateral of length 150 m is to supply the water to the plants 3 m apart at the rate of $5 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ from the distributors. The characteristics of the distributors are $q=0.79 H^{0.8}, C V=4 \%$. Lateral is to run a fairly uniform slope of about $1.0 \%$ down. Normal design pressure is 10 m of water. Available ID of pipes are $10 \mathrm{~mm}, 12 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 15 mm . Design the lateral by polyplot technique. Also find the $q_{\text {min }}$ and $E_{u}$.
Solution: Step 1. SDR $=\frac{\text { Disch arge per distributor }}{\text { Disch arge between the distributors }}=\frac{5}{3}=1.67 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{m}$
Step 2-4. For ID $10 \mathrm{~mm} \rightarrow$ Working Curve No. 17

$$
\begin{aligned}
& " \text { ID } 12 \mathrm{~mm} \rightarrow,,, \text { No. } 22 \\
& \text { „ID } 15 \mathrm{~mm} \rightarrow,,, \text { No. } 26
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 5. Working Curve Nos. 17, 22 and 26 are marked on the main chart.
Step 6. Nominal design pressure, $\mathrm{H}=10 \mathrm{~m}$ of water.
Step 7. Ordinate and abscissa lines are drawn on the tracing paper following the main chart.
Step 8. Using both ordinate and abscissa from the closed end a line is drawn showing the actual profile of the lateral ( $1.0 \%$ ) over which the lateral is to run.
Step 9. Parallel to the profiles, lines are drawn showing 5\% tolerance i.e.,.
Step 10-13. Keeping the ordinate fixed and sliding the tracing paper up and down the portion of the curves within the tolerance zone are drawn for different diameters. From the point of intersection of the curves the lengths are selected 48,59 and 43 m for 10, 12 and 15 mm diameters respectively.
Step 14. From Fig. 4.10 (curves on tracing paper) it is found that total elevation and friction head, But, So, $\Delta h=2.0-1.5=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$.

$$
\therefore \text { Head, } H(i=1)=10 m-0.5 m(5 \%)=9.5 m
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(i=0)=9.5 m+0.5 m=10.0 m \\
& q=0.79 H^{0.8} \\
& q_{\min }=0.79(9.5)^{0.8}=4.78 l / h \\
& \bar{q}=0.79(10)^{0.8}=l / h \\
& M_{r} f(e)=1-\frac{1.27}{\sqrt{e}} \cdot C V=1-\frac{1.27}{\sqrt{1}} \cdot \frac{4.0}{100}=1-0.0508=0.949 \\
& E_{u}=100 \cdot \frac{q_{\text {min }}}{\bar{q}} M_{r} f(e) \\
& \quad=100 \cdot \frac{4.78}{5.00} \cdot 0.949 \\
& \quad=91.09 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## Case II. Fixed outlet discharge with constant pipe diameter throughout

The design procedure is same to Case-I excepting that there should be one acceptable pipe diameter throughout instead of a few. It is to be tried to find out the lowest number curve, which suits within the profile for the entire length of the lateral (Fig. 4.11). For the Example 4.3 it appears that curve number 23 will be best fit. The diameter of the pipe is now selected by using this curve number for given SDR from the top left-hand graph of Fig.4.9. It is the 12 mm diameter pipe for Example 4.3.


Fig. 4.10 Graphical design of pipe network-case I
Case III Outlets that can compensate pressure variation and constant pipe diameter throughout
The outlets are micro tube. By using different length of the micro tube the effects of pressure variation may be adjusted. For this purpose the length/pressure curve of micro tube is to be known. Fig. 4.12 is having such a curve for the outlet discharge.


Fig. 4.11 Graphical design of pipe network-case II

### 4.3 Sub-main Design

Distribution of pressure within the unit of sub main pipe network and emission uniformity.
The position of a distributor in a lateral of a sub-main may be characterized by a couple ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}$ ). The M and L represents the relative position of the sub main and lateral respectively. The relative position at the inlet is 0.0 and 1.0 at the far end of sub main or the laterals. Thus the pressure head at any position can be noted as H (M, L). Soloman and Keller (1974) proposed the formulae to calculate $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L})$ at all the points in the network knowing only the head at the inlet of the sub main $\mathrm{H}(0,0)$, the head at the downstream end of the downstream lateral $\mathrm{H}(1,1)$ and the head at upstream end of the downstream lateral $\mathrm{H}(1,0)$ (Fig.4.13). The formulae are given for following cases:


Fig. 4.12 Graphical design of pipe networks

## i. Sub main design with constant ID pipe-flat field


$\left[E(M) \frac{H(0,0)}{H(0.0)}+(1-E(M)]^{-\frac{X}{z}}\right.$
The $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{L})$ and $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{M})$ values can be obtained from Table 4.5 . L and M is to indicate the relative position or length ratio of lateral and sub-main respectively. $\mathrm{H}(0,0) ; \mathrm{H}(1,0) ; \mathrm{H}$
$(1,1)$ are known (Fig. 4.13). $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{x}$ is ratio of lateral discharge exponent X to the distributor discharge exponent x . The values of ratio $\Delta H / \bar{H}$ are given in Fig.4.14 for various values of x and of the last lateral on the sub main.
$\frac{\Delta H}{\bar{H}}=\frac{H(1,0)-M(1,1)}{0.23 H(1,0)+0.77 H(1,1)}$


Fig. 4.13 A drip system sub unit
Example 4.4 In sub main unit of a drip system the $\mathrm{H}(0,0), \mathrm{H}(1,0), \mathrm{H}(1,1)$ is $12.5 \mathrm{~m}, 11.0 \mathrm{~m}$, and 10.5 m respectively. Find out the head $\mathrm{H}(0.2,0.2), \mathrm{H}(0.5,0.1)$ and $\mathrm{H}(1,0.7)$. Assume distributor discharge exponent as 0.80 .

## Solution:

$\frac{\Delta H}{H}=\frac{H(1,0)-M(1,1)}{0.23 H(1,0)+0.77 H(1,1)}$


Fig. 4.14 X/x as a function of x and $\Delta H / \bar{H}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{11.0-10.5}{0.23 \times 11+0.77 \times 10.5} \\
& =\frac{0.5}{2.53+8.085}=\frac{0.5}{10.615}=0.047
\end{aligned}
$$

From Fig.4.14, $\frac{X}{x}-0.98$ for $\frac{\Delta H}{\bar{H}}=0.047$
Eq.4.32,
$H(M, L)-[13 E(L)-0.3][E(M) H(0,0)+\{1-E(M)\} H(1,0)]+[1-E(L)][H(1,1)+0.3 H(1,0)$,
$\left[E(M) \frac{H(0,0)}{H(1)}+(1-E(M)]^{\frac{x}{x}}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(0.2,0.2)=[1.3 \times 0.81-0.3][0.81 \times 12.5+(1-0.81) \times 11.0)]+ \\
& {[1-0.81][10.5+0.3 \times 11.0]\left[0.81 \times \frac{12.5}{11.0}+(1-0.81)\right]^{0.8}} \\
& =0.753 \times 12.215+2.622 \times 1.108=12.10
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
H(0.5,0.1)=[1.3 \times 0.95-0.3][0.297 \times 12.5+(1-0.297) 11.0]
$$

$$
+[1-0.95][10.5+0.3 \times 11.0]\left[0.297 x \frac{12.5}{11.0}+(1-0.297]^{0.98}\right.
$$

$$
=0.935 \times 11.44+0.69 \times 1.04
$$

$$
=10.7+0.72=11.42 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$H(1,0.7)=[1.3 \times 0.093-0.3][0.00 \times 12.5+(1-0.00) 11.0]$
$+[1-0.093][10.5+0.3 \times 11.0]\left[0.0 \times \frac{12.5}{11.0}+(1-0.0)\right]^{0.98}$
$=[-0.179][11.0]+[0.907][13.8][1]^{0.98}$
$=-1.97+12.517$
$=10.547 \mathrm{~m}$

## ii. Completely tapered sub main

If the head loss per unit length is constant;

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(M, L)=[1.3 E(L)-0.3][(1-M) H(0.0)+M H(1,0)] \\
& +[1-E(L)][H(1,1)+0.3 H(1,0)]\left[(1-M) \frac{H(0,0)}{H(1,0)}+M\right]^{\frac{x}{x}} \tag{4.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 4.5 Find the head $\mathrm{H}(0.3,0.3)$ and $\mathrm{H}(0.6,0.6)$ in a completely tapered sub-main in flat field assuming $\mathrm{H}(0,0), \mathrm{H}(1,0)$ and $\mathrm{H}(1,1)$ as $14.5 \mathrm{~m}, 12.5 \mathrm{~m}$ and 12.0 m , respectively. The distributor discharge exponent is 0.8 .

## Solution:

$\frac{\Delta H}{\bar{H}}=\frac{H(1,0)-H(1,1)}{0.23 H(1,0)+0.77 H(1,1)}$
$=\frac{12.5-12.0}{0.23 \times 12.5+0.77 \times 12}$
$=\frac{0.5}{12.115}=0.04127$
For $\frac{\Delta H}{\bar{H}}=0.04127, X / x=0.982$ from Fig.4.6.
Using Eq.4.33,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(0.3,0.3)=[1.3 \times 0.64-0.3][(1-0.3) 14.5+0.3 \times 12.5] \\
& +[1-0.64][12.0+0.3 \times 12.5]\left[(1-0.3) \frac{14.5}{12.5}+0.3\right]^{0.882} \\
& =[0.532][13.9]+[0.36][15.75][1.112]^{0.982} \\
& =7.395+5.67[1.1099] \\
& =7.395+6.293 \\
& =13.69 \mathrm{~m} \\
& H(0.6,0.6)=[1.3 \times 0.175-0.3][(1-0.6) 14.5+0.6 \times 12.5] \\
& +[1-0.175][12.0+0.3 \times 12.5]\left[(1-0.6) \frac{14.5}{12.5}+0.6\right]^{-0.92}
\end{aligned}
$$

## iii. Submain with pressure regulator placed at the inlet of each lateral

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(M, L)=H(1,1)+E(L)[R-H(1,1)] \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{R}=$ constant output head of the regulator.
Example 4.6 Find the head $\mathrm{H}(0.5,0.5)$ in sub-main drip network where the regulators are used at each of the lateral at the output head of 12.0 m . The $\mathrm{H}(1,1)$ is 11.4 m .

## Solution:

$H(M, L=H(1,1)+E(L)[R-H(1,1)] a$
or, $H(0.5,0.5)=11.4+0.297[12.0-11.4]$
$=11.4+0.178$
$=11.58 \mathrm{~m}$.

### 4.4 Design Charts

The hydraulic calculation discussed in previous sections is tedious. The design charts have been developed by computer simulation to select the main, sub main and the laterals.

## i. Lateral line design chart

## (a) For uniform slope

The 12 mm and 160 m lateral lines sizes are commonly used in field practices. Fig. 4.15 and 4.16 represents the design charts for these two lateral sizes. Fig.4.17, 4.18 and 4.19 represent the general charts that can be used for any pipe size. The design procedures are as follows:

Step 1. Establish the lateral length (L), operating pressure head $(H)$, ratio $L / H$ and determine the total discharge (Q) in liters per second (lps).
Step 2. Move vertically from L/H (in quadrant III) to the given total discharge (lps) in quadrant II, then establish a horizontal line in quadrant I.
Step 3. Move horizontally for $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{H}$ (quadrant III) to the percent slope line in quadrant IV, then establish a vertical line in to quadrant I.

Step 4. The intersection point of these two lines in quadrant I determines the acceptability of the design.
Desirable: Pressure variation less than $20 \%$ or emitter flow variation less than $10 \%$.
Acceptable: Pressure variation 20-40\% or emitter flow variation about 10-20\%.

Not recommended: Pressure variation greater than $40 \%$ or emitter flow variation larger than $20 \%$.
Example 4.7 Select the lateral size of a drip system for the following operating condition:
Length of the lateral $=75 \mathrm{~m}$
Operating pressure head $=10 \mathrm{~m}$
Emitter discharge $=41 / \mathrm{h}$
Spacing of emitters $=0.75 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {‘ }}$
Slope of the lateral line $=2$ percent down slope
Solution: $\mathrm{L}=75 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}=10 \mathrm{~m}$
Step 1. Calculating, $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{H}=75 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{~m}=7.5$
Total discharge in lateral, $Q=\frac{75 \mathrm{~m}}{0.75 \mathrm{~m}} \times 4 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}=400 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$

$$
=0.111 / \mathrm{s}
$$

Step 2. Using Fig. 4.16 and moving vertically from $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{H}=7.5$ to the total discharge line $(\mathrm{Q}=0.111 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s})$, the horizontal line is established in to quadrant I.
Step 3. Moving horizontally from $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{H}$ in quadrant III to the $2 \%$ down slope in quadrant IV, the vertical line is established in quadrant I.
Step 4. The point of intersection of the horizontal line from quadrant II and vertical line from quadrant IV in quadrant $I$ is in the desirable zone in which the pressure variation is found within $20 \%$ (and emitter flow variation is within $10 \%$ ).

## (b) General design charts



Fig.4.15 Design chart for drip lateral (12mm)


Fig. 4.16 Design chart for drip lateral ( 16 mm )
Fig. 4.17 and 4.18 are plotted dimensionless for uniform down slope and up slope respectively. These can be used for any diameter pipes. Fig. 4.19 is a nomograph for determining from total discharge, Q, and pipe size, D. Fig. 4.17 to 4.19 can be used to check the acceptability of a design when the lateral size is given or to select a proper size of a lateral line in a given design condition. The design procedure is as follows:

## (i) To check acceptability of design when the lateral size is given.

Step 1. Establish a trial L/H and total discharge Q (lps).
Step 2. Determine from Fig. 4.19 by using the total discharge (Q) and lateral size (D).
Step 3. Move vertically from L/H in quadrant III to the determined in quadrant II of the appropriate figures (Fig. 4.17 or 4.18), then establish a horizontal line in quadrant I.
Step 4. Move horizontally from $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{H}$ to the $\%$ slope line in quadrant IV, then establish a vertical line in quadrant I.
Step 5. The point of intersection of the two lines in quadrant I determines the acceptability of the design.
Desirable: Emitter flow variation less than $10 \%$
Acceptable: Emitter flow variation less than 20\%
Not recommended: Emitter flow variation greater than 20\%

## (ii) To select proper lateral size

Step 1. Establish L/H and lateral discharge, Q (lps)
Step 2. Move horizontally from L/H (in quadrant III) to the percent slope in quadrant IV of the appropriate figure (Fig.4.17 or 4.18), then establish a vertical line in to quadrant I.
Step 3. Select a point along this line in quadrant $I$ at the upper boundary of the desirable region $A$ or acceptable region $B$ depending on the design criterion. From this point establish a horizontal line in quadrant II.
Step 4. Establish a vertical line in quadrant II from the L/H value. This line intersects at a point with the horizontal line drawn from quadrant I in step 3. Determine the value at this point.

Step 5. From the nomograph (Fig.4.19) using the total discharge and the value, determine the lateral discharge.
Example 4.8. Determine the size of the lateral for the lateral length of 100 m in a crop field of $1 \%$ down slope. The emitters are spaced 0.5 m apart and discharge at a rate of $4 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ at the average pressure head of 10 m .
Solution: Lateral length, $\mathrm{L}=100 \mathrm{~m}$
Operating pressure, $\mathrm{H}=10 \mathrm{~m}$
Field down slope=1\%
Step 1. Calculating, $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{H}=100 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{~m}=10$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad Q=\frac{100 \mathrm{~m}}{0.5 \mathrm{~m}} \times 4 l / \mathrm{h}=800 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h} \\
& \text { Total discharge, } \quad=0.222 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2-4. Horizontal movement is done with $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{H}=10$ from quadrant III to $1 \%$ down slope in quadrant IV (Fig.4.17). From the point of intersection to down slope line in quadrant IV vertical line is drawn in quadrant I to select a point at the extreme end of desirable zone and the horizontal line is drawn to quadrant II from this point. Again the vertical line is drawn with $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{H}=10$ in quadrant II which intersects at with the horizontal line from quadrant I. Using the Fig.4.19 the lateral size found is approx. 16 mm .


Fig. 4.17 Dimensionless design chart (down slope)


Fig. 4.18 Dimensionless design chart (up slope)
Total elscharge, Ia Ops)


Fig. 4.19 Nomograph for drip laterals and sub main design

## Lateral design for non-uniform slope

This is a dimensionless chart developed by Wu and Gitlin (1975), which can be used for both metric and FPS units. The design procedure follows:
Step 1. Divide the non-uniform slope profile in to several sections each of which may be considered as uniform slope. Calculate the energy loss and gain by knowing the slope of each section. Determine the total energy gain due to slopes for any length along the line.
Step 2. Plot the non-uniform slope pattern in a dimensionless form $1 /$ L i.e., the length ratio $i(1 / L)$ vs total energy gain due to slopes $\left(\Delta H_{i}^{\prime} / \Sigma\right)$ in quadrant I (Fig.4.20).
Step 3. By using Eq. 4.9 determine the total energy drop $(\Delta H)$ by friction and calculate the total energy loss () and operational pressure head $(\mathrm{H})$ ratio $(\Delta H / H)$.
Step 4. Determine the lateral length (L) and operating pressure head (H) ratio $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{H}$.
Step 5. Select a point from the non-uniform slope profile $\Delta H_{i}^{\prime} / H$ in quadrant I preferably point.


Fig. 4.20 Design chart for non-uniform slope
Step 6. From the point selected in step 5 in quadrant I draw a vertical line downward to the determined $L / H$ in quadrant IV and vertical line from quadrant II in quadrant III gives the pressure variation from the operating pressure.
Step 7. The location of intersection of horizontal line from quadrant IV and vertical line from quadrant II in quadrant III gives the pressure variation from the operating pressure.
Step 8. Repeat the entire process for some other points preferably at regular interval in the dimensionless non-uniform slope profiles and check the pressure variation of these points.
Example 4.9 A 100m long and 15 mm diameter lateral line is laid to uneven land of $3 \%$
down, $4 \%$ down, $0 \%$ and $1 \%$ down for $0-25 \mathrm{~m}, 25-50 \mathrm{~m}, 50-75 \mathrm{~m}$ and $75-100 \mathrm{~m}$ length respectively. The total discharge through the lateral is $0.131 / \mathrm{s}$. Assume 10 m as the operating pressure head for the drip system. Check the pressure variation in lateral.
Solution: Given
$\mathrm{L}=100 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{H}=10 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{d}=15 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{q}=0.131 / \mathrm{s}$
Length ratio, $l / H=0.25,0.5,0.75,1.0$
Step 1\&2. The total energy gain at different length ratio is calculated as follows:

| $l / L$ | $\Delta H_{i}^{\prime}, \mathrm{m}$ | $\Delta H_{i}^{\prime} / L$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.25 | 0.75 | 0.0075 |


| 1.00 | 2.00 | 0.02 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

The non-uniform slopes in dimensionless forms and the respective energy given $\left(\Delta H_{i}^{\prime} / L\right)$ are shown in quadrant I (Fig.4.20).
Step 3\&4. Total energy drop due to friction following Eq.4.9,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta H=5.35\left(\frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{1.871}}\right) L \\
& =5.35\left\{\frac{(0.13)^{1.82}}{(1.5)^{.887}}\right\} \times 100=5.35 x\left(\frac{0.023}{7.207}\right) \times 100=5.35 \times 0.0032 \times 100 \\
& =1.7 m \\
& \therefore \Delta H / H=\frac{1.7}{10}=0.17 \\
& L / H=\frac{100}{10}=10
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 5-8. Selecting the point at the length ratio 0.25 where $\Delta H_{i}^{\prime} / L=0.0075$, horizontal line is drawn in quadrant II up to point of intersection of line represents $\Delta H / H=0.17$. From the selected point in quadrant I line is drawn vertically downward to quadrant IV to intersects with the line which represents $L / H$. From this point horizontal line is drawn to quadrant III. Vertically downward line is also drawn from the point of intersection in quadrant II to III, which intersects with horizontal line drawn from quadrant IV at the point to represents the pressure variation $7.5 \%$ negative. Similarly the pressure variation at $0.5,0.75$ and 1.0 length ratio are found $2.5 \%(+), 1 \%(+)$ and $3 \%(+)$, respectively.

## 2. Sub main design chart



Fig. 4.21 Sub main design chart (slope equal to or larger than $0.5 \%$ )


Fig. 4.22 Sub main design chart (slope less than $0.5 \%$ and allowable pressure variation $10 \%$ )
The flow characteristics of a sub main and the laterals are same excepting the sub main size is larger to accommodate the entire flow of the laterals in a sub main. The design procedure using the charts as described in earlier sections can be used for designing sub main.
The sub main length is usually short (approx. 25 to 75 m ). It can be assumed that the total frictional drop is equal to total energy gain due to slope, $\Delta H=\Delta H^{\prime}$ When the $\Delta H$ is made equal to $\Delta H^{\prime}$ the Eq.4.6 can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta H^{\prime}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{4.871}} L \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ is simply the slope of sub main. In the assumption of or when using Eq.4.35, the maximum pressure loss will be at the middle section whose magnitude is equal to $0.36 \Delta H^{\prime}$. Following the Eq. 4.35 chart is made as shown in Fig. 4.21 which is applicable for the slope equal to or larger than $0.5 \%$. A slope less than $0.5 \%$ is considered level or zero. Therefore, the Eq. 4.35 cannot be used for such case. The pressure variation will be only due to frictional loss and it should be maximum at the end of the sub main. Therefore, the Eq.4.6 can be rewritten as follows selecting the loss $\frac{\Delta H}{H}=10 \%$ maximum acceptable pressure variation.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta H / H=3.98 \times 10^{5} \frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{4.871}} \frac{L}{H}  \tag{4.36}\\
& \text { or } 0.1=3.98 \times 10^{5} \frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{4.871}} \frac{L}{H} \tag{4.37}
\end{align*}
$$

The design chart as shown in Fig.4.22 is made following the Eq.4.37. The design procedure for using the simplified charts (Fig.4.21 \& 4.22) is follows:
Step 1. Determine the total discharge, Q , for the sub main.
Step 2. Determine the length and pressure ratio $L / H$.
Step 3. Determine the sub main slope. If the slope is more than $0.5 \%$, use Fig.4.21. Use Fig.4.22 for slope less than $0.5 \%$.
Example 4.10 Using the lateral line as given in Example 4.8 with lateral discharge of $0.22 \mathrm{1} / \mathrm{s}$, design a sub main when the sub main length is 30 m and the lateral line spacing is 2 m . Design the sub main size when sub main slope is zero and $4 \%$ uniform down slope.
Solution: Given:
Lateral line length $=100 \mathrm{~m}$
Discharge per lateral size $=0.22 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$
Sub main length, $\mathrm{L}=30 \mathrm{~m}$
Operating pressure head $=10 \mathrm{~m}$
Step 1. Number of lateral lines $=30 / 2=15$
Total discharge of sub main inlet, $\mathrm{Q}=15 \times 0.22=3.3 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$
Step 2. $\frac{L}{H}=\frac{30}{10}=3$
Step 3. When sub main slope is zero, using the Fig. 4.22 , the sub main size is designed as 45 mm . When the sub main slope is $4 \%$, using Fig.4.21, the designed sub main size is 42 mm .

### 4.5 Main Line Design

Main line design is like a pipe design when it delivers water to one or two sub fields. In such case the size of main may be determined by using Eq.4.5 $\left(\Delta H=15.27 \frac{q^{1.882}}{d^{4871}} I\right)$. When the main delivers to many sub mains or sub fields the flow through it decreases with respect to length. The pipe size of the main at any section of it will depend on the energy gradient above the main line. The total energy at any outlet, along the main should be equal or higher than the energy required to operate the system under the command of that outlet. Therefore, the design approach mainly to determine the allowable energy drops for all main line sections. The design procedure is as follows:
Step 1. Plot the main line profiles as shown in Fig.4.23.
Step 2. Plot the required pressure head along the main line profile as shown in Fig.4.23.
Step 3. Draw the straight energy gradient line with reference to the required pressure profile such that the energy gradient line is along the required pressure profile (Fig.4.23).
Step 4. Determine the energy slope which in the slope of the straight energy gradient line $L / H$.,
Step 5. Design the size of the main by using the nomograph (Fig.4.19) based on the energy slope and the total discharge for each main section.
Example 4.11 A drip irrigation system is required for a 10ha field. The field is rectangular and divided by sub mains connected from the main line. The main line is laid on the center of the field with 10 sub plots on each side of the main line. Each sub plot is
about 125 m long and 40 m wide and each main line section is 40 m long. The design capacity is 1.5 V s to each sub plot. There are a total of 9 outlets on each side of the main line and at the end of each section to supply $1.5 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$ to each sub plot. If the main line slopes, the required operating pressure $(7.5 \mathrm{~m})$ at the lateral lines and available input pressure $(18 \mathrm{~m})$ at the beginning of the main line are given in Fig.4.23, design the main line.
Solution: Given, Main line length $=40 x 9=360 \mathrm{~m}$
Operating pressure for drip laterals $=7.5 \mathrm{~m}$
Available input pressure at the inlet $=18.0 \mathrm{~m}$ (point A)
Total discharges to main $=30 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$
Required total energy at the last field $=10.5 \mathrm{~m}$ (point B)
Step 1. Main line profile is plotted as shown in Fig.4.23.
Step 2. Required pressure head of 7.5 m is plotted along the main line as shown in Fig.4.23.
Step 3. Straight energy gradient is drawn and the energy slope is determined as $2.08 \%$.
Step 4. By using the nomograph (Fig.4.19) and energy slope $2.08 \%$ the main line size at different sections are determined and presented in Table 4.8.


Fig. 4.23 Main line lay out and energy gradient line
Table 4.8 Main line size determined from nomograph (Fig.4.19) for Example 4.11.
Main line section Dischargel/s Main line sizeinside dia, cm

| $0^{*}$ | 30 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 27 | 12.5 |
| 2 | 24 | 12.5 |
| 3 | 21 | 12.5 |
| 4 | 18 | 12.5 |
| 5 | 15 | 12.5 |
| 6 | 12 | 12.5 |
| 7 | 9 | 10.0 |
| 8 | 6 | 7.5 |
| 9 | 3 | 7.5 |
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### 4.6 Farm Drip System Design Examples

Example 4.12 Design the drip irrigation system for banana crop grown in 1ha field at $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ spacing in New Alluvial Agroclimatic zone of West Bengal

## Solution:

Layout of the field: Let the length \& breadth of the field $=100 \mathrm{~m} \times 100 \mathrm{~m}$


Fig.4.24 Layout of field for 1ha banana crop
Water requirement of crop:
Water requirement,
Where, $\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}=E_{\text {pan }} x k_{p} x K_{c} x A_{w} x S_{p}$
Where, $\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}$ = water requirement/plant/day
$E_{p a n}=$ pan evaporation, mm/day
$K_{p}=$ pan factor
$K_{c}=$ crop factor
$A_{w}=$ wetted area factor ( 0.3 for wide spaced crops and 0.9 for closely spaced crops)
$S_{p}=$ spacing of crops, $\mathrm{m}^{2} /$ plant
For New -Alluvial Agro-climatic zone, the average of the maximum evaporation of 2 weeks in summer $=6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ (say)
$\therefore E_{p a n}=6.5 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{p}=0.8 \\
& K_{c}=1.1 \text { (Anonymous) } \\
& A_{w}=0.5 \text { (assumed) } \\
& S_{p}=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{~m}=4 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
& E T_{\text {crop }}=6.5 \mathrm{~mm} / \text { day } 0.8 \times 1.1 \times 0.5 \times 4 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
& =11.44 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \text { day } \\
& =11.44 l / \mathrm{plant}^{1} \text { day }
\end{aligned}
$$

Total number of plants in the field $=\frac{\text { Area }}{\text { Spacing }}=\frac{10,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{4 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \text { plant }}=2500$
Daily water requirement of the area $=11.44 l /$ plant $/$ day $2500=28600 t=28.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$

## Selection of drippers:

Say, the drippers to be used of capacity $21 / \mathrm{h}$. The actual discharge rate of drippers or the rate of application to a plant may be decided based on wetting pattern to the soil condition.
Time of operating or pumping the system $=\frac{11.44}{2}=5.72 \mathrm{~h}$
Alternately, a reservoir of $28.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ capacity may provide uninterrupted irrigation. In the area where power cut is the regular feature the provision of water reservoir may provide the scope of undisturbed irrigation.
Rate of pumping $=\frac{28600}{5.72}=1.39 \mathrm{lps}$

## Main line and laterals:

## Main

The size of the plot is assumed $100 \mathrm{~m} \times 100 \mathrm{~m}$. Therefore, the main line would be length of 100 m . The laterals are also of each 100 m length originated from the main. The number of laterals would be $100 \mathrm{~m} / 2 \mathrm{~m}=50$. The total number of drippers per lateral $=100 \mathrm{~m} / 2 \mathrm{~m}$ $=50$.
Main line discharge 1.39ps
Friction head loss in main pipes (m):
Total length $=100 \mathrm{~m}$
Equivalent length of 17 straight connection $=8.5 \mathrm{~m}$ (assuming 6 m pipe piece $\& 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ equivalent length for friction to each joint)
Bends \& other fittings $=5.0 \mathrm{~m}$
Total main length $=113.5 \mathrm{~m}$
By using the Hazen-William formula where flow decreasing to zero at the end of the pipe,
$h_{T}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{4.871}} x L$,
Where, Hazen-William constant C=150 for PVC.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{q}=\text { flow in } 1 / \mathrm{s} \\
& \mathrm{~d}=\text { internal diameter of pipe in } \mathrm{mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming the diameter of pipe $=50 \mathrm{~mm}$
$h_{t}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{1.39^{1.852}}{50^{4.871}} \times 113.5$
or, $h_{l}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{1.84}{1.886 \times 10^{8}} \times 113.5$
or,$h_{l}=\frac{831.27}{1.886 \times 10^{8}} \times 10^{5}$
$\therefore h_{l}=0.44 \mathrm{~m}$
Assuming 40 mm diameter of pipe,
$h_{i}=3.98 \times 10^{5} x \frac{1.39^{1.852}}{40^{4.871}} x 113.5$
or,$h_{l}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{1.84}{6.36 \times 10^{7}} \times 113.5$
$=1.31 \mathrm{~m}$
The loss of head in friction also can be had from the chart for different diameter and flow.

## Design criteria

1. The friction head loss in main should not exceed $1 \mathrm{~m} / 100 \mathrm{~m}$ length
2. The head loss in a lateral should be such that it should not exceed $10 \%$ of the head at first emitter or average operating pressure usually assumed 10 m .

In consideration to the above, the diameter of pipe for main to be selected is 50 mm .

## Laterals

No. of dripper per lateral $=100 \mathrm{~m} / 2 \mathrm{~m}=50$
Flow through each lateral
In 100 m length of the lateral there are 50 drippers inserted in to the lateral. The friction loss usually taken 0.5 m length of lateral equivalent to a dripper. Thus equivalent length for 50 drippers in a lateral $=25 \mathrm{~m}$ and the total lateral length to be considered $=$ $100+25=125 \mathrm{~m}$ Using Hazen-William equation,
$h_{l}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{4.871}} \times L$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{(0.0277)^{1.852}}{(12)^{.871}} \times 125$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{1.31 \times 10^{-3}}{180589} \times 125$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{1.31 \times 10^{-3}}{(12)^{4.811}} \times 125$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times 7.26 \times 10^{-9} \times 125$
$=0.36 \mathrm{~m}$
For 10 mm lateral,
$h_{i}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{(0.0277)^{1.852}}{(10)^{4.871}} \times 125$
$=0.87 \mathrm{~m}$
Assuming standard average operating head in lateral, the permitted head loss is $10 / 100=1 \mathrm{~m}$. The 12 mm lateral is thus providing the friction loss much less than the permissible limit. The head loss in 10 mm pipe is close to the extreme limit of 1.0 m . Due to deposition of foreign particles in the system or the development of algae and fungi there is every scope of exceeding the permissible limit of friction head loss in the lateral at any time. It is; therefore, better to select the 12 mm diameter lateral to be in the safe side.
Horsepower of the pump set
The pump uses to operate under static and friction head.
Static head

## Depth of water

Draw down
Delivery head
Friction losses in pipes, bends, etc.
Total static head

$$
=15 \mathrm{~m}
$$

## The friction loss in the drip unit

Friction loss in the main

$$
=0.44 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Friction loss in laterals

$$
=0.36 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Head losses in control head for fertilizer applicator, filter, etc. $=10 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
=10.0 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Minimum head required over dripper $\quad=10.0 \mathrm{~m}$
Total head loss in drip unit

$$
=20.80 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Total head

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =10.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{say}) \\
& =2.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{say}) \\
& =1.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{say}) \\
& =2.0 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{say})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\text { Static head }+ \text { Friction loss in drip unit }
$$

$$
=15+20.80=35.80 \mathrm{~m}
$$

The friction loss in the drip unit
Friction loss in the main $=0.44 \mathrm{~m}$
Horsepower of the pump, $H P=\frac{Q \times H}{75 x E}$
Where, $\mathrm{Q}=$ discharge, $1 / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{H}=$ head of water, m
$\mathrm{E}=$ pumping efficiency
Efficiency may be assumed $60 \%$ for electric pump set and $40 \%$ for diesel pump set. Exactly 0.80 hp motor may not be available in
the market. Therefore, the next available size of the motor to be purchased.
The detail of the materials required for the crop field (1ha).

| Sl. <br> No. | Items | Quantity | Rate (Rs./- <br> ) | Amount <br> (Rs) |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 50mm PVC pipe | 160 m | $55 / \mathrm{m}$ | 8,800 |
| 2. | LDPE lateral pipe | 500 m | 7.5 | 37,500 |
| 3. | Drippers (11/h) | 50 pcs | $3.5 / \mathrm{pc}$ | 17,500 |
| 4. | Sand filter | 1 no. | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| 5. | Fertilizer applicator (venturi assembly) | 1 no. | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 6. | Ball valve | 1 no. | 750 | 750 |
| 7. | Flush valve (50mm) | 1 no. | 450 | 450 |
| 8. | Pressure gauge | 1 no. | 650 | 650 |
| 7. | Tees, joints, bends, etc. accessories | - | - | 8,715 |
|  | $[@ 10 \%$ of Sl. No.1-8 (Mane et al, |  |  |  |
|  | (2006)] |  |  |  |


| Total | 95,865 |
| :---: | :---: |

Example 4.13 Design a drip irrigation system for 120 mx 100 m orchard field with the following.
Crop = Guava
Spacing $=5 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{~m}$
Soil = Sandy loam of saturated hydraulic conductivity $1.75 \mathrm{~m} /$ day
Maximum evaporation $=6.5 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day
Land slope $=0.1 \%$ (West to East)
Source of water $=$ Well at corner of the field as shown in Fig. 4.25
Wetted area of crop $=30 \%$
Crop factor \& crop coefficient $=0.8$
Location = New Alluvial Agro Climatic Zone of West Bengal


Fig. 4.25 Layout of the field ( $100 \mathrm{~m} \times 120 \mathrm{~m}$ ) for guava plant

## Solution:

1. Water requirement, $\mathrm{ET}_{\text {crop }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {pan }} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{xK}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{xA} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =6.5 \times 0.8 \times 0.8 \times 0.3 \times 5 \times 5 \\
& =31.21 / \text { day } / \text { plant }
\end{aligned}
$$

With $90 \%$ irrigation efficiency the water requirement
$=31.2 / 0.9=34.66=351 /$ plant $/$ day (say)
2. Selection of drippers

The plants are wide spaced. It is better to use a few drippers to wet more area for better root spreading and anchorage. Let 4 liter drippers 2 numbers per plant are used. Following Schwarzman \& Zur, the depth of wetting,
$z=K_{1}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.63}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{0.45}$
$=29.2(35 / 2)^{0.63}\left(\frac{1.75 /(24 \times 3600)}{4}\right)^{0.45}$
$=29.2 \times 6.07 \times 4.14 \times 10^{-3}$
$=0.73 \mathrm{~m}$
The depth of penetration may be accepted for guava plant for NAZ where ground water level is usually close to ground surface even in the summer. Here in the capillary rise of ground water is a continuous process.
3. Selection of main

Let the main enters into the field through the middle of N-S side and goes towards east following the natural slope. There should not be any sub main. Total plants in the area are to be irrigated at a time.
Length of the main $=60 \mathrm{~m}+(100-5 / 2)=157.5 \mathrm{~m}$
No. of plants $=\frac{120 \times 100}{5 \times 5}=480$
Discharge rate through the main $=480 \times 4 \times 2=38401 / \mathrm{h}=1.071 / \mathrm{s}$
Let the diameter of the main $=50 \mathrm{~mm}$
Using Hazen-William equation, head loss in the main,
$h_{l}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{(q)^{1.852}}{d^{4.871}} \times 157.5$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{(1.07)^{1.832}}{(50)^{.881}} \times 157.5$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times 1.13 /\left(1.89 \times 10^{8}\right) \times 157.5$
$=0.71 \mathrm{~m}$
Friction loss in main is less than the recommended maximum loss of 1 m . Therefore, the main diameter 50 mm is accepted.
4. Selection of laterals

No. of plants in a lateral $=120 /(5 \times 2)=12$
No. of drippers in a lateral $=12 \times 2=24$
The discharge in a lateral $=24 \times 4=961 / \mathrm{h}=0.0271 / \mathrm{s}$
Length of the lateral $=120 / 2-5 / 2=60-2.5=57.5 \mathrm{~m}$
Let us assume barb loss due to penetration of drippers in laterals is equivalent to 0.2 m length for each dripper [Biswas et al (2005) \& Reddy et al (2001)]
Equivalent length of barb loss losses in a lateral $=24 \times 0.2=4.8 \mathrm{~m}$
Let diameter of the lateral pipe $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$
Head loss in a lateral, $h_{l}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \frac{(q)^{1.852}}{d^{4.871}} x\left(L+L_{e}\right)$
The head loss 0.41 m is less of recommended $10 \%$ head loss of average operating pressure $(10 \mathrm{~m})$ i.e. 1 m . The proposed 10 mm diameter lateral pipe is accepted.
5. Selection of pump

Total head $=$ static head $($ suction head + delivery head $)+$ average operating pressure of drip system + friction head loss in main line + friction head loss in lateral+ head losses in equipments in control head + head loss or gain due to elevation
Let, suction head $=12 \mathrm{~m}$, delivery head $=3 \mathrm{~m}$
Static head $=12+3=15 \mathrm{~m}$
Average operating pressure head of drip system $=10 \mathrm{~m}$
Friction head loss in main $=0.71 \mathrm{~m}$
Friction head loss in lateral $=0.41 \mathrm{~m}$
Let the fertilizer applicator, filter, pressure gauge, pressure relief valve, etc. are in use in drip system control head.
Let, head loss due to fertilizer applicator $=5 \mathrm{~m}$, filter $=2.5 \mathrm{~m}$, pressure gauze, pressure relief valve and other fittings in control head $=$ 2.5 m

Head gain due to elevation difference $=\frac{0.1 \times 97.5}{100}=0.0975 \mathrm{~m} \cong 0.1 \mathrm{~m}$
Total operating head $=15+10+0.71+0.41+5+2.5+2.5-0.1=36.02 \mathrm{~m}$
Horsepower of the pump, $H P=\frac{Q x H}{75 x E}$
$=\frac{1.07 \times 36.02}{75 \times 0.6}=0.86$
Irrigation time at peak $=\frac{35}{4 \times 2}=4.38$ hour s period
Example 4.14 The area of field and the crops and spacing are as shown below. Design the drip irrigation system for (i) entire area for banana and (ii) following different crops as shown.
Spacing of banana $=2 \mathrm{mx} 2 \mathrm{~m}$
Spacing of papaya $=2 \mathrm{mx} 2 \mathrm{~m}$
Spacing of orchard $=5 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{~m}$


Fig. 4.26 Lay out of the field ( $170 \mathrm{~m} \times 170 \mathrm{~m}$ ) for banana crop

## (i) Assuming the entire area is under banana cultivation

Length side $=170 \mathrm{~m}$
Width side $=55 \times 2+3 \mathrm{~m}($ road $)=113 \mathrm{~m}$
The main passes through the 3 m road almost middle of the field.
Nos. of laterals on the main on one side $=170 / 2 \mathrm{~m}=85$
Nos. of laterals on other side $=(170-5) / 2=165 / 2=82.583$
Area not under cultivation:
Farm building $=15 \mathrm{~m} \times 10 \mathrm{~m}=150 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Main road $=5 \mathrm{~m} \times 55 \mathrm{~m}=275 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Field road $=170 \mathrm{~m} \times 3 \mathrm{~m}=510 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

$$
\text { Tube well }=5 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{~m}=25 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

$$
\text { Misc. }=90 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

Total $=1050 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Net cultivated area $=170 \mathrm{~m} \times 113 \mathrm{~m}-1050 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=18160 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
No. of banana plants $=18160 / 4=4540$
Water requirement of the plants:
Pan factor $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ is taken 0.8. The pan evaporations ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pan}}$ ), crop coefficients $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$, wetted area $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ in different months as assumed are shown in Table 4.9.
Water requirement for the month of June,
$V=E_{p} x K_{p} x K_{c} x A_{w} x S_{p}$
$=5.0 \times 0.8 \times 0.3 \times 0.3 \times 4=1.441 /$ plant $/$ day
Assuming $30 \%$ wetted area in the months of initial stage of crop and $50 \%$ in development stage and onwards, crop period of banana 13 months and planting in the month of May, month wise water requirements of crop are tabulated in Table 4.9.
Table 4.9 Calculation of water requirement of crop in different months

| Months | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{mm}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | Water requirement, <br> l/plant/day | Irrigation <br> interval, days | Water requirement <br> l/plant/irrigation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 5.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.44 | 2 | 2.88 |
| June | 5.0 |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.44 | 2 | 2.88 |
| July | 4.75 |  | 0.5 | 0.3 | 2.85 | 2 | 5.7 |
| Aug | 4.50 |  | 0.5 | 0.3 | 2.70 | 3 | 8.1 |
| Sept | 4.00 |  | 0.6 | 0.5 | 4.80 | 3 | 14.4 |
| Oct | 3.50 |  | 0.8 | 0.5 | 5.60 | 3 | 16.8 |
| Nov | 2.50 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 5.60 | 5 | 28.0 |  |
| Dec | 2.00 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 4.40 | 5 | 22.0 |  |
| Jan | 1.75 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 3.85 | 5 | 19.25 |  |
| Feb | 2.00 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 4.40 | 5 | 22.0 |  |
| Mar | 4.00 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 8.80 | 2 | 17.6 |  |
| April | 5.00 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 10.00 | 2 | 20.0 |  |
| May | 5.00 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 10.00 | 2 | 20.0 |  |

## Irrigation interval

Irrigation interval may be selected on fixed deficit or fixed interval basis. Let us follow fixed interval basis such that the suction of soil before the irrigation should not exceed the permissible limit.
Following the suggestion of FAO (1980), the irrigation intervals in different seasons of the year may be as below.

| Climate | Months | Irrigation interval, days | Irrigation interval proposed, days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hot | March-July | 2 | 2 |
| Moderate | Aug-Oct | 3 | 3 |

- It has been stated that the drip irrigation in banana are usually made on daily basis or even in pulses several times/day irrespective of pan evaporation (http.). The region of NAZ, West Bengal is not extreme in behavior, rain occurs during JulySeptember and even in winter, and also there is scope of moisture contribution from the soil profile since soil depth is quite high and the ground water is within few meter. Therefore, irrigation interval as suggested by FAO (1980) may be suitably modified for 5 days interval in November-February instead of 7 days.

Irrigation intervals and the water requirement/irrigation/plant are shown in Table 4.9.

## Distributor to be used:

It has been stated that nearly $88 \%$ of the root area located within 30 cm of the soil surface and $97 \%$ within 40 cm . It is recommended; therefore, that even under condition allowing unimpeded vertical root distribution, banana irrigation should be scheduled to wet only 30 cm of soil depth (Anonymous) ${ }^{1}$.
In the initial stage of crop growing when roots are in the process of growing the application of water to the maximum depth or width is not that important. Therefore, the penetration of water at the developing and mid stage may be examined. The representative volume of water applied per irrigation/day/plant is taken 14.41 following the Example 4.12.
Let the drippers to be used of capacity $2 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}$ in single or in pair at either side of the plant.
Distribution of water at plant bottom:
For single dripper at the plant and 14.41 water volume per application,
Using Schwarzman \& Zur (1985) [Eq. 2.6 \& 2.7],
Depth of wetting front, $z=K_{1}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.63}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{0.45}$
$=29.2(14.4)^{0.63}\left(\frac{\frac{0.25}{24 \times 3600}}{2}\right)^{0.45}$
$=29.2 \times 5.367 \times 2.356 \times 10^{-3}$
$=0.37 \mathrm{~m}$
The saturated hydraulic conductivity $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is taken $0.25 \mathrm{~m} /$ day for silty clay loam (Table 4.10).
Table 4.10 Saturated hydraulic conductivity (K) and drainable porosity (i) values according to the soil texture

| Texture (USDA) 1 | Structure | $\mu$ | K <br> $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{day})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| C, heavy CL | Massive, very fine or fine columnar | $0.01-$ | $0.01-$ |
|  |  | 0.02 | 0.05 |
|  | With permanent wide cracks | $0.10-$ | $>10$ |
|  |  | 0.20 |  |
| C, CL, SC, sCL | Very fine or fine prismatic, angular blocky | $0.01-$ | $0.01-$ |
|  | or platy | 0.03 | 0.1 |
| C, SC, sC, CL, sCL, SL, | Fine and medium prismatic, angular blocky | $0.03-$ | $0.1-0.4$ |
| S, sCL | and platy | 0.08 |  |
| Light CL, S, SL, very fine | Medium prismatic and subangular blocky | $0.06-$ | $0.3-1.0$ |
| sL, L |  | 0.12 |  |
| Fine sandy loam, sandy | Coarse subangular block and granular, fine | $0.12-$ | $1.0-3.0$ |
| loam | crumb | 0.18 |  |

$0.26->6$

Source: Anonymous ${ }^{2}$ (adapted from FAO, 1980)
Wetted width, $w=K_{2}\left(V_{v}\right)^{0.22}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{-0.17}$
$=0.031(14.4)^{0.22}\left(\frac{\frac{0.25}{24 \times 3600}}{2}\right)^{-0.17}$
$=0.031 \times 1.798 \times 9.834$
$=0.55 \mathrm{~m}$
For a pair of drippers at each plant and $14.4 l$ water volume per application,
Depth of wetting front, $z=K_{1}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.63}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{0.45}$
$=29.2(14.4 / 2)^{0.63}\left(\frac{0.25}{\frac{24 \times 3600}{2}}\right)^{0.45}$
$=29.2 \times 3.468 \times 2.356 \times 10^{-3}$
$=0.24 \mathrm{~m}$
Wetted width, $w=K_{2}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.22}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{-0.17}$
$=0.031(14.4 / 2)^{0.22}\left(\frac{0.25}{\frac{24 \times 3600}{2}}\right)^{-0.17}$
$=0.031 \times 1.54 \times 9.834$
$=0.47 \mathrm{~m}$
From the above trials it appears that using single dripper of capacity $21 / \mathrm{h}$ gives much higher depth and pair of drippers gives less depth of penetration to the suggested depth of 30 cm .
Let $11 / \mathrm{h}$ drippers to be used in pair
Therefore, $z=K_{1}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.63}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{0.45}$
$=29.2(14.4 / 2)^{0.63}\left(\frac{\frac{0.25}{24 \times 3600}}{1}\right)^{0.45}$
$=29.2 \times 3.468 \times 3.2184 \times 10^{-3}$
$=0.326 \cong 0.33 \mathrm{~m}$
$w=K_{2}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.22}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{-0.17}$
$=0.031(14.4 / 2)^{0.22}\left(\frac{0.25}{\frac{24 \times 3600}{1}}\right)^{-0.17}$
$=0.031 \times 1.54 \times 8.7409$
$=0.416 \cong 0.42 \mathrm{~m}$
The depth of penetration 33 cm is quite good and may be accepted.
Since two drippers are used the wetted area around the plant bottom for 14.41 volume of application,
volume of application, $A_{w}=2 x \pi \frac{d^{2}}{4}=2 x \pi \frac{(0.42)^{2}}{4}=0.277 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Wetted volume of soil, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.277 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.33 \mathrm{~m}=0.09 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Similarly, the depth, width and wetted area are calculated for different irrigations and tabulated in Table 4.11.
Table 4.11 Depth, width and volume of wetting at different application of water in different months

| Months | Water <br> requirement, <br> 1/plant/irrigation | Depth of <br> penetration <br> $(\mathrm{z}), \mathrm{m}$ | Width of <br> wetting <br> $(\mathrm{w}), \mathrm{m}$ | Volume of <br> wetted soil <br> $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}\right), \mathrm{m} 3$ | Water content in soil <br> before irrigation, <br> $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{m} 3$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| May | 2.88 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.016 | 0.206 |
| June | 2.88 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.016 | 0.206 |
| July | 5.7 | 0.19 | 0.34 | 0.032 | 0.207 |
| Aug | 8.1 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.049 | 0.220 |
| Sept | 14.4 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.091 | 0.227 |
| Oct | 16.8 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.105 | 0.226 |
| Nov | 28.0 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.181 | 0.231 |
| Dec | 22.0 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.143 | 0.232 |
| Jan | 19.25 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.122 | 0.228 |
| Feb | 22.0 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.142 | 0.231 |
| Mar | 17.6 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.111 | 0.227 |
| April | 20.0 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.127 | 0.228 |
| May | 20.0 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.127 | 0.228 |

Calculation of water content in soil at plant bottom before irrigation:
Let the month of May is taken into consideration.
Field capacity of soil $=38.56 \%$ on volumetric basis (Anonymous) ${ }^{2}$.
Volume of water in wetted soil volume on irrigation $=0.016 \times 0.3856=0.0062 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Water volume in wetted soil at the time of irrigation $=0.0062-0.00288=0.0033 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Volumetric moisture content at the time of irrigation $=0.0033 / 0.016=0.206 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$
Soil:
This suction of soil is calculated by using the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=0.245 e^{-0.41 \log _{10} \psi} \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation has been developed for the present soil by using the data as obtained from Anonymous ${ }^{2}$.
For the month of May, $\theta=0.245 e^{-0.41 \log _{10} \psi}$
$0.206=0.245 e^{-0.41 \mathrm{k}_{20} \mathrm{~V}}$
$0.841=e^{-0.41 l_{80} V}$
$-0.173=-0.41 \log _{10} \psi$
$0.422=\log _{10} \psi$
$\psi=2.642$
Table 4.12 Wetting and suction of soil in different months
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccccc}\hline \text { Months } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Water } \\ \text { requirement, } \\ \text { l/plant/irrigation, }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Depth of } \\ \text { penetration } \\ (\mathrm{z}), \mathrm{m}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Width } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { wetting } \\ (\mathrm{W}), \mathrm{m}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Volume } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { wetted } \\ \text { soil } \\ \left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}\right), \mathrm{m}^{3}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Water } \\ \text { content in } \\ \text { soil before } \\ \text { irrigation, } \\ \mathrm{m} 3^{2} 3\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Soil }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Permissible } \\ \text { suction at } \\ \text { the time of } \\ \text { irrigation, } \\ \text { bar }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { soil }\end{array}\right]$

[^1]In examining the Table 4.12 it appears that soil moisture suctions have exceeded the permissible limits during March-September at fixed proposed intervals. It is therefore necessary to apply more water during these months to maintain the suctions within the permissible limits. In consideration to the permissible limits of suctions and by using Eq. 4.38 the modified moisture content at $\left[\theta=0.245 e^{-0.41 \log _{10} v}\right]$ the time of irrigations, water application requirements, etc. are calculated and tabulated in Table 4.13.

## Month: May \& June

Permissible soil moisture suction: 0.4bar
Soil moisture needed at the time of irrigation,
$\theta=0.245 e^{-0.4 \log _{10} \psi}$
$=0.245 e^{-0.410_{10}(0.4)}$
$=0.288 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$
Deficit of soil moisture at the time of irrigation due to less application of water $=0.288-0.206=0.082 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$.
Application of 2.88 liter water brings the soil moisture content $0.206 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$ to $0.3856 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$ (field capacity) to $0.016 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ soil. The increase in soil moisture content $=0.3856-0.206=0.1796 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$. It is, therefore, the additional water necessary to increase the $0.082 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$ water to the same volume of soil
$=\frac{2.88 l}{0.1796} \times 0.082=1.315 l$
Modified application rate $=2.88+1.315=4.1954 .2$ liter
Using Schwarzman \& Zur (1985) equation,
Depth of wetting,
$z=K_{1}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.63}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{0.45}$
$=29.2(4.2 / 2)^{0.63}\left(\frac{0.25}{\frac{24 \times 3600}{1}}\right)^{0.45}$
$=29.2 \times 1.596 \times 3.2184 \times 10^{-3}$
$=0.15 \mathrm{~m}$
Width of wetting,
$w=K_{2}\left(V_{w}\right)^{0.22}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{q}\right)^{-0.17}$
$=0.031(4.2 / 2)^{0.22}\left(\frac{0.25}{\frac{24 \times 3600}{1}}\right)^{-0.17}$
$=0.031 \times 1.177 \times 8.7409$
$=0.319 \mathrm{~m}$
Volume of wetted area
Volume of water in wetted soil volume $=0.024 \times 0.3856=9.25 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Volume of water in soil at the time of irrigation
$=9.25 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}-2.88$ liter
$=9.25 \times 10^{-3}-0.00288$
$=6.37 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Volumetric moisture content $=6.37 \times 10^{-3} / 0.024=0.265 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$
The moisture content $0.265 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$ is less than the required moisture content $0.299 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$. Let the application of water is further
increased by $15 \%$.
So, modified application rate $=4.2 \times 1.15=4.83$ liter
With the application of 4.831 and calculating by using Schwarzman \& Zur (1985)
$\mathrm{z}=0.164 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{w}=0.329 \mathrm{~m}$
Wetted volume of soil $=0.0279 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Volume of water in wetted soil volume $=0.0279 \times 0.3856=0.011 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Volume of water at the time of irrigation $=0.011-0.00288=8.12 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Soil moisture content at the time of irrigation $=8.12 \times 10^{-3} / 0.0279=0.29 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m} 0.29 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}>0.288 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$. This is accepted. $\theta=0.245 e^{-0.4 \log _{10} \psi}$
or,$\frac{0.29}{0.245}=e^{-0.44 \log _{10} \psi}$
$\therefore-0.41=\log _{10} \psi$
$\psi=0.39 \mathrm{bar}$
Similarly the deficits of soil moisture contents at the time of water application are calculated for the other months. Depending on the difference of soil moisture suctions previously estimated and the permissible soil moisture suctions (Table 4.12), in modified application of water 5 to $15 \%$ additional water were required to be applied in excess to the calculated amount of apparent deficit of moisture at the time of water application. The details are shown in Table 4.13.

## Drip network design

## Main

The pump is at the middle of the field. Therefore, the flow gets divided equally in two opposite direction.
Rate of application $=2 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h} /$ plant
Rate of discharge in a side $=2 \times 4540 / 2=45401 / \mathrm{h}=1.26 / \mathrm{s}$
Rate of pumping $=1.26 \times 2=2.521 / \mathrm{s}$.
Let us assume 6 m pieces of main pipe and 0.5 m equivalent length for friction of each joint.
No. of joints on each side $=(170-2) /(2 \times 6)=14$
Equivalent length due to joint $=14 \times 0.5=7.0 \mathrm{~m}$
Let friction loss equivalent due to bends \& other fittings $=5.0 \mathrm{~m}$
Total main length $=168 / 2+7+5=96 \mathrm{~m}$
Let the diameter of the main $=50 \mathrm{~mm}$
Using Hazen-William formula,
Table 4.13: Modified estimation of water requirement for maintaining the soil moisture at recommended permissible limit

| Months | Water requirement 1/plant/irrigation, | \% increase <br> to Col. 2 in modified water requirement | Modified water requirement, liter | Depth of penetration ( z ), m | Width of wetting of (w), m | Volume of wetted soil ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ), m3 | Water content in soil at the time of irrigation, $\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| May | 2.88 | 15 | 4.83 | 0.164 | 0.329 | 0.0279 | 0.29 |


| June | 2.88 | 15 | 4.83 | 0.164 | 0.329 | 0.0279 | 0.29 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July | 5.7 | 10 | 8.67 | 0.237 | 0.373 | 0.0518 | 0.274 |
| Aug | 8.1 | 5 | 10.0 | 0.259 | 0.386 | 0.0606 | 0.252 |
| Sept | 14.4 | 5 | 15.16 | 0.337 | 0.423 | 0.0948 | 0.232 |
| Oct | 16.8 | - | 16.8 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.105 | 0.226 |
| Nov | 28.0 | - | 28.0 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.181 | 0.231 |
| Dec | 22.0 | - | 22.0 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.143 | 0.232 |
| Jan | 19.25 | - | 19.25 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.122 | 0.228 |
| Feb | 22.0 | - | 22.0 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.142 | 0.231 |
| Mar | 17.6 | 10 | 19.41 | 0.393 | 0.447 | 0.1233 | 0.243 |
| April | 20.0 | 15 | 31.75 | 0.536 | 0.498 | 0.2088 | 0.29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Head loss, $h_{l}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{4871}} x L$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{1.26^{1.852}}{50^{4.871}} \times 96 \\
& =3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{1.534}{1.889 \times 10^{8}} \times 96 \\
& =0.31 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The loss of head in the main is less than 1 m . This is accepted.

## Lateral

No. of plants/lateral $=55 / 2=27.5=28$ (say)
No. of dripper on a lateral $=28 \times 2=56$
Equivalent length for friction loss due to drippers $=56 \times 0.5=27 \mathrm{~m}$
Total equivalent length of a lateral $=55+27+3$ (field road) $=85 \mathrm{~m}$
Discharge of a lateral $=56 \times 1=561 / \mathrm{h}=0.01551 / \mathrm{s}$
Let diameter of the lateral $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$
Head loss in lateral, $h_{l}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{4.871}} x\left(L+L_{e}\right)$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{0.0155^{1.852}}{10^{+.871}} \times 85$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{4.45 \times 10^{-4}}{7.43 \times 10^{4}} \times 85$
$=0.20 \mathrm{~m}$
12 m lateral pipe is accepted.

## Pump selection

Static head $=15 \mathrm{~m}$ (similar to Example 4.13)
Friction head loss in main $=0.31 \mathrm{~m}$
Friction loss in lateral $=0.20 \mathrm{~m}$
Minimum average head required on dripper $=10 \mathrm{~m}$
Friction loss in control head $=10 \mathrm{~m}$

Total loss of head in drip unit $=20.51$
Total head $=15+20.51=35.51 \mathrm{~m}$
Horse power of the pump, $H P=\frac{Q x H}{75 x e}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{2.52 \times 35.51}{75 \times 0.6} \\
& =1.99
\end{aligned}
$$

It is suggested to purchase 2.5 hp capacity pumpset.

## (i) Assuming the area under different crop cultivation

In this design we may have the provision of irrigating all the plots at a time and separately to any plot. Therefore, in each plot the sub mains are proposed as shown in Fig. 4.27. The main line extends east-west wise up to the B \& D plots from where sub mains could be run through the sides of the plots and connected to the main at minimum length of the main.

1. Water requirement

No. of plants in orchard $=\frac{55 m \times 40 m}{5 m \times 5 m}+\frac{(55 m \times 60 m-(5 m \times 5 m)}{5 m \times 5 m}=88=131=219$
No. of plants in papaya $=\frac{55 m \times 55 m-15 m \times 10 m}{2 m \times 2 m}+\frac{55 m \times 70 m}{2 m \times 2 m}=718.75+962.5=1681.24 \cong 1680$
No. of banana plants $=\frac{55 m \times 40 m}{2 m \times 2 m}+\frac{55 m \times 30 m}{2 m \times 2 m}+\frac{550 m \times 40 m}{2 m \times 2 m}=550+412.5+550=1512.5 \cong 1512$

## Water require ment in orchard

$=E_{p a n} x K_{p} x K_{c} x A_{w} x S_{p}$
$=6.5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.8 \times 1.0 \times 0.3 \mathrm{x} 5 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{~m}$
$=39 \mathrm{liter} / \mathrm{day} / \mathrm{plant}$
$=39 \times 219$ liter/day
$=8541$ liter $/$ day

## Water requirement in papaya

$=E_{p a n} x K_{p} x K_{c} x A_{w} x S_{p}$
$=6.5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.8 \times 1.0 \times 0.5 \times 2 \mathrm{mx} 2 \mathrm{~m}$
$=10.4$ liter $/$ day $/$ plant
$=10.4 \times 1680$ liter $/$ day
$=174721 /$ day

## Water requirement in banana

$=11.44$ liter $/$ day $/$ plant
$=11.4 \times 1512$ liter $/$ day
$=17297.28$ liter/day
Total water requirement $=8541+17472+17297=43310.28 /$ day
2. Selection of drippers

Similar to Example 4.13 we may use 41/h drippers 2 in numbers/plant for orchard and $1 / \mathrm{h} 2$ in numbers for each plant in banana and papaya.
3. Selection of main

If all the plots are irrigated simultaneously, the rate of application is as below.
As shown in Fig. 4.27 the required main line length is 90 m of which 57 m on the right and 33 m on the left side of the tube well.
(a) On 57 m main side $=$ Plot A ( 550 plants $\times 2 / \mathrm{h} /$ plant $)$

$$
+ \text { Plot B (550 plants x 2l/h/plant) }
$$

+ Plot C (131 plants x81/h/plant)
+ Plot G (718 plants x $21 / \mathrm{h} /$ plant)

$$
=1100+1100+1048+1436=46841 / \mathrm{h}=1.301 / \mathrm{s}
$$

(b) On 33 m main side $=$ Plot $\mathrm{D}(962$ plants $\times 21 / \mathrm{h} /$ plant $)$

+ Plot E (88 plants x 8/h/plant)
+ Plot F (412 plants x $21 / \mathrm{h} /$ plant)
$=1924+704+824=3452 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}=0.961 / \mathrm{s}$


## Total discharge $=2.261 / \mathrm{s}$



Fig. 4.27 Layout of field for various crops
Let the diameter of main $=75 \mathrm{~mm}$
Friction loss in the main at the higher discharge side (57m),
$h_{i}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{4.871}} x\left(L+L_{e}\right)$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} x \frac{(1.30)^{1.852}}{(75)^{4.871}} x(57+5)$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} x \frac{(1.30)^{1.852}}{(63)^{4.871}} x(57+5)$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{1.626}{5.816 \times 10^{8}} \times 62$
$=0.07 \mathrm{~m}$
The friction loss is much less. Low diameter main may be tested. Let the diameter of main to be 50 mm . Therefore,
$h_{f}=3.98 \times 10^{5} x \frac{(1.30)^{1.852}}{(50)^{4.871}} x 62$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{1.626}{1.89 \times 10^{8}} \times 62$
$=0.21 \mathrm{~m}$

## Selection of sub mains

After examining the discharges it appears that maximum discharge $14361 / \mathrm{h}$ or $0.41 / \mathrm{s}$ occurs in plot G . Let the sub main size be 30 mm .
The friction loss, $h_{t}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{q^{1.352}}{d^{4.871}} x\left(L+L_{e}\right)$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} x \frac{(0.4)^{1.852}}{(30)^{4.871}} x(55+5)$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{0.18}{1.57 \times 10^{7}} \times 60$
$=0.27 \mathrm{~m}$
The head loss 0.27 is acceptable. Thus, the sub main to be 30 mm

## Selection of laterals

No. of plants in a lateral $=120 /(2 \times 5)=12$
No. of drippers in a lateral $=12 \times 2=24$
The discharge of a latweral $=24 \times 4 \times 2=961 / \mathrm{h}-0.0271 / \mathrm{s}$
Length of the lateral $=120 / 2-5 / 2=0-2.5=57.5 \mathrm{~m}$
Let us assume the barb (local) loss due to penetration of drippers in lateral is equivalent to 0.2 m [Biswas et al (2006) \& Reddy et al (2001)]

Equivalent length for barb loss in a lateral, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{e}}=24 \times 0.2=4.8 \mathrm{~m}$
Let diameter of lateral pipe $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$
Head loss in a lateral, $h_{l}=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{q^{1.852}}{d^{4.87}} x\left(L+L_{e}\right)$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{(0.027)^{1.852}}{10^{+871}} x(57.5+4.8)$
$=3.98 \times 10^{5} \times \frac{1.24 \times 10^{-3}}{7.43 \times 10^{4}} \times 62.3$
$=0.33 \mathrm{~m}$

## Selection of pump

Total operating head of pump $=$ Static head (suction head + delivery head) + average operating head of drip system + friction loss in main + friction loss in sub main + friction loss in lateral + head loss of equipments in control head + head loss or gain due to elevation.
Static head $=15 \mathrm{~m}$ (say)
Average operating head of drip system $=10 \mathrm{~m}$
Friction loss in main $=0.21 \mathrm{~m}$
Friction loss in sub main $=0.27 \mathrm{~m}$
Friction loss in lateral $=0.33 \mathrm{~m}$
Head loss in control head $=10 \mathrm{~m}$ (say)
Head gain or loss = nil
Total head $=35.81 \mathrm{~m}$
Horse power of the pump, $H P=\frac{Q x H}{75 x e}$
$=\frac{2.26 \times 35.81}{75 \times 0.6}$
$=1.8$
It is found that the capacity of the pump set is almost same either all the plots are cultivated with banana crop or by different crops and provisions are made to irrigate any plot independently. Thus, the previously selected pump capacity of 2.5 hp is accepted to be in the safe side.

## Questions \& Problems

4.1. State the methods of determining pipe sizes in laterals.
4.2. Discuss the Darcy-Weisbach, Hazen-William and Scobey equations for head losses in pipes.
4.3. The plastic pipe $(\mathrm{C}=150)$ used as a drip lateral of diameter 12 mm having 50 distributors of capacity $101 / \mathrm{h}$ and spaced at 5 m
interval. What is the friction head loss in pipe? Ans. 5.84 m
4.4. What is the expected local head loss in a lateral pipe of inside diameter 9.84 mm with in-line 50 numbers of distributors of capacity $51 / \mathrm{h}$ ? Ans. 0.55 m
4.5. What is the tapered pipe lateral? How the head loss of this lateral is measured?
4.6. Derive the expression for hydraulic head in a drip lateral for any length ratio.
4.7. A orchard field of spacing 5 mx 5 m uses two numbers of 4 lps dripper to each plant. The drippers operate at 11 m pressure head at the head end of a 150 m lateral and 12 mm diameter. The laterals are laid in a up slope of $0.5 \%$. The flow characteristics of drippers is. Determine the pressures at length ratios of $0.1,0.2, \ldots .1 .0$ and dripper flow variation in the lateral.

Ans. Length ratios $0.1,0.2, \ldots .1 .0=10.35 \mathrm{~m}, 9.81 \mathrm{~m}, 9.00 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 8.72 \mathrm{~m}, 8.50 \mathrm{~m}, 8.34 \mathrm{~m}, 8.21 \mathrm{~m}, 8.12 \mathrm{~m}, 8.04 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{H}_{\text {var }}=0.18, \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{var}}=0.094$.
4.8. State the assumptions of head losses in a drip lateral pipe following Keller \& Karmeli.
4.9. Determine the uniformity of emission in a flat field for the following.

Lateral length $=150 \mathrm{~m}$
Distributor discharge $=5 \mathrm{lps}$
Distributor characteristics $=q=0.65 H^{0.85}$
Average pressure $=10 \mathrm{~m}$
Manufacturer's coefficient of variation, $\mathrm{CV}=0.03 \%$
Spacing of distributors $=4.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.5 \mathrm{~m}$
PVC pipes available in the market $=\mathrm{ID}(\mathrm{mm})-9.55 \mathrm{~mm}, 11.50 \mathrm{~mm}, 13.55 \mathrm{~mm}, 15.45 \mathrm{~mm}$
Ans. 94.53\%
4.10. Select the appropriate answer from the following.

1. Friction coefficient f in a laminar flow is
a. $16 / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}$
b. $32 / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}$
c. $64 / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}$
d. $128 / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}$
2. The generalized equation for head loss in pipe may be stated as
a. $K \frac{l q^{m+1}}{d^{2 m+n}}$
b. $K \frac{l q^{m}}{d^{2 m+n}}$
c. $K \frac{l q^{m+1}}{d^{3 m+n}}$
d. $K \frac{l q^{m+1}}{d^{m+n}}$
3. The same flow of 0.1 lps occurs through $15 \mathrm{~mm} \& 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ plastic lateral pipe. How much times the friction head loss in 10 mm pipe compared to 15 mm ?
a. 1.5
b. 4.8
c. 5.6
d. 7.2
4. A 100 m lateral pipe is run $2 \%$ upslope for 30 m and the rest $1.5 \%$ down slope. The energy gain due to the slope is
a. -0.6 m
b. -0.05
c. 0.05
d. 0.45
5. Keller \& Karmeli proposed average head in a lateral at length ratio
a. 0.21
b. 0.39
c. 0.49
d. 0.77
6. The average pressure and pressure at head end in a lateral are 8.5 m and 10 m respectively. The pressure at the lateral tail end may be
a. 8.05 m
b. 8.15 m
c. 8.25 m
d. 8.45 m
7. In a PVC pipe of 100 m length and diameter 16 mm discharges 3001 h . The head loss is about
a. 0.75 m
b. 1.25 m
c. 1.53 m
d. 1.63 m
8. For a certain diameter PVC pipe head loss for 100 m pipe is presented by.

If the q (discharge) in the pipe is $0.11 / \mathrm{s}$ and head loss 6.934 m , the value of a is
a. 0.851
b. 1.852
c. 1.895
d. 2.875
9. The difference between the energy drop ratio following the Darcy-Weisbach and Hazen-William method at 0.5 length ratio is about
a. $8.75 \times 10^{-2}$
b. $1.35 \times 10^{-2}$
c. $8.61 \times 10^{-2}$
d. $1.70 \times 10^{-1}$
10. A drip main line runs 3 steps each of 50 m length at $2 \%$ down slope, $3 \%$ up slope and $1 \%$ down slope respectively. The energy gain is
a. 0.0 m
b. -0.5 m
c. +0.5 m
d. +1.0 m
11. The friction energy loss in a section of drip line is $10 \%$. The section is laid in $2 \%$ up slope. The total energy slope is
a. $-12 \%$
b. $-8 \%$
c. $+8 \%$
d. $+12 \%$
12. At length ratio 1 the position of a 100 m pipe is
a. 0.0 m
b. 25 m
c. 75 m
d. 100 m

Ans. 1. (c) 2. (b) 3. (d) 4. (d) 5. (b) 6. (a) 7. (c)8. (b) 9. (b) 10. (a) 11. (a) 12. (d)
4.11 Write True or false of the following.

1. Energy loss in drip lateral increases as it goes downward.
2. Sub-main of drip system is suggested to follow the steepest slope as far as practicable.
3. For better distribution of water aat less energy loss the pump may be installed at the middle of the field.
4. Maximum energy loss in a lateral is $10 \%$ of average pressure.
5. The maximum energy loss in a submain will be at the middle section whose magnitude is equal to $0.36 \Delta \mathrm{H}$
6. The magnitude of multiplication factor (F) for friction loss increases with the numbers of emitters in drip lateral pipe.

Ans. 1.False 2. True 3. True 4.True 5. True 6. False
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## CHAPTER - 5

## Distributors

### 5.1 Introduction

There is different type of distributors available in the market. Depending on the basic principle of working they may be broadly classified into three major groups as below (FAO, 1980).
i. Distributors in which head loss takes place through small diameter tubes
ii. Distributors in which head loss takes place through some orifice control
iii. Distributors in which head loss takes place through a vortex action

There are many adaptations to distributors though the working distributors fall under the above stated basic principle. Tiny perforation in the laterals or porous materials allows leaking out the water serves the purpose of distributors. The compensating type distributors have long path in it to dissipate the energy and drips almost same rate within certain range of pressure variation. Whatever may be the distributors proposed to be used, the discharge characteristics should be known. The discharge characteristics should be provided by the manufacturers and preferably certified by any authorized body; otherwise to be tested by the designer before recommendation to large-scale use.

### 5.1.1 Types of Distributors

## A. Small diameter tube or long path distributors

## (a) Micro tubes

The micro tubes are the black polythene pipe approximately 0.5 to 1.5 mm internal diameter (Fig. 5.1). The discharge through these pipes may be expressed by the following equation (FAO, 1980)

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=a l^{b} h^{c} d^{d} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $q=$ the discharge of the micro tubes, $1 / \mathrm{h}$
the length of the micro tube, $m$
$H=$ the operating pressure, m of water
$D=$ the internal diameter of the micro tube, mm
$a, b, c, d=$ the coefficients depending on the value of D (Table 5.1).
Table 5.1 Values of coefficients $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ for various values of $\mathrm{D}\{\mathrm{q}(1 / \mathrm{h}), \mathrm{l}(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m}$ of water) $\}$

| $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{mm})$ | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 01.0 | 1.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Coefficient

| a |  | 0.86 | 0.91 | 1.02 | 1.14 | 1.16 | 1.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b |  | -0.78 | -0.75 | -0.72 | -0.68 | -0.65 | -0.62 |
|  | -0.58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c |  | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.60 |
| d |  | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 |

[^2]The pressure in a lateral decreases towards the tail end and therefore the discharges to be more at the head end and progressively less towards the tail end. To have good uniformity of flow through different distribution points the lengths of the micro tubes may be calculated following Eq. 5.1 with known value of pressure distribution throughout the length of the lateral. It is possible to use the micro tubes for consecutive seasons if the crop spacing is unaltered. Difficulties in using micro tubes reported to be its cumbersome process of design and application to field, hindrance to movement through the high concentration of micro tubes in close spacing crops and chances of damage by the rodents.


Fig. 5.1 Micro tubing


Fig. 5.2 Pre-coiled microtubes
Example 5.1 Determine the length of micro tubes of diameter 0.5 mm at $20,50 \& 80 \mathrm{~m}$ length of a lateral for discharging at a rate of $0.51 / \mathrm{h}$ with pressure gradient of $5 \%$. The initial pressure at the lateral is 11 m .

## Solution:

Initial pressure head of the lateral $=11 \mathrm{~m}$
So, pressure at 20 m length of lateral $==11-\frac{20 \times 5}{100}=10 \mathrm{~m}$
$\ldots, 50 \mathrm{~m}, \ldots,=11-\frac{50 \times 5}{100}=8.5 \mathrm{~m}$
$\ldots, 80 \mathrm{~m}, \ldots,=11-\frac{80 \times 5}{100}=7.0 \mathrm{~m}$
$q=a l^{b} H^{c} D^{d}$
where, $q=0.5 l / h$

$$
H=1 \mathrm{~lm}
$$

$\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ are constants and whose values are $0.86,-0.78,0.85$ and 3.1 respectively as obtained from Table 5.1.
At 20 m length of lateral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.5=0.86 x l^{-0.78} x 10^{0.85} x 0.5^{3.1} \\
& \text { or, } 0.5=0.86 x l^{-0.78} x 7.073 x 0.1167
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore l^{-0.78}=\frac{0.5}{0.86 \times 7.073 \times 0.1167}=\frac{0.5}{0.704}=0.71 \\
& \therefore l=(0.71)^{-\frac{1}{67}}=1.55 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

At 50 m length of lateral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.5=0.86 x l^{-0.78} x 8.5^{0.85} x 0.5^{3.1} \\
& \therefore l^{-0.78}=\frac{0.5}{0.86 \times 6.166 \times 0.1167}=\frac{0.5}{0.614}=0.80 \\
& \therefore l=1.33 m
\end{aligned}
$$

At 80 m length of lateral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.5=0.86 x l^{-0.78} x 7.03^{0.85} x 0.5^{3.1} \\
& \therefore l^{-0.78}=\frac{0.5}{0.86 \times 5.228 \times 0.1167}=\frac{0.5}{0.5256}=0.95 \\
& \therefore l=1.067 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 5.2 Determine the length of micro tube for $0.5,0.6,0.7 \& 0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter at 10 m interval in a lateral of 100 m length and diameter 10 mm . Assume rate of flow $0.051 / \mathrm{s}$, initial pressure head 15 m and micro tubes are spaced 1.0 m .

## Solution:

$$
\therefore v=\frac{0.05 / 1000}{\frac{\pi(10 / 1000)^{2}}{4}}=0.63=63 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}, C=150
$$

Using Hazen-William equation (Eq.4.2) for friction loss in lateral pipe,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{l}=\frac{3.022 l^{1.852}}{C^{1.852} d^{1.167}} \\
& =\frac{3.022 \times 100 \times 631.852}{150^{1.352} \times 10^{1.167}} \\
& =\frac{649540.59}{1574402}=4.125 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Hazen-William equation (Eq.4.14) for energy gradient in lateral,

$$
R_{i}=1-(1-i)^{2.852}
$$

where, $=$ energy drop ratio
$i=$ length ratio $1 / L$,
$1=$ length of the lateral measured from head end of the lateral
$\mathrm{L}=$ total length of lateral.
At 10 m intervals, the length ratios $\left(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ are $0.1,0.2,0.3,0.4,0.5,0.6,0.7,0.8,0.9$ \& 1.0 .
When, $i=0.1, R_{\mathrm{i}}=1-(1-0.1)^{2.852}=0.2535$
So, head at 10 m length $(\mathrm{i}=0.1)=$ head at head end-head lost up to 0.1 length ratio
Similarly heads at other length ratios are calculated and tabulated as below.

| Length ratio | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Head, m | 13.93 | 13.06 | 12.36 | 11.83 | 11.45 | 11.17 | 11.01 | 10.92 | 10.88 | 10.87 |

Spacing of micro tubes $=1.0 \mathrm{~m}$
No. of micro tubes in lateral $=100$
Discharge through each micro tubes $=\frac{0.05}{100} 0.0005=1.8 l / \mathrm{h}$
We have, $q=a l^{b} H^{c} D^{d}$
i. at length ratio $(\mathrm{i})=0.1 \&$ dia. of micro tube $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore l^{-0.780}=\frac{1.8}{0.86 \times 9.38 \times 0.1167}=1.92 \\
& \text { or }, l=0.433 m
\end{aligned}
$$

ii. Similarly,, at $\mathrm{I}=0.1 \&$ dia. of micro tube $=0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore l^{-0.75}=\frac{1.8}{0.91 x 8.67 \times 0.205}=1.106 \\
& \text { or, } l=0.86 m
\end{aligned}
$$

iii. Similarly,, at $\mathrm{i}=0.1 \&$ dia. of micro tube $=0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore l^{-0.72}=\frac{1.8}{1.02 \times 7.0 \times 0.33}=0.69 \\
& \text { or }, l=1.67 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

iv. Similarly,, at $\mathrm{i}=0.1 \&$ dia. of micro tube $=0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore l^{-0.68}=\frac{1.8}{1.14 \times 7.21 \times 0.5}=0.437 \\
& \text { or, } l=3.37 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly the length of the micro tubes of other diameters have been calculated and tabulated as below.

| Dia. (mm) | Length of micro tubes at different length ratios, m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 0.5 | 0.433 | 0.4 | 0.38 | . 3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |  | . 33 |
| 0.6 | 0.86 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.6 |  |  |  | . 66 |
| 0.7 | 1.67 | 1.57 | 1.48 | 1.43 | . 3 | 1.33 | 1.3 |  | 1.24 | . 24 |
| 0.8 | 3.37 | 3.20 | 3.00 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.65 | 2.6 | 2.5 | . 56 | 2.56 |

## (b) Long flow path integrated distributors

The use of micro tubes has the disadvantage of getting displaced inadvertently. To the aim at overcome this problem the micro tubes are sometime made to fit as a part of the lateral and others are suitably fitted on the side of the lateral.

## (i) Pre-coiled micro tube

These are the distributors made of micro tubes coiled around the lateral with one end inserted in to the pressure fit hole in the lateral (Fig.5.2).

## (ii) Internal spiral/labyrinth distributors

These are in principle similar to micro tubes. The narrow passage in molded plastic accessories serves the purpose of micro tubes to cause the energy loss and slow discharge of water (Fig.5.3-5.7). The molded distributors can be easily a part of the lateral pipe without protruding and dangling. It can be set and withdraw at any point on the lateral at any time without causing much injury to lateral. This helps to use the distributors for subsequent season and at variable spacings in laterals. This distributor may be of multiple type favoring more rate of discharge sometime requires in light soils.
These distributors may be cleaned by flushing action or by dismantling the body and cleaning the spiral passage. Self-cleaning distributors overcome the tendency of clogging to tiny passage by flushing at the beginning and at the end of each watering. In selfflushing, at low pressure the water flows freely and flushing the passage, as the pressure increases a ball or a spring closes the orifice at the appropriate stage. The elastomer ball (disc) seated against the spiral path on the plastic disc. As the pressure increases in the inlet, the elastomer disc deforms in to the spiral path reduces the cross-section of flow. Thus, higher head loss occurs at high pressure head at the inlet. When the pressure is low in the inlet at the beginning and end of the flushing, the elastomer ball seats freely on the spiral groove causing some flow in the passage, which allows flushing action. Distributors having other principle of operation are also available in the market. The details of which may be obtained from the manufacturers. The distributors which provide almost rated discharge at wide pressure range are called compensating distributors. Since the discharge of the distributors becomes independent to head of pressure, the exponent x in discharge-head relation is zero.


Fig. 5.3 Inside of a internal spiral molded distributor


Fig. 5.4 Thread type side distributor


Fig. 5.5 Flat internal spiral-side distributor

## B. Orifice distributors

## (a) Perforated single chamber tube

The simplest form of orifice distributor is the perforated single chamber tube (or lateral). The perforations are made at regular interval with small diameters. It is very difficult to have equal size diameters through drilling or punching leading to poor uniformity in discharges through the holes. Due to variation in pressure along the lateral and thereby the discharges the length of lateral should not be more than 60 m .

## (b) Calibrated orifice

To overcome the problem of poor application efficiency due to improper diameters and control in perforated laterals, calibrated orifice distributors are made inserted and have fixed geometry (Fig.5.6). The incoming water jet through the orifice is broken by a baffling action allowing the water to drips. The discharge through these are invariably turbulent and may be expressed by $q=C a \sqrt{2 g H}$ in which ' $a$ ' is the cross-sectional area of orifice. These distributors are susceptible to clogging. However, still these are used in many cases because they are cheap, easy to insert and not very sensitive to pressure change. In some orifice distributors provisions are there for variable cross-sectional passageways (Fig.5.7). This provision helps in flushing action at the beginning and end of the watering. At low pressure the comparatively large cross-section passageways opens and allowing higher discharge rate requires in flushing and as the pressure increases a ball or a spring closes the orifice or the slot leading to a decreased rate of discharge. The ball is made of resilient material, which uses to deform at higher pressure and seat lightly on the orifice or slot. Thus, the orifice distributors then adapt the characteristics of compensating distributor.


Fig. 5.6 Orifice type distributor


Fig. 5.7 Orifice flushing compensating distributor


Fig. 5.8 Vortex distributor

## C. Vortex distributors

It is a simple device in resistance to flow (Fig. 5.8). The water is allowed to enter tangentially in a cylindrical chamber where it is forced to have intensive whirling motion causing much loss of head. The whirled water is passed to a second chamber through an orifice. In this second chamber the water jet is sufficiently broken and drips through the orifice. Vortex distributors are relatively expensive.

## D. Other type of distributors

## (a) Twin-wall distributors

The twin-wall or the dual-chamber distributor is the further development to perforated tubes. This consists of an inner pipe or the supply chamber for conveyance of water and the outer pipe or the emission chamber that emits the water. The inner pipe is having successive openings (orifices) which are comparatively large, the spacing of which depends on the requirement of outflow. The openings in outer pipe are smaller and the ratio of inner to outer opening varies from $1 / 4$ to $1 / 10$ depending on the requirement of discharge following the soil characteristics. The pressure in outer pipe is reduced to such extent that the discharge from one opening of inner tube discharges from 3 to 4 outer openings. The pressure in inner pipe is some time as high as 40 m , which can be reduced to 0.5 m in outer pipe. Thus, these distributors provide the advantage of using high initial pressure followed by low-pressure discharge with comparatively large orifices.


Fig. 5.9 Twin-wall system
The combined effect of this minimizes the friction loss, permits using these distributors at large variation in elevation and reducing chances of clogging. These distributors are cheap, easy to install and can be used for very low discharge.
Referring to Fig.5.9 the flow equation are given below which may be used for design purpose till a more refined method is suggested (FAO, 1980).

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=\frac{H_{i}}{N^{2}\left(C_{0}^{2} d_{0}^{4} / C_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{4}\right)+1} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $C_{0} \& C_{i}=$ coefficient of discharge through outer and inner pipe respectively
$d_{0} \& d_{\mathrm{i}}=$ diameter of outer and inner pipe respectively.
$\mathrm{N}=$ ratio of the inner orifice to the outer orifice.
Assuming that $C_{0} \& C_{i}$ and $d_{0} \& d_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=\frac{H_{i}}{N^{2}+1} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $H_{i}=$ pressure at any point in the inner tube and is equal to the difference of initial pressure $(\mathrm{H})$ and the friction loss $(\Delta H)$ from inlet to the point of consideration $\left(H_{i}=H-\Delta H\right)$.
$H_{0}=$ pressure at any point in the outer tube

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}=C_{0} \frac{\pi}{4} d_{0}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{2 g H_{i}}{N^{2}+1}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of varies with the types of drilled or punched orifices and 0.67 may be a fair value considering the available twin-wall distributors in the market.
Example 5.3 The outer and inner diameter of twin-wall distributors is 2.5 mm and 0.25 mm respectively. Assuming a pressure of 20 m at 30 m length of lateral and coefficient of discharges both in outer and inner pipe as 0.67 , determine the discharge through the outer pipe.
Solution: The ratio of outer to inner diameter, $N=\frac{2.5}{0.5}=5$
The values of \& = \& =
Head at 30 m length of lateral,
Head at outer pipe at 30 m length,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}=\frac{H_{t}}{N^{2}\left(C_{0}^{2} d_{0}^{4} / C_{i}^{2} d_{i}^{4}\right)+1} \\
& =\frac{20}{5^{2}\left((0.67)^{2}(0.5)^{4} /(0.67)^{2}(2.5)^{4}\right)+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{20}{25 x \frac{(0.5)^{4}}{(2.5)^{4}}+1} \\
& \quad=\frac{20}{25 \times 1.6^{-0.3}+1}=\frac{20}{1.04}=19.23 \mathrm{~m} \\
& q_{0}=C_{0} \frac{\pi}{4} d_{0}^{2} \sqrt{2 g H_{i}} \\
& =0.67 \times \frac{\pi}{4} \times(0.5 / 1000)^{2} \sqrt{2 \times 9.81 \times 19.23} \\
& =2.55 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s} \\
& =9.19 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

## (b) Large calibrated orifices with sleeve system

This system consists of polyethylene pipeline of diameter about 25 mm having inserted orifice about 2 mm diameter covered by a sleeve. The sleeve deflects and breaks the water jet as coming out from the orifice. Since the diameter of the orifice is large, the discharge through it is comparatively large. These distributors are used to avoid the bad effect of flood irrigation. The pipeline and orifice device are laid in small furrow divided into reaches and small ridges separate the reaches. Each reach is served by one orifice. The length of a reach varies 3.5 to 7.0 m (Fig.5.10). The disadvantage of using this device is lying in the fact that uniformity of discharge through the orifices can not be had unless the length of the pipe is short or the diameter of the orifices are adjusted depending on the variation of pressure along the pipe.


Courtesy: Anonymous (2014)
Fig. 5.10 Large calibrated orifice with sleeve system

## (c) Porous wall tubing

Porous wall tubings are generally buried and therefore belong to the subsurface irrigation system. The porous wall tubing subsurface irrigation is may be defined as "application of water below the soil surface at the root zone of the plants through tiny openings provided on the wall of the pipe at a rate that allows the soil to absorb the water at a natural rate"(Suseela et al, 2004x). There is little scope of sealing the pores in tubes by the roots when the pipe is buried in soil. These tiny openings are inbuilt pores and are not mechanically made holes. The porous pipes are usually made of recycled rubber and polyethylene. The disadvantage of using porous wall tubing are that the micro pores may become blocked by the contamination of water and in addition to its inherent character of manufacturing difficulties to maintain the uniformity in porosity. Using sand envelope around porous pipe gives better discharge rate and distribution efficiency compared to the porous pipe without sand envelope. The loss of head through the micro pores in pipe wall is large compared to loss of head due to friction loss in flow of water down to lateral. Theoretically the discharge through the porous tubing increases exponentially with the head $\left(\log q=a+b+H^{c}\right)$.


Courtesy: Anonymous (2014)
Fig. 5.11 Porous tubing

## Questions and Problems

5.1 Classify the distributors based on the principle of working.
5.2 Describe the method of calculating the method of calculating the length of a micro tube used as distributor.
5.3 Discuss with sketch the working of internal spiral distributor.
5.4 Discuss with necessary sketch the working of twin-wall distributors.
5.5 What are the advantages and disadvantages of large calibrated orifice with sleeve system?
5.6 Determine the length of microtube of diameter 0.6 mm at 10,25 and 75 m length for lateral discharge rate of 0.85 lph for maintain a pressure gradient of $4 \%$. The initial pressure at the lateral is 12 m . Ans. $1.97,1.82 \& 1.46 \mathrm{~m}$
5.7 Determine the length of micro tubes used as distributors of diameter 0.6 mm at $30,40 \& 70 \mathrm{~m}$ length of lateral. The expected rate of flow through the distributors is 0.61 h . the initial pressure and pressure gradient in lateral is 12 m and roughly $4 \%$ respectively.

Ans. 2.86, 2.73 \& 2.38 m
5.8 Develop a chart for lengths of micro tubes of diameters $0.5,0.6,0.7,0.8,0.9 \& 1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ at different length ratio of laterals 100 , $150 \& 200 \mathrm{~m}$ lengths assuming rate of flow $0.031 / \mathrm{s}$ through the laterals and initial pressure head 15 m . Assume any suitable spacing of micro tubes.
5.9 The inner and outer diameter of twin-wall distributors is 0.6 mm and 2.5 mm respectively. Determine the discharge through the outer pipe if pressure in inner pipe at some length is 15 m . Assume 0.67 as the coefficient of discharges. Also determine the discharge through the outer pipe when inner and outer pipe both is of 1.0 mm .

Ans. 11.43 \& $22.371 / \mathrm{h}$
5.10 Write True or False of the following.

1. The simplest form of orifice distributor is the perforated single chamber tube.
2. The molded distributors can be set and withdraw at any point on the lateral.
3. Porous wall tubing is usually used on ground surface.
4. Drip irrigation is suggested for discharge variation of distributors not more than $5 \%$.
5. Internal spiral molded distributor has the advantage of flushing action or by dismantling the body and cleaning the spiral passage

Ans. 1. True, 2. True, 3. False, 4. True, 5. True
5.11 Select the appropriate answer from the following

1. The distributor in which head loss takes place in principle similar to small diameter tubes may be called as
b. spiral distributor
c. orifice distributor
d. vortex distributor
2. Vortex distributors have
a. long path to dissipate energy
b. outer pipes to emit water
c. cylindrical chamber for whirling motion
d. micro tubes coiled around the lateral
3. Drip irrigation system is suggested for emission uniformity not more than
a. $90 \%$
b. $92 \%$
c. $94 \%$
d. $96 \%$
4. The distributor which provides almost same discharge at wide pressure range may be said as
a. compensating distributor
b. calibrated orifice
c. vortex distributor
d. porous pipe distributor
5. The maximum disadvantages of using micro tube as the distributors are subjected to
a. clogging
b. displacement inadvertently
c. higher cost
d. cumbersome to use
6. In calibrated orifice distributor the incoming water jet through the orifice made to drip by
a. further reducing the diameter of orifice
b. reducing the operating pressure
c. breaking the water jet through a baffling action
d. temporary stopping the water supply.
7. In twin-wall distributor the ratio of inner to outer openings varies from
a. $1 / 2$ to $1 / 5$
b. $1 / 2$ to $1 / 8$
c. $1 / 4$ to $1 / 10$
d. $1 / 3$ to $1 / 10$

Ans. 1 (a), 2. (c), 3. (a) 4. (a), 5. (b) 6. (c), 7. (c)
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# CHAPTER - 6 

## Fertilization

### 6.1 Introduction

Fertilization is the application of fertilizer for the benefit of the crops. It may be traditional broadcasting or fertigation. In broadcasting method the fertilizer is applied in concentrated granular form. The size of the granules ranges from granular dust to pellet size. These solid fertilizers get dissolved by the rain or irrigated water to leach down the plat root zone. This method results uneven nutrient delivery due to uneven dissolving the fertilizer granules. It may cause burning of plant leaves and root system due to uneven and uncontrolled release of nutrients. Dry fertilizers can volatilize and release gases which may damage or burn the nearby foliage. Availability of fertilizer to the plant root zone largely depends on the temperature and availability of water to dissolve the fertilizers.
In fertigation liquid or water-soluble fertilizer, soil amendments or other products required by the plants is either sprayed on to the plant material or applied to the plant bottom with irrigation water. Foliar application provides high rate of absorption of fertilizer through the leaf/stalk/branch structures of plants. Ground application also provides good consistency in making its way to root zone and plant absorption. Thus, the fertigation provides benefit of increased nutrient absorption; decrease water needs, and reduce the fertilizer and chemical use (Anonymous, 2005).
Drip irrigation provides good opportunity for precision and economic application of fertilizers. The root system of the plants develops extensively in restricted area under drip irrigation. The fertilizers are placed in this high concentrated root zone area along with the water, which favors efficient uptake of nutrients. However, necessary care should be taken to ensure correct amount of fertilizer to the correct place and fertilization do not encourage clogging. Fertigation through drip may not be much efficient unless the flow through the system is steady enough and uniformity of application of water through emitters is within the applicable limit.
Drip irrigation system is suggested for design for discharge variation of distributors not more than $5 \%$ from the mean and emission uniformity $94 \%$ (Karmeli \& Keller, 1975). The chemicals applied in drip system should be completely soluble. There are some chemicals which are coated with clay or wax material to prevent caking in storage. This coating may cause to form sludge to deposit on the bottom of the fertilizer tank. Using the discharge tube from the tank at some height from bottom may allow the safe deposit of the sludge at the bottom and periodical washing prevent it being carried up to the distributors. Injection points to be selected before the filters so that the contaminants get filtered before it reach to the emitters.

## Advantage of fertigation

1. Uniformity of application and better absorption: Chemicals are precisely applied with water at the desired location with uniform distribution and greater absorption by the crops.
2. Less expensive: Application cost of chemicals is less expensive due to less labor and energy cost than traditional application.
3. Reduced compaction and crop damage: Compaction and crop damage possibility from fertilizer distribution equipments is avoided.
4. Less operation hazard: Since the operator is not riding on or carrying the system, there is less possibility of contact with chemicals through drip, frequent filling or other exposures.
5. Avoid leaching: Leaching of fertilizer could be avoided by lower doses of fertilizer in split application. Minimize nutrient losses by applying fertilizer around the plants only.
6. Reduce fertilizer require ments: Saving in fertilizer by $25-30 \%$ over traditional method of application. Macro and micro nutrients can be applied in one solution with irrigation.
7. Better yield: There is possibility of $25-50 \%$ increased yield due to even distribution of fertilizer and water.

## Disadvantages

1. Higher management practices: Perfect chemical application requires skills and knowledge about the fertilizer, fertilizer equipments and irrigation system.
2. Additional equipments: The injection equipments and safety devices and storage tanks are required.
3. Fertilizer solubility: Readily water soluble fertilizer and liquid fertilizers are suitable for fertigation. Slowly water soluble fertilizer like super phosphate and calcium ammonium are not suitable for ferigation.
4. Chances of fertilizer precipitation: Some fertilizer like phosphate may precipitate in the pipe line.
5. Corrosion: Equipments need to be made corrosion resistant to its sensitive parts.
6. Crusting soil surface: Chemical application also causes some soil compaction and formation of soil crust.

### 6.2 Fertilizers in Drip Fertigation

## Nitrogen

Nitrogen $(\mathrm{N})$ is the major plant nutrient which is applied often in quantity in soil to achieve good production. However, nitrogen availability in soil is limited because in various forms it get leached, utilized, denitrified or fixed in the organic formation of soil (Buck, 1986). There are so many forms of nitrogen available in the market. The major sources of fertilizer-N are anhydrous ammonia, urea, urea sulphate (US-28), urea ammonium nitrate (UAN-32), ammonium sulphate, aqua ammonia, ammonium phosphate, ammonium nitrate and calcium nitrate. The Table 6.1 gives a list of fertilizers along with their solubility and percent of compositions.
Table 6.1 Solubility and composition of some commercial fertilizer materials.

| Material | Approximate parts solubility in 100 <br> parts cold water | Average percent nutrient <br> composition of materials |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | P | K | Others |
| Major nutrients: | 118 |  |  |  |  |
| Amonium nitrate | 71 | 33.5 | - | - | - |
| Ammonium sulfate | 102 | 21 | - | - | - |
| Calcium nitrate | 43 | 15.5 | - | - | 21 Ca |
| Diammonium <br> phosphate | 21 | 11.5 | - | - |  |
| Monoammonium <br> phosphate | 23 | 11 | 10.5 | - | - |
| Orthophosphoric | 550 | - | 49 | - | - |
| acid | 35 | - | - | 53 | - |
| Potassium chloride | 13 | 14 | - | 39 | - |
| Potassium nitrate | 12 | - | - | 45 | 185 |
| Potassium sulfate | 73 | 16 | - | - | - |
| Sodium nitrate | 2 | - | $4-5$ | - | 20 Ca |
| Superphosphate, | 4 | - | $9-10$ | - | 13 Ca |


| Urea | 78 | $45-46$ | - | - | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Micronutrients: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper sulfate | 22 | - | - | - | 25 Cu |
| Ferrous sulfate | 29 | - | - | - | 20 Fe |
| Manganese sulfate | 105 | - | - | - | 25 Mn |
| Sodium borate | 5 | - | - | - | 11 B |
| Sodium molybdate | 56 | - | - | - | 40 Mo |
| Zinc sulfate | 75 | - | - | - | 22 Zn |
| Fe-EDDHAa | 9 | - | - | - | 6 Fe |
| Fe-DTPAa | 22 | - | - | - | 10 Fe |

Courtesy: Nakayama \& Buck (1986)
The water quality to be taken in to consideration when N is applied in drip irrigation system. Anhydrous ammonia or aqua ammonia when injected to irrigation water it increases the pH of water which situation is conducive to the precipitation of calcium, magnesium and phosphorous and formation of complex magnesium ammonium phosphates which are insoluble (Nakayama \& Bucks, 1986). The situation deteriorates further in the presence of bicarbonate in irrigation water (Rolston et al., 1987). Opposite to the preceding, $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ causes a sharp decrease in soil pH and soluble aluminum in the wetted zone (Edwards et al., 1982), nitrate salts, such as potassium nitrate or calcium nitrate cause little change to pH of water.
Ammonium sulphate causes serious clogging and phosphate in it forms complex precipitates, if calcium and magnesium presents in water. Urea is very commonly used for the source of nitrogen. It is very good to soluble in water and reacts little with water to form ions unless enzyme urease is present. However, urease is present in water which contains large amount of algae or other microorganisms. The urease cannot be filtered out and it causes hydrolysis of urea to form ammonium ion.

## Phosphates

Phosphate fertilizers are usually not injected in drip irrigation water. Most of the phosphorous fertilizers are susceptible to chemical and physical precipitation problem and clogging to the emitters. The problem of clogging due to phosphorous fertilizer increases further in the presence of calcium and magnesium in irrigation water. However, such problem can be avoided if phosphoric or sulfuric acid can be added to irrigation water. Phosphoric or sulfuric acid helps in keeping the $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{H}}$ of water low and the salts to remain insoluble (Rauschkolb et al., 1976)

## Potassium

Potassium fertigation provides no adverse reaction when they are applied alone in water. The choice of K fertilizer depends on crop needs, crop tolerance, method of application, other elements present in the fertilizer, fertilizer availability and overall the cost. The K content in potassium chloride (KCL) accounts $90 \%$ and highest in among all fertilizer formed by $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, \mathrm{KNO}_{3}$. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} \& \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. Naturally, the selection of a particular fertilizer depends on the availability of materials. Potassium chloride sometime not preferred because its ability to chloride toxicity. The drip system is convenient and efficient for correcting K deficiency by allowing low rates and frequent applications. However, since the drip water is applied to a limited soil volume the salts tends to accumulate in around the periphery of the wetted volume, the highly soluble fertilizers like KCL or many other fertilizers applied in a confined volume of soil and water increases the salinity to a great extent. The extent of development of such salinity needs frequent measurement otherwise significant decrease in growth and yield may occur.

## Micro fertigation

Various micronutrient compounds such as sulfate and chelates are generally used for correcting micronutrients deficiencies. Iron and zinc chelates have very good suitability and may have least problems to drippers. Research work is still inadequate in efficiencies of micronutrients applied through drip water. Lindsey \& New (1974) reported lower cost in application of zinc chelate in
pecan tree through drip system than foliar application. Zinc deficiency in orchards can be corrected successfully by injecting zinc sulfate in to drip water at a less cost than foliar application (Francis, 1977).

### 6.3 Drip Fertigation Systems

Soluble fertilizers may be applied in drip irrigation system in many ways. However, the commonly used system may be grouped as
i. Suction injection system
ii. The pressure differential system
iii. The pump injection system

## Suction injection system

It is the simplest method of application of fertilizer in drip irrigation system. As shown in Fig. 6.1 there is a by- pass line of U-shape connected in the main line of the drip system. A venture section is inserted in the middle of the by-pass line. A pipe is connected to the venture to the open supply fertilizer solution tank. When flow occurs through the main and the by-pass line, the venturi section drops pressure by increasing the velocity of flow. This negative pressure draws the fertilizers solution in the tank and inject to the drip system.

## Pressure differential system

In pressure differential system, pressure difference is created between the inlet and outlet pipe of the fertilizer tanks by using the pressure reducing valve or the venturi tube in the main line set between the inlet and outlet pipe to the tank (Fig.6.2). This arrangement causes flow of water in the tank through the inlet pipe and the fertilizer mixed water in the tank to the main water supply line through the outlet pipe of the tank. Thus, the solution constantly is diluted by the new water entering to the tank and displacing the chemicals to the main line. More is the closing of reducing valve, more the water flow from tank to main line. It is necessary to calibrate the tank discharge and the pressure gradient for emptying the fertilizer in the tank in desired time.


Fig. 6.1 Suction injection system (simplest form)


Fig. 6.2 Pressure differential system
Thokal et al. (2004) stated the discharges of the tank at different pressure gradient (Table 6.2). In this system, the concentration of the chemicals in the tank changes continuously with the advancement of time. However, there should not be any problem of uniformity in distribution of fertilizer or application of entire fertilizer if sufficient time is allowed for application.
Table 6.2 Injection rate from a fertilizer tank at different pressure gradient and inlet-outlet diameter
$\left.\begin{array}{lcc}\hline \text { Gradient (m), P Tank injection (lph) } \\ D=0.5^{\prime \prime}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Tank injection (lph) } \\ D=3 / 8^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]$

Pressure differential system is suitable in construction and operation, portable, resistant to pressure and discharge variations. However, there is little or no control of chemical injection rate or concentration of chemicals in the irrigation water during the time of operation. The tank should be made of materials protected from possible corrosion and should withstand the required pressure in it. The use of venturi for creating differential pressure in the simplest form permits the use of open fertilizer tank (Fig. 6.1).

## The pump injectors

In pump injectors the fertilizer solution in an open tank is injected in the main supply line by using the pump driven by the motor or the water pressure in the main line (Fig.6.3). In motor driven pump the quantity of injection can be increased or decreased at will. The water pressure driven pump regulates the quantity proportional to the pressure or the quantity of flow in the main line. The pumps are usually rotary, gear, piston or diaphragm type. The fertilizer tank used in the system remains open and usually made of lighter materials. The piston or diaphragm pump injects the chemical solution in to the main line at higher pressure than the pressure in the main. This system is preferred when rated chemical concentration requires to be maintained in the line. Therefore, the system is provided with flow meters, back pressure regulators and flow control valves. The various components have to be well matched to ensure desired flow rate in the drip system.


Fig. 6.3 Pump injector (positive displacement)

## System hygiene

Fertilizer application through irrigation makes availability of nutrients and possibility of growing more bacteria, algae and slime in the system. Therefore, care should be taken for regular removal it through chlorine or acid. Chlorine should not be used with fertilizer injection since it may tie up the nutrients and cause them to unavailability to the plants. It is necessary to flush of nutrients before completion of irrigation.

### 6.4 Rate of Fertilizer Application

The calculation of rate of fertilizer application is required to avoid the danger of over fertilization. The rate of fertilizer application is site specific and depends mostly on soil, crop, nutrient required, farm area, climate, quantity and quality of water to be applied, fertilizer injector and system design. Application of desired amount of fertilizer in a short time may cause high concentration of nutrients and thereby plant damage. High nutrient concentration leads to toxicity, rapid change in soil ${ }^{H}$ and excessive increase in soil salinity of soil and water. In most of the cases the nutrients requirements can be met at a concentration of $100 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ in drip water. The injection rate of fertilizer through drip may be calculated through the following equation (Nakayama \& Buck, 1986).

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{f}=\frac{F_{r} A}{N_{c} T} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $Q_{f}=$ quantity of fertilizer to be applied, $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$
$Q_{f}=$ fertilizer rate per application, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$
$A=$ area to be fertilized, ha
$N_{c}=$ nutrient concentration in the stock solution, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$T=$ period of injection, h

Knowing the projectedthe concentration of nutrients in drip water can be determined $b$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=\frac{F_{r}}{V} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C_{n}=$ concentration of nutrients, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$\mathrm{V}=$ volume of water applied, $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$
Having selected the desired concentration of nutrient in the irrigation water, the rate of injection can be determined from the flow rate of the system, density, and percentage of nutrient in the fertilizer by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{f}=\frac{C_{n} Q}{\rho P} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $Q=$ rate of flow, $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$
$\rho=$ density of fertilizer solution, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$P=$ fertilizer solution, $\mathrm{vol} / \mathrm{vol}$
The rate of application is as below when dry fertilizer is used

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{d}=\frac{C Q}{P} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $F_{d}=$ dry fertilizer to be injected, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{C}=$ desired concentration, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Example 6.1 A orchard field is irrigated through drip fertigation. In each irrigation 0.45 kgN is applied to each plant with 5 mm water. The spacing of plant is 5 mx 5 m . calculate (a) surface rate of fertilizer application, and (b) concentration of fertilizer in drip water. Assume the wetted area $30 \%$.
Solution: (a) No. of plants/ha $=\frac{10000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{5 m \times 5 \mathrm{~m}}=400$
Fertilizer applied in overall area $/ \mathrm{ha}=400 \times 0.45=180 \mathrm{~kg}$
Actual surface rate of fertilizer application $=\frac{180 \mathrm{~kg}}{0.3}=600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{Ha}$
(b) Concentration of nutrients, $C_{n}=\frac{F_{r}}{V}$
$=\frac{180 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{Ha}}{5 m m \times 10000 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{Ha}}=\frac{180 \times 1000000 \mathrm{mg}}{50000 \mathrm{l}}$
$=3600 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$
Example 6.2 In a drip fertigation $33 \%$ liquid nitrogen of density $1.33 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{l}$ is used with desired concentration of nutrient flow $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. the flow through the system is $0.51 / \mathrm{s}$. What is the rate of application of fertilizer?
Solution: We have, $Q_{f}=\frac{C_{n} Q}{\rho P}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kx} 0.5 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}}{1.33 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{kx} 0.33} \\
& =\frac{2 x 10^{-4} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{kx} 0.5 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}}{1.33 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{kx} 0.33} \\
& =2.2784 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} \\
& =0.82 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 6.3 Dry fertilizer of $46 \%$ concentration to be applied with desired concentration of $150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. the flow through the drip system is $0.351 / \mathrm{s}$. what is the ratio of fertilizer injection?
Solution: We have, $F_{d}=\frac{C Q}{P}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{lx} 0.35 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}}{0.46} \\
& =114.13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{s} \\
& =0.41 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 6.4 A chemical of concentration $20,000 \mathrm{me} / 1$ is required to be diluted to $0.5 \mathrm{me} / 1$ in the main line. Find the required dilution of the chemical in the solution tank if the flow rate ratio of the injector pump and main line is 1:500.
Solution: The required final dilution is $0.5 \mathrm{me} / 1$

$$
=0.5: 20.000=1: 40000
$$

The dilution between injector and supply line $=1: 500$
Therefore, the chemical in the solution tank to be diluted to $500: 40000=1: 80$ i.e., one liter of the concentrated chemical to be diluted in to 79 liters of water to get the secondary stock solution in the tank

## Questions and Problems

6.1 Define fertigation. What are the advantages and disadvantages of fertigation over traditional fertilization?
6.2 Discuss the nitrogen as fertilizer input under drip system.
6.3 Discuss the scope of potassium and phosphate fertilizers in drip fertigation.
6.4 Discuss the scope of micronutrients in fertigation.
6.5 Discuss the pressure differential fertilizer applicator with necessary sketch. 6.6 An orchard field of plant spacing $4.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.5 \mathrm{~m}$ is irrigated wih 4 mm water. Each plan is provided with 0.25 kgN and weed area is $33 \%$. Calculate the concentration of fertilizer in drip water in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$.
Ans. $3087.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$
6.7 The concentration of a chemical is $25,000 \mathrm{me} / 1$ which to be injected in drip water. The flow rate in injector pump and the main line are $5 \& 2,000 / \mathrm{h}$ respectively. If the desired solution of the chemical is $1.25 \mathrm{me} / \mathrm{l}$ in the main line, what is the secondary dilution in the solution tank?

Ans. 1:50
6.8 Select the appropriate answer from the following multiple-choice questions.

1. Drip irrigation system is suggested for emission uniformity not more than
a. $90 \%$
b. $92 \%$
c. $94 \%$
d. $96 \%$
2. Potassium chloride accounts K contents
a. $50 \%$
b. $60 \%$
c. $70 \%$
d. $90 \%$
3. Fertigation saves fertilizer approximately
a. 20-25\%
b. $25-30 \%$
c. $30-35 \%$
d. $35-40 \%$
4. Fertigation increases yield approximately
a. $20-25 \%$
b. $25-30 \%$
c. $30-35 \%$
d. $25-50 \%$
5. 200 kg fertilizer is applied with 10 mm water in 1ha area. The concentration of fertilizer is
a. $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$
b. $800 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$
c. $1500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$
d. $2000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$

Ans. 1 (c) 2. (d) 3. (b) 4. (d) 5. (d)
6.9 Write True or False of the following statements.

1. Drip irrigation is suggested for discharge variation of distributors not more than $5 \%$.
2. Nitrogen availability in soil is abundant.
3. Urea sulphate (US-28) is one of the major sources of nitrogen fertilizer.
4. Phosphate fertilizers are usually not irrigated in drip irrigation water.
5. Clogging due to phosphorous fertilizers increases further in the presence of calcium and magnesium in irrigation water.
6. Potassium fertilizer provides adverse reaction when they are applied alone in water.
7. Pressure differential system provides good uniformity in fertilizer application.
8. Venturi fertilizer applicator should have a closed fertilizer tank.
9. The pump injectors are essentially motor driven.
10. It is essential tocalibrate the tank discharge and the pressure gradient for emptying the fertilizer in the tank in desired time

Ans. 1. True 2. False 3. True 4. True 5. True 6. False 7. True 8. False 9. False 10.True
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## CHAPTER - 7

## Low Cost Drip System

Drip system has great promise for efficient utilization of available irrigation water. In our country and elsewhere in the world the scientists are unanimously agreed to adopt drip irrigation method for higher application efficiency and thereby better use of scarce irrigation water. Saksena (1993) reported water losses of only $1-2 \%$ in drip irrigation in comparison to $6-9 \%$ in sprinkler and $30-35 \%$ in surface irrigation method. However, very small area compared to other methods of irrigation so far is irrigated by drip system in our country. Up to 2000 the total area under drip irrigation was $2,59,600$ ha in India (Alam \& Kumar, 2000). Initial high investment for drip network and pumping system, of course, are discouraging to the most of our farmers. Sharma \& Abrol (1993) mentioned that in spite of the very encouraging results and attractive subsidies offered by the Govt. of India, the adoption of the drip irrigation system has not picked up to the expected level. Along with the other reasons they pointed out the high initial cost of the system and lack of research effort on developing cost-effective design suited to local condition are responsible for non-practice of drip irrigation at a considerable scale.
Some research works has been conducted to find out low cost method of drip irrigation. Biswas (1998) developed a manually operated drip irrigation system made of bamboo mains, laterals and sub-laterals. The system and its performance are described below.

### 7.1 Drip Network

The main and laterals of the drip network were made of hollow bamboo pieces each of 3 m lengths with 7.5 cm and 4.0 cm diameter respectively. The sub-lateral or the emitters were the bamboo twigs of 0.25 cm inside diameter and $1.05-1.10 \mathrm{~m}$ length to suit the spacing between the plant rows. The mains and laterals were made for the required length in the field by jointing together the sections one after another (Fig.7.1). The ends of two sections, which contact each other, were made such that one section easily gets inside the other for about $10-15 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Source of water
The source of water was a hand tube well. By manually operating the tube well the water was lifted to the water tank placed on a bamboo platform of 3.0 m heights at very close to the tube well (Fig.7. 2).


Fig. 7.1 Network of drip system


Fig. 7.2 Water is lifted to overhead tank

## Laying of the drip system

The main of the drip system was made run through the center of the field across the plant lines. All the laterals were originated from the main (Fig 7.3). The laterals ran through the middle of the plant lines. The sub-laterals made of bamboo twigs were attached with the laterals emitted the water at the plant bottoms. There were two sub-laterals on either side from each point of the lateral (Fig. 7.4).


Fig. 7.3 Laterals originated from a main


Fig. 7.4 Emitters made of bamboo twigs at plant bottom

## Application of water

The water tank on the platform was filled up with water by operating the hand tube-well. A flexible polythene pipe was connected from the discharge point of the tank to entry point in the main line. Discharge from the tank was controlled by using the bibcock regulator at the outlet of the tank. The system was allowed to work after filling the tank full.

## Data collection

The volume of water in liters was collected from selected sub-laterals in different runs. These data were used to uniformity coefficient and losses of water through the system by using the following formulae (Michael, 1985).
Uniformity coefficient $E_{u}=1.0-\frac{\sum x}{m n}$
Where, $m=$ average value of observations, liters
$\mathrm{n}=$ total number of observations
$\mathrm{x}=$ numerical deviation of individual observations from the average application rate, liters
(ii) Loss of water(\%)
$=\frac{\text { Water disch arged from the } \tan k \text { - water collected from the sub-lateral }}{\text { Water disch arged from the } \tan k} \times 100$
The required average filling and emptying time of the water reservoir, average discharge rate through each sub-lateral or the rate of application of water to each plant, pulse rate of tube well operator, etc., were recorded during the time of operating the drip system (Table 7.1). There were all total 496 numbers of banana plants. Out of these, 320 were irrigated through drip and rest through surface irrigation (Fig. 7.5). The drip and surface irrigations were applied to all the three type of plant varieties to determine the
response to irrigations methods. The irrigations were given following 1.0IW/CPE. In drip method the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (Pan factor $=0.8$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (Crop factor $=1.0$ ) and $50 \%$ wetted area were considered to determine the volume of water applied in each irrigation. In surface method the applied water was 5 cm in each irrigation.


Fig. 7.5 Developed stage of banana crop under bamboo drip irrigation

### 7.2 Performance of the Drip System

The average of the best performances in respect to uniformity coefficients of emitter discharges was 0.93 (Table 7.2). This was considered good enough in comparison to satisfactory level of 0.85 (Michael, 1985). The loss of water was $9.92 \%$ at the best performance of the trials. This was considered higher than the usual losses of 1-25 in a commercial drip system. Assuming the peak pan evaporation rate of 5 mm , pan factor $=0.8$, crop factor $=1.0$, percentage of wetting $=50$, the water requirement of crop was found $8 \mathrm{l} /$ plant/day. In such situation the operator could have covered an area of 0.2 Ha and 500 plants under this bamboo drip system. This area of 0.2 Ha was considered as capacity of unit manpower for irrigation in ideal condition. Considering all the costs involvement to place and set the drip network at field the cost of components of drip system were as below:
i. $\quad$ Main $=$ Rs. $16.50 / \mathrm{m}$
ii. Lateral $=$ Rs. $10.00 / \mathrm{m}$
iii. Sub-lateral = Rs. $1.70 / \mathrm{m}$
iv. Tube well, water reservoir, reservoir platform, etc. $=$ Rs. $2,000.00 / 0.2 \mathrm{Ha}$
v. Bamboo network (excluding item (iv)) $=$ Rs. $3.33 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or Rs. $6,660.00 / 0.2 \mathrm{Ha}$
vi. Drip system $=$ Rs. $4.33 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or Rs. $8,660.00 / 0.2 \mathrm{Ha}$

During this time of experimentation the market price of PVC pipes used for main, lateral, sub-lateral and dripper were as below:
i. Main $(50 \mathrm{~mm})=$ Rs. $45.00 / \mathrm{m}$
ii. Lateral $(15 \mathrm{~mm})=$ Rs. $5.25 / \mathrm{m}$
iii. Sub-lateral $(2 \mathrm{~mm})=$ Rs. $3.00 / \mathrm{m}$
iv. Dripper $=$ Rs.3.00/piece

Comparing to market rate of the mains, laterals and sub-laterals it appeared that only the cost of lateral was more in bamboo made drip system. The main and sub-lateral were much cheaper. In conventional drip system is conventionally required at the end of each sub-lateral, which could have entirely omitted in drip system under the study. This reduced the cost at the rate of Rs.3.00/plant. The cost of network of the bamboo drip system was found to be less by $26 \%$ compared to the cost of commercial drip network (Rs. 45 , $000 / \mathrm{Ha}$ for plant spacing $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) as reported by INCID (1994). The saving in cost could have been higher than this if it was compared the prevailing rate in the time of study in 1997. The present study was conducted in a place where the growth of weeds of strong root system and activities of termites and other burrowing animals were high. In such a condition longevity of such drip system was expected 3-4 years. It was recommended as the useful drip system to small and marginal farmers for irrigating the row crops in the area of scarce water or soils of high infiltration characteristics.

Table 7.1. Time required for filling and emptying the water reservoir (200 liter capacity)

| Height of <br> reservior, m | Time taken to for the <br> emptying reservior, min | Discharge/sub <br> lateral, $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |


|  | Filling | Emptying |  | Before | After |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 3.5 | 12 | 48 | 25.02 | $60-66$ | $100-110$ |

Table 7.2 Uniformity coefficients and losses of water through the drip network

| Side Trial <br> No. | Uniformity <br> coefficient | Losses of <br> water, $\%$ | Average of highest <br> uniformity coefficients of <br> both side | Losses of water in average <br> highest uniformity coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| A | 1 | 0.84 | 33.06 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 0.76 | 30.76 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.83 | 17.54 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.89 | 12.95 |  |  |
|  | 5 | 0.91 | 10.32 |  |  |
|  | 6 | 0.93 | 9.53 |  |  |
| B | 1 | 0.74 | 31.51 | 0.93 | 9.925 |
|  | 2 | 0.81 | 20.54 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.84 | 19.09 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.89 | 14.59 |  |  |
|  | 5 | 0.92 | 13.46 |  |  |
|  | 6 | 0.92 | 10.32 |  |  |

With the similar set up of a manually operated drip system, Kundu \& Mondal (2000) replaced the main and laterals by PVC pipe and tested the performance of five types of water emission systems, viz; dripper, surgical tube, perforation, perforation (coir winded) and perforation (coir winded \& subsurface). Highest distribution efficiency ( $87.46 \%$ ) was found with the drippers followed by perforation ( $81.53 \%$ ) and surgical tube ( $79.61 \%$ ). The emitters-perforation (coir winded) and perforation (subsurface and coir winded) recorded in between $57.85-77.30 \%$ of distribution efficiency were beyond the acceptable limit of $85 \%$ (Table 7.3). Higher distribution efficiency was expected from the properly adjusted knots with the surgical tubes with the attention of frequent removal of iron deposition in it.
Table 7.3 Performance of the drip system for different type of emitters

| Type of emitters | Height of <br> reserviors | Average discharge, <br> 1/h/plant | Distribution <br> efficiency, $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dripper | 2.5 | 0.42 | 87.46 |
| Dripper | 2.5 | 1.19 | 82.13 |
| Dripper | 3.5 | 0.71 | 84.61 |
| Surgical tube (Knotted) | 3.5 | 0.48 | 79.61 |
| Surgical tube (Unknotted) | 2.5 | 2.26 | 69.36 |
| Perforation | 2.5 | 4.79 | 77.30 |
| Perforation | 3.5 | 5.86 | 81.55 |


| Perforation (coir winded) | 2.5 | 3.70 | 71.20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Perforation (coir winded) | 3.5 | 4.03 | 68.50 |
| Perforation (coir winded \& | 2.5 | 0.64 | 57.85 |
| subsurface) |  |  |  |



Fig. 7.6 Main and lateral laying


Fig. 7.7 Laterals, sub laterals and drippers
The average time for filling and emptying the water reservoirs at 2.0 m and 3.0 m heights were found 9 min and 1 hour 15 min and 10 min and 1 hour 10 min respectively. Assuming the peak evaporation rate of the region $=5 \mathrm{~mm}$, pan factor $=0.8$, crop factor $=1.0$, percentage of wetting $=50$, the water requirement of crop was estimated $=2 \mathrm{l} \mathrm{m}^{2}$. The operator could operate the tube well for 4 hours in different time of the day that enabled him to fill up the reservoirs for 24 times having total volume of water $=4800$ liters. This volume of water could cover an area of 0.24 ha . Therefore, at an ideal condition the coverage by unit manpower was 0.24 Ha . Having the manpower engaged in two shifts each of 8 hours in a day, the area could have covered just double of the previous estimation, i.e., $0.24 \times 2=0.48 \mathrm{Ha}$.


Fig. 7.8 Water drops from dripper at plant bottom


Fig. 7.9 Water drops from surgical tube at plant bottom
The cost of the drip system for unit area of 0.24 Ha by using drippers was found maximum, (Rs. 33083) and minimum for surgical tube (Rs.20359) (Table 7.4). Thus, either the hydraulic performance or the economy, the surgical tube emitters were the bests among all the types of drippers. The cost of the system could have reduced if less costly accessories such as (instead of GI) gate valves, pipes, elbows etc. were used. The system of drip irrigation studied by Kundu \& Mondal (2000) proved to be more cost effective other than its beauty in using the human power by avoiding the non-renewable fossil fuel.
Table 7.4 Cost of manually operated drip irrigation system using different types of emitters for 0.24 Ha

| Sl.No. | Types of emitters | Cost of the system (Rs.) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Dripper | 33083 |
| 2. | Surgical tube | 20359 |
| 3. | Perforation | 27089 |
| 4. | Perforation (coir winded) | 27189 |
| 5. | Perforation (coir winded and sub surface) | 27239 |



Fig. 7.10 Water is being pumped to reservoir
7.1 Discuss the importance of cost-effective drip system in Indian context.
7.2 Discuss the principle of working and construction of a bamboo made low cost drip irrigation system.
7.3 Banana crop is grown in 1ha field with $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ spacing. The lateral lines pass through the middle of plant rows. Each plant is provided with a sub laterals. What are the length of laterals and sub lateral in this field?
Ans. Lateral $=2500 \mathrm{~m}$, Sub lateral $=2500 \mathrm{~m}$
7.4 The discharges of 4 emitters were recorded $2.17,2.23,1.89$ and $2.11 / \mathrm{h}$. What is the uniformity coefficient of emitter discharges?
7.5 There were 130 numbers of bamboo twig sub laterals in a field delivering average discharges of 2.2 h . A overhead water of 180 liters was the source of water. The water tank gets filled and emptied in 10 and 50 minutes respecctively. If the drip system operates for 2 hours, what is the percent of water loss? Ans. $20.55 \%$
7.6 Answer True or False of the following.

1. Bamboo made network of drip system is usually costlier than conventional drip system.
2. Low area drip system can be operated by operating pressure head as low as 2 m .
3. Hand tube wells can be used to fill up the overhead water reservoir for drip system.
4. The low diameter pipe like surgical tube can be used as drip distributor.
5. Bamboo made drip network is not subjected to weathering effect.

Ans. 1. False 2. True 3. True 4. True 5. False
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## CHAPTER - 8

## Sprinkler Irrigation

Sprinkler irrigation is an advanced method of irrigation in which water is sprayed to air and allowed to fall on the ground similar to rainfall. The spraying of water occurs through nozzle connected to a network of pipes with water under pressure. The rate of application and area of coverage under a sprinkler is regulated by suitable selection of nozzle size and pressure in the system.

### 8.1 History of Sprinkler Irrigation

The sprinkler irrigation has started elsewhere in the world in the early part of twentieth century. Before 1920, sprinkler irrigation was limited to orchard, nurseries and intensive vegetable cultivation. The cost of sprinkler reduced considerably by the development of impact sprinkler and lightweight steel pipe with quick couplers in the 1930s. As a result, sprinkler irrigation began to spread in larger area and to the area of field crops. However, sprinkler irrigation developed mainly after the Second World War with the introduction of lightweight portable aluminum pipe.
Sprinkler irrigation has started in India from mid of 1950s. Due to requirement of high initial investment, the average Indian farmers cannot afford the system and therefore the area under sprinkler irrigation in India was 0.66 mha (approx.) out of total irrigated area of 87.80 mHa in 1995 (INCID). The sprinkler irrigation was first introduced in India in hilly regions such as Western Ghats in Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka and in the North Eastern States especially by plantation owners for irrigating tea, coffee and cardamom crops. Since the cost of aluminum pipe is high, to replace it, High Density Polyethylene Pipe (HDPE)/PVC with suitable modification have been introduced in sprinkler system. However, the area under HDPE/PVC piping system is below $20 \%$ to the total area under sprinkler irrigation. The remaining area is cultivated under aluminum or steel based sets. The indigenous manufacturing of the system has started in India only $35-40$ years ago and there are about fifty numbers of manufacturers till 1996 (INCID). The details of the cultivated area under sprinkler irrigation system in the world and in Indian States are given in Table $8.1 \& 8.2$ respectively.
Table 8.1 Country-wise area under sprinkler irrigation

| Sl. <br> No. | Country | Year | Area under sprinkler <br> irrigation (ha) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Afghanistan | 1967 | $1,14,000$ |  |
| 2. | Algeria | 1994 | 40,000 |  |
| 3. | Angola | 1980 | 11,445 | South Australia |
| 4. | Australia | 1980 | 10,970 |  |
| 5. | Austria | 1980 | 46,000 |  |
| 6. | Bahrain | 1994 | 130 |  |
| 7. | Belgium | 1980 |  | Sprinkler used for flowers, garden and |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. | Benin | 1994 | 4,470 |  |
| 9. | Botswana | 1992 | 892 | Use started in 1950, mainly for coffee |


| 11. | Bulgaria | 1980 | 4,96,000 | Around 50\% area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. | Burkana Faso | 1992 | 3,900 | Around 50\% area, humid area |
| 13. | Canada | 1980 | 65,000 |  |
| 14. | Congo | 1993 | 111 |  |
| 15. | Cyprus | 1980 | 6.690 |  |
| 16. | Czechoslovakia | 1980 | 45,207 |  |
| 17. | Denmark | 1980 | 2,95,000 |  |
| 18. | Ecuador | 1980 | 16,000 |  |
| 19. | Egypt | 1993 | 3,13,000 |  |
| 20. | Germany (Democratic Republic) | 1980 | 4,37,000 |  |
| 21. | Germany (Democratic Republic) | 1980 |  | Sprinkler for anti-freeze irrigation works |
| 22. | Ghana | 1994 | 580 |  |
| 23. | Greece | 1980 | 3,80,000 |  |
| 24. | Guinea | 1994 | 1,594 |  |
| 25. | Hungary | 1980 | 3,33,802 |  |
| 26. | France | 1980 | 6,00,000 |  |
| 27. | India | 1995 | 6,58,000 |  |
| 28. | Iran | 1993 | 47,200 |  |
| 29. | Italy | 1980 | 5,17,000 | $17 \%$ of irrigated area. Upland area irrigated by sprinkler |
| 30. | Jordan | 1991 | 5,700 |  |
| 31. | Kenya | 1992 | 21,000 |  |
| 32. | Kuwait | 1994 | 600 |  |
| 33. | Kyrgyzstan | 1990 | 1,41,000 |  |
| 34. | Lebanon | 1993 | 21,000 |  |
| 35. | Libya | 1990 | 4,70,000 |  |
| 36. | Malawi | 1992 | 11,300 |  |
| 37. | Mali | 1989 | 100 |  |
| 38. | Malta | 1989 | 150 |  |
| 39. | Mauritius | 1995 | 14,600 |  |


| 40. | Morocco | 1989 | 1,03,200 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41. | Namibia | 1992 | 1,845 |  |
| 42. | Nicaragua | 1980 | 16,460 |  |
| 43. | Norway | 1980 | 69,500 |  |
| 44. | Oman | 1993 | 1,640 |  |
| 45. | Saudi Arabia | 1992 | 10,29,000 |  |
| 46. | Switzerland | 1980 |  | To protect crop against frost |
| 47. | Syria | 1993 | 30,000 |  |
| 48. | Taiwan | 1980 |  | Orchards and garden |
| 49. | Tchad | 1988 | 3,200 |  |
| 50. | Tunisia | 1991 | 55,000 |  |
| 51. | Turkey | 1994 | 2,63,849 |  |
| 52. | Uganda | 1980 | 121 |  |
| 53. | UAE | 1993 | 3,748 |  |
| 54. | USSR | 1980 | 60,00,000 | 35\% of irrigated area. Maldovia, Ukraine, Russian Federation |
| 55. | UK | 1980 | 1,17,000 | 90\% of total area |
| 56. | USA | 1991 | 85,72,621 | 35\% irrigated area |
| 57. | Yemen | 1994 | 350 |  |
| 58. | Yugoslavia | 1980 | 49,192 | 35\% irrigated area |
| 59. | Zimbabwe | 1980 | 1,10,000 | 70\% irrigated area |

Source: INCID (1998)
Table 8.2 Area under sprinkler irrigation in different States of India

| States | Area under sprinkler irrigation (ha) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Assam | $90,000^{*}$ |
| Andhra Pradesh | 17,090 |
| Bihar | 160 |
| Gujarat | 27,740 |
| Haryana | 83,600 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 70 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 30 |
| Karnataka | 41,900 |
| Kerala | 5,800 |

Madhya Pradesh
Maharashtra
Orissa
Punjab
Rajasthan
Tamil Nadu
Uttar Pradesh
West Bengal
Other States + UTs
*Mainly for plantation crops
Source: INCID (1998)

## Subsidy Scheme to Sprinkler Irrigation in India

The Ministry of Agriculture (GoI) adapted the National Pulse Development Project (NPDP) and Oil Seed and Pulse Development Project (OPDP) during the VII plan period with subsidy to sprinkler irrigation system. In 1992-93 the subsidy was $50 \%, 75 \% \& 25 \%$ of the cost for small \& marginal farmers, farmers of SC/ST communities and other category of farmers respectively. This subsidy schemes continued up to VIII plan period with the modification of subsidy at the rate of $50 \%$ of the cost for small and marginal farmers only to a maximum of Rs $10,000 /$ - per beneficiary. In 1996-97 the subsidy scheme was further revised as: (a) $90 \%$ of the total cost or Rs 25,000 per Ha whichever is less, for small and marginal farmers, SC/ST and women farmers; (b) $70 \%$ of the total cost or Rs 25,000 per Ha whichever is less, for other category of farmers. The status of sprinkler irrigation development during VIII plan period is given Table 8.3.
Table 8.3 Development status of sprinkler irrigation during VIII plan period (1992-97)

| States | Number of sprinkler sets installed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $92-93$ | $93-94$ | $94-95$ | $95-96$ | $96-97$ | Total |
| Andhra Pradesh | 809 | 1159 | 3791 | 7652 | 5740 | 19151 |
| Gujarat | 1020 | 1597 | 2658 | 5514 | 2105 | 12894 |
| Haryana | 652 | NA | 712 | 1964 | 760 | 4088 |
| Karnataka | 1524 | 1202 | 927 | 1541 | 1310 | 6504 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 146 | 307 | 1068 | 8576 | 6530 | 16627 |
| Maharashtra | 2131 | 2577 | 2996 | 6081 | 4755 | 18540 |
| Rajasthan | 907 | 2269 | 6360 | 13187 | 7030 | 29753 |
| Tamil Nadu | 1988 | 2221 | 3477 | 3125 | 1264 | 12075 |
| Uttar Pradessh | 343 | 809 | 3583 | 3278 | 3600 | 11613 |
| Other States | 61 | 143 | 43 | 1344 | 1659 | 3250 |
| Total | 9581 | 12284 | 25615 | 52262 | 34753 | 134495 |

Source: INCID (1998)
In the year 2006, Ministry of Agriculture (GoI) has initiated the Micro Irrigation (MI) scheme. The key features of the scheme are listed below (Anonymous, 2006).

1. It will be Centrally Sponsored Scheme under which out of the total cost of the MI scheme $40 \%$ will be borne by the Central Govt., $10 \%$ by the State Govt. and the remaining $50 \%$ will be borne by the beneficiary either from his/her resource or soft loan from financial institution.
2. Assistance to farmers will be covering a maximum area of 5ha per beneficiary family.
3. Assistance to drip and sprinkler irrigation will be $75 \%$ for the cost of maximum area of 0.5 Ha per beneficiary which will be met entirely by the Central Govt.
4. The Panchayat Raj Institutions (PRIs) will be involved in selecting the beneficiaries.
5. All categories of farmers are covered under the scheme. However, it needs to be ensured that at least $25 \%$ of the beneficiaries are of Small and Marginal farmers.
6. The focus will be on the horticultural crops being covered under the National Horticultural Mission. A cluster approach will be adopted.
7. The scheme includes both drip and sprinkler irrigation. However, sprinkler irrigation will be applicable only for those crops where drip irrigation is uneconomical.
8. There will be a strong HRD input for the farmers, field functionaries, seminars/workshops at extensive location to develop skills and improve awareness among farmers about importance of water conservation and management.
9. The Precision Farming Development Centres (PFDC) will provide research and technical support for implementing the scheme.
10. At the national level, National Committee on Plasticulture Application in Horticulture (NCPAH) will be responsible for coordinating the Scheme, while the Executive Committee on Micro Irrigation (ECMI) will approve the Action Plans. At the State level the State Micro Irrigation Committee will coordinate the programme, while at the District level the District Micro Irrigation Committee will oversee the programme.
11. The Scheme will be implemented by an Implementing Agency (IA) appointed by State Govt., which will be District Rural Devlopment Agency (DRDA), or any identified Agency, to whom funds will be released directly on the basis of approved district plan for each year.
12. The DRDA shall prepare Annual Action Plan for the District; get it forwarded by the DMIC and SMIC for approval by the Executive Committee (EC) of NCPAH.
13. Payment will be made through crossed cheque. If the cheque is in the name of the system supplier, the same will be delivered through the farmers/beneficiary.
14. Registration of System Manufacturers will be done by the SMIC for the area of districts.
15. Supply of both good quality systems for drip and sprinkler irrigation having BIS marking, proper after sale service to the satisfaction of the farmers is paramount.

### 8.2 Advantage and Limitations of Sprinkler Irrigation

The purpose of irrigation is to provide the required amount of water for maintain the desired soil moisture regime in the root zone of the crop. The irrigation has to be done at a reasonable cost, power and labour. The advantages of sprinkler irrigation over the surface irrigation may be summarized as below:

1. Suitable to almost all soils of infiltration rate less than $4 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{h}$.
2. Suitable to almost all crops.
3. Suitable to uneven land. Land leveling is not required.
4. Fertilizer, herbicides \& fungicides can be applied in irrigation water economically.
5. Can be used against protection in winter frost and for cooling of crops in summer.
6. Supply channels and bunds are not required.
7. Saving in water and labor.
8. Permits movement of farm machinery.
9. Healthy growth of crops and higher yields.
10. Less infestation of pests and diseases.

## Limitations of Sprinkler Irrigation

1. Wind distorts sprinkler pattern and cause uneven distribution.
2. Ripening soft fruit must be protected from impact of spray.
3. Higher initial cost excepting where high cost is involved in land leveling.
4. High power requirement as it is operated at 5 m to 100 m head of water.
5. Not suitable for fine textured soil of slow infiltration rate.
6. Movement of portable pipes in some soils after irrigation may pose a problem.

## Other Use of Sprinkler System

Sprinkler system has numerous other uses. The following are some of them.

1. Cooling cold storage, livestock and poultry environments.
2. Farm fire protection.
3. Water distribution for compaction of earth fills.
4. Setting of dusts.
5. Log curing.

### 8.3 Scope of Sprinkler Irrigation in India

There is ample scope of bringing the crops like millets, pulses, gram, wheat, sugarcane, groundnut, cotton, vegetables and fruit flowers, spices and condiments under sprinkler irrigation. These crops occupy the vast area (Table 8.4). Thus, there is great possibility to increase the area in phase wise with required financial and technical support from the concerned agencies.
The groundwater supplies is depleting day by day while demand for water is ever increasing. The water crisis is a major issue particularly during the summer season in many parts of the country. Therefore, reduction of groundwater use without compromising the production is a standing issue, which will remain persistent all through with us. More and more use of sprinkler irrigation may provide good scope to attend the problem if necessary political will; policy support and organizational efforts, all together come forward. Sprinkler irrigation is also having a positive impact to environment.

Table 8.4 Potential areas for sprinkler irrigation in India

| Sl. No. | Crop |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Cereals and Millets (excluding rice) | 27.6 |
| 2. | Pulses | 4.2 |
| 3. | Oil seeds | 11.1 |
| 4. | Cotton | 2.6 |
| 5. | Condiments \& spices | $1.2^{*}$ |
| 6. | Fruits \& vegetables | $2.5^{*}$ |
| 7. | Sugarcane | 3.3 |

*Up to 91-91. For the other crops, cropped area is up to 94-95 and coverage under irrigation is up to 92-93. Source: INCID (1998)

### 8.4 Type of Sprinkler System and Components

The sprinkler system may be divided into two major types depending on the arrangement of spraying irrigation water.
i. Rotating head
ii. Perforated pipe

Rotating head system: This method consists of the nozzles set on the riser pipes and the riser pipes on the laterals at regular intervals. The laterals are usually placed on the ground surface. The height of the riser pipes is such that the nozzles on it can effectively spray the water above the crop height (Fig.8.1). Nozzles are usually used in pair in a sprinkler set opposite to each other.

On rotating the nozzle by $90^{\circ}$ it covers the area in circular form with some overlapping by the nozzles surrounding it.


Courtesy: INCID, 1988
Fig. 8.1 Sprinklers are in operation in field crops
Perforated pipe system: In this system the lateral pipes are made perforated in a definite pattern at a regular interval to allow the water come out and distribute to a fairly uniform rate. The pressure in the laterals is maintained much low $\left(<1.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$. Thus, the sprinklers can be operated by connecting the system to an overhead water tank. The perforations are made from two opposite sides of the laterals. The sprays from both the laterals cover the strip of land of width 5 to 15 m depending on the pressure in lateral. The rate of application in perforated pipe system is usually high. Therefore, it is suitable for soils of infiltration rate moderate to high. This is mostly used in irrigating the lawns and gardens or vegetables where plant height is less ( $<60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

## Classification Based on Portability

The sprinkler system are classified into the following based on the portability.
i. Portable system: the system contains the portable mains, sub mains, laterals and pumping unit. The system is carried from one field to another to irrigate the fields. Off course, each field should have the water source. The portable system is usually used for two or three supplemental irrigation. The system may be carried either manually or mechanically. Manual or the hands move system requires much labor to handle it. It is also tedious but requires less initial investment. The system using mechanical power to move the system called as wheel move system. The laterals are mounted on the wheels and the set as a whole moves. The initial cost of this is much higher than the hand move system.
ii. Semi-portable: A semi-portable system has the portable laterals, mains and sub mains but the water source and pumping unit is fixed. In this system, a few fields may be irrigated by shifting the mains and laterals but the extended main to be in connection to the permanently located pump.
iii. Solid-set system: In solid-set system the laterals remain fixed in the field for a crop season. In fact, this is a permanent system for a season. Solid-set system is used where frequent irrigation is required at short interval.
iv. Semi-permanent system: In semi-permanent system all are fixed excepting the laterals. The laterals are carried to the fields to connect it to the main or the sub main already available at there.
v. Permanent system: A permanent system should have fixed main, sub mains, laterals, water source, and pumping unit. The main, sub mains and laterals are usually buried in soils and the nozzles are set on the risers. The initial cost of this system is high but suited to automation. It is suitable for irrigating the orchards.

## Component of Sprinkler Irrigation System

The typical sprinkler irrigation system consists of the (i) Pumping unit, (ii) Pipe net work-mains, sub mains and laterals, (iii) Sprinkler head, and (iv) Accessories such as couplers, valves, plugs, risers, and fittings.

## Pump set

The pump is required to lift the water from the source to the supply line at some desired pressure so that the sprinklers at the remote point also receives sufficient pressure to sprinkling water and provide good uniformity to irrigation. The pumping plant of the sprinkler system usually consists of centrifugal or turbine pump, a driving unit, a suction line and a foot valve for the centrifugal pump.
The centrifugal pump is more popular and used in the situation when the source of water is an open well or shallow depth water source less than 8 m . The turbine pump is used in deep tube wells. The centrifugal pump is usually set on a trolley and portable but the turbine pump is fixed in a suitable location. Internal combustion engine is preferred to centrifugal pump because of its portable characteristics and electric motor to turbine pump because of its fixed installation. However, in some field level situation users are become compelled to use internal combustion engine to turbine pump.

## Pipe network

The pipe network consists of main, sub-main and laterals. The main line carries the water from the pumping plant to different part of the field through sub-mains and laterals. Sub mains are provided to take water from the main and the laterals are from the sub mains or the mains (Fig.8. 2).


Fig. 8.2 Sprinkler irrigation system
Main lines: The main lines may be used as permanent, semi permanent or portable. However, the laterals are almost portable type. Permanent main is used where the field area is fixed and the irrigation is required throughout the season. The portable mains are used when the sprinkler system has to irrigate a few fields. Steel, plastic, aluminum, asbestos, cement concrete, wrapped aluminum pipes may be used as mains. The steel pipes are mostly used in permanent lines. The asbestos or the concrete pipes are not suitable for high-pressure system. The main lines are usually buried in to 45 to 60 cm below the ground level so that it may be out of the impact of farming operations. The lightweight aluminum pipes or plastics (HDPC) with quick couplers are suitable for most portable main lines. The mains and sub main pipes are of same type excepting the diameter of sub mains is less since the discharge of mains getting divided in to number of sub mains.
Laterals: The laterals are usually portable. However, it may be buried permanent for orchard, lawns or other special sites where cultivation practices do not create hindrance due to permanent placement of laterals. The laterals are of 5, 6 or 12 m and each length are equipped with quick coupling devices which enable the farmers to shift it quickly during farming operation.

## Sprinkler head

The sprinkler may be classified as rotating and fixed type (Fig.8.1). The performance of a sprinkler nozzle (Fig.8.2 (a)) under ideal conditions of temperature, wind velocity and humidity along with the specification are stated in Table 8.5 (Hallmark, 2004). Sometime, perforated lateral pipes are also used as sprinklers. The sprinklers are adapted to wide application rate and spacing. The operating pressures are usually ranges between 1.5 to $4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. The most of the sprinklers used in agriculture are slow rotation type. It may cover small to large area with minimum overlapping and application rate inconformity to infiltration rate of the soil provides better use of sprinkler water. The sprinkler may be single and double nozzle. The single nozzle sprinklers are used for low application rate. Double nozzle sprinklers provide higher application rate. One of the nozzles of double nozzle sprinkler applies water to the considerable distance and the other nozzle cover the area near the sprinkler. Thus, a good uniformity of application is achieved. The revolving head sprinklers may be classified based on their pressure range and position of use in relation to the crops (Table 8.6). It is important to ensure the required pressure on the sprinkler head. Too high or too low a pressure will cause much poor distribution efficiency of water.


Courtesy: Hallmark, 2004
Fig.8.2 Sprinkler nozzles
Table 8.5 Performance of a water sprinkler (HT-46)

| Nozzle size (mm) | Pressure $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | Diameter $(\mathrm{m})$ | Discharge (lpm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4.36 \times 2.38$ | 2.00 | 26.80 | 22.00 |
|  | 3.00 | 29.85 | 29.00 |
|  | 4.00 | 32.30 | 32.00 |
| $4.76 \times 3.17$ | 5.00 | 33.50 | 35.00 |
|  | 2.00 | 28.00 | 28.00 |
|  | 3.00 | 31.15 | 36.00 |
|  | 4.00 | 33.53 | 42.00 |
|  | 5.00 | 34.15 | 46.00 |
|  | 2.00 | 28.70 | 32.00 |
|  | 3.00 | 32.00 | 40.00 |
| $5.55 \times 3.17$ | 4.00 | 34.14 | 47.00 |
|  | 5.00 | 34.88 | 51.00 |
|  | 2.00 | 29.90 | 37.00 |
|  | 3.00 | 33.20 | 46.00 |
|  | 4.00 | 35.45 | 54.00 |
|  | 5.00 | 36.86 | 58.00 |

Courtesy: Hallmark, 2004

## Specification

-3/4 inches BSP/NPT male/female threads
-Body, arm, bearing \& nozzles made of derlin for durability
-Non clog stream straightener in range nozzles ensures excellent performance in wind condition
-Special bayonet nozzles
-Stainless steel spring and pivot pin
-Trajectory angle $23^{0}$
Table 8.6 Classification of rotating head sprinklers, their characteristics and adaptability

| Type of <br> sprinkler | Gravity-fed <br> under tree <br> sprinkler <br> system | Normal <br> under-tree <br> sprinkler <br> system | Permanent <br> overhead <br> system | Small <br> overhead <br> system | Low <br> pressure <br> system | Intermedia <br> pressure <br> system |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pressure range | 0.7 <br> to $1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | 1 to <br> $2.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | 3.5 to <br> $4.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | 2.5 to <br> $4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | 1.5 to <br> $2.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | 2.5 to $5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Sprinkler <br> discharge | $0.06-0.251 / \mathrm{s}$ | $0.06-0.251 / \mathrm{s}$ | $0.2-0.61 / \mathrm{s}$ | $0.6-0.201 / \mathrm{s}$ | $0.3-11 / \mathrm{s}$ | $2-101 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Diameter of <br> nozzles | $1-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $1.5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $3-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $6-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $3-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $10-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Diameter of | $10-14 \mathrm{~m}$ | $6-23 \mathrm{~m}$ | $30-45 \mathrm{~m}$ | $25-35 \mathrm{~m}$ | $20-35 \mathrm{~m}$ | $40-80 \mathrm{~m}$ |


| Recommended | - | $0.5-1 \mathrm{rpm}$ | 1 rpm | $0.67-1 \mathrm{rpm}$ | $0.5-1 \mathrm{rpm}$ | 0.7 rpm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| speed of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sprinkler |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rotation |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Adaptability | Usually | Usually | Used for | Commonly | Two- | Usually |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | uses | used in | orchards. | used for | nozzle | single noz |
|  | single | closed | Triangular | low rate of | sprinklers | sprinklers |
|  | nozzle | space | spacing | application | can be | rates of |
|  | sprinkler | orchards | necessary | $(3.5-$ | used with | applicatic |
|  | heads, | with full | for low | $6 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h})$ | lower | range fror |
|  | used as | low | application | and to help | Pressure | $6-12 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{l}$ |
|  | under-tree | hanging | rates $(1.5-$ | reduce the | than single | suitable fi |
|  | systems in | branches, | $3 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h})$ | effects of | nozzle | suppleme |
|  | uplands, | single |  | wind. High | sprinklers. | irrigation, |
|  | has low | nozzle |  | risers are | More | unsuitabl |
|  | uniformity | slow |  | used for | overlap is | under wir |
|  | of | rotation |  | orchards | required. | conditions |
|  | coverage. | sprinklers |  | and lower | Rate of |  |
|  |  | oftenused. |  | risers for | application |  |
|  |  |  |  | field | tends to be |  |

Source: Michael (1978)
Most of the rotating sprinkler heads operate following the principle of actuating a small hammer by the force of water striking against a vane connecting to it. The giant sprinklers that operate under high pressure rotate on water activated gear drive. Fixed type sprinklers are used to irrigate the small lawns and gardens. The variation of the fixed type sprinkler is the 'pop-up' sprinkler. In this, the sprinkler used is housed in a casing, which is provided with a cover at the top. The sprinkler unit pops up out of the casing when put to use and sinks down in to the casing when not in use. The perforated pipes require less pressure than the rotating sprinklers. However, they release more water than the rotating sprinklers. Therefore, its use is restricted to soils of high infiltration rate. The system is not suitable where the wind distorts the water jet. Its use is also limited to nearly flat land since the rate of discharge through the perforations varies with the pressure variation causes due to difference in elevation. The perforations also get frequently clogged and require periodical flushing and screen at the intake of pump to restrict foreign particles in the incoming water.

## Accessories

Pressure gauge: The pressure gauge is used to measure the pressure in main or other points of the system (Fig.8.3). These are usually bourdon gauge type and fixed in the system. The portable pressure gauge is used to measure the pressure in sprinkler head (Fig. 8.4). The portable gauge-pack with a pitot tube enables the operator to read the sprinkler pressure in the nozzle under use.


Fig. 8.3 Fixed type pressure gauge


Fig. 8.4 Portable pressure gauge
Couplers: The couplers provide connection between two pipes and between pipes and fittings. The couplers should have the characteristics of flexible connection, no leak of water through the joint under pressure and automatically drain out at no pressure, simple and easy to couple and uncouple, and overall be light, non corrosive and durable.


Fig. 8.5 Water meter
Water meter: The water meter is used to measure the water delivered to the main, sub main or to any lateral (Fig.8.5). The water can records the quantity of water supplied at any instant of time. Thus, it provides the supply of any predetermined amount in a given time to the field. In India the irrigation water is not usually measured as because the users use to pay on area basis. However, this is necessary towards the economical and efficient management of costly irrigation water.


Courtesy: INCID (1998)
Fig. 8.6 Fertilizer applicator
Fertilizer applicator: It is used to apply the fertilizers, which are soluble to water through the sprinkler at a desired rate. The fertilizer applicator is connected usually to the main as a component of control head. The flow through the fertilizer applicator is induced by creating the slight difference in pressure at the water inlet and outlet of it. The difference of pressure causes to suck fertilizer with water in sprinkler system (Fig.8.6)

Pressure regulators: The sprinkler operation system should be such that all the sprinklers should operate within the pressure variation of acceptable limit. To ensure such operation pressure regulators may be used particularly where excessive variation of pressure occurs due to sloping land and excessive pressure-head losses. This is also important when the pressure head at the water source fluctuate constantly.
Connectors: Flanges, couplings and nipples are used for suitably connect the pump and suction pipes.
The following are the illustration and description of some of the fittings used in sprinkler system by the manufacturer Rungta Irrigation Ltd., Delhi

Main line Coupler: To join two pipes together with the help of a hook and clamp assembly.

Sprinkler Coupler: To join two pipes together. Has a vertical outlet of $3 / 4$ " or $1^{\prime \prime}$ BSP and a flat base with two holes for holding a batten. The vertical outlet is provided for fixing sprinkler riser pipes.


Sprinkler Adapter: This is similar to Sprinkler Coupler having a vertical outlet for 1 " or $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ BSP. It is an independent fitting and can be used anywhere in the pipeline.

Screwed Coupler (Female): One end has an external BSP thread for joining G.I. Socket and the other end is a female coupler for joining aluminium pipe.

Screwed Coupler (Male): Provided with BSP thread (external) on one side and male coupler on the other end. Used for connecting aluminum pipe to G.I. pipe/Hose pipe.
Flanged Coupler (Female): Provided with a standard flange on one side for joining the pump flange. The other end is female coupler for joining aluminium pipe.

Flanged Coupler (Male): Provided with a standard flange on one side and male coupler on the other side for joining aluminium pipe.


Reducer Coupler: Fixed to female end of a coupler to reduce the size to next smaller size of pipe.


Increaser Coupler: Fixed to female end toincrease the size to next higher size of pipe.


Bend-900: This is used for taking a turn in the pipeline at 900 angles and has a female coupler and is reversible so as to be left or right hand side.


Reducer Bend-900: This is used for joining bigger size pipe to next smaller size pipe at 900 angle. This has bigger size male end and smaller size female end. It is also reversible so as to be left or right hand side.

Bend $-\mathbf{4 5}$ ". This is used for taking a turn in pipe line at 450 angle and has a female coupler and is reversible so as to be at left or right hand side.

Adjustable Bend: Has a male end on one side to fit inside the female end of a coupler and with a female end on the others side which is adjustable by hand to any angle between 00 to 900 .


Side Tee: This is used for joining laterals with the main line on left or right hand side.

##  <br> Reducer Tee: This is used for joining laterals of next smaller size with the main line on left or right hand side.



Male End Coupler: These male end couplers are used for high-pressure heavy-duty installations. These are fitted on the pipe end in place of the clamp and hook normally supplied with couplers. Male end couplers are supplied against specific orders only.


End Plug or End Stop: To close the female end of the last pipe of mainline or lateral line.


Riser Outlet Tee: Connects into pipelines, one end has male coupler and the other end has female coupler, provided with vertical riser outlet of 75 mm (normal dia) to which riser outlet connector coupler can be fitted.


Main Line Valve Coupler-Heavy Duty: Joins two pipes together, has 75 mm or 100 mm outlets and heavy-duty adjustable valve. Lateral line is connected to outlet of valve opener. All steel parts are galvanized to protect against corrosion. Valve opener is used to operate the valve.


Insert Valve Coupler-Heavy Duty: This is identical to main line valve coupler but instead of permanently fitted to the aluminium pipe, is provided with a male end coupler to facilitate independent use anywhere in the pipeline like any other fitting.


Riser Outlet Connector: This is used with Riser Outlet Tee for connecting a riser pipe of dia.

Valve Opener-Heavy Duty: Has an inlet part to fit over the outlet in the main line valve coupler or hydrant screwed or inserts valve coupler, which is held on by two hooks that automatically engage with the outlet and can be disengaged by hand. The valve opener will rotate on the outlet through 900. A hand wheel is attached to the spindle so that by turning the wheel one can open and close the valve and adjust it to any position in between.

Hydrant Screwed-Heavy Duty: Has an outlet valve assembly like main line valve coupler and at the base, has an inlet connection of BSP internal thread or flange. Valve opener is used to operate hydrant.
Sleeve Coupler: This used for low pressure application. It makes a leak proof
joint between the pipes and is generally used for suction line of the pump set.
ball outlet can be adjusted in various directions at an angle to the inlet. Inlet
has BSP INTERNAL THREAD CONNECTION for fixing a G.I. nipple and
the outlet has internal thread connection.


Groove Clamp Tee: this Tee has all the three ends grooved to fit clamp couplers.

Groove Clamp 45 ${ }^{0}$ Bend: This bend having both ends grooved to fit clamp coupler.

Groove Clamp End Stop: To close the ends of groove clamp pipes.

Groove Clamp Reducer/Increaser: This fitting can be used for reducing or increasing and is used with groove pipes. Both the ends are grooved so that clamp couplers can be fitted.

Sprinklers: Available in various models discharge ranging from 0.79 gpm to 250 gpm and diameter of spray ranging from 7 ft to 307 ft , having excellent uniformity of application.

Garden Stand: Manufactured from MS rod, duly painted for protection
against corrosion provided with GI elbow and nipple for connecting rubber hose pipe for irrigating gardens or lawns.

Quick Riser Coupler: It has an automatic on/off valve and is used with an adopter to operate. This aluminum body part is fitted to the adopter.


Riser Pipe with Tripod Stand: It has a GI riser pipe and an adjustable tripod stand to support. One end of the riser pipe is connected to the sprinkler coupler through BJRC/BVC/QRC and a sprinkler is fitted on the top end of the riser pipe.

Batten: Manufactured from GI sheet for fixing to the flat base of sprinkler coupler. This is used when the height of the riser pipe is less than 36 for stability.

## Principle of operation of a rotating impact sprinkler

The rotating impact sprinkler has almost versatile use. It is single or double nozzle and may be used in semi-portable, portable and solid-set system and even to some movable machine. It can operate under wide variation of operating pressure, discharges, spacing and application rates to suit the requirements of different crops and soils.

## Principles of sprinkler operation

The water jet ejected from the nozzle of the sprinkler break down in to different diameter droplets over an area around each sprinkler. The principle of sprinkler application of water is to apply uniformly the calculated depth of water at a predetermined rate. The distribution pattern is the representation of water depths in different points within the area of coverage. This can be measured by collecting the water in the cans spaced evenly in the area of application. The distribution pattern is symmetrical at no or light wind condition but get disturbed under high wind. The characteristic distribution pattern of the sprinklers is generally provided by the manufacturers under no wind condition. The spray distribution characteristic of a sprinkler varies much with nozzle size and operating pressure. At lower pressures, the droplets are larger and fall away from the sprinkler. At higher pressures, the droplets are much finer and fall near the sprinkler. Finer particles are susceptible to excessive wind-drift and evaporation. Thus, in case of much variation of pressure, either low or high, there is great scope of poor application uniformity though the sprinklers are spaced properly. This necessitates the appropriate design to ensure the suitable pressure in every point in the field.
Distribution pattern: The typical distribution pattern of single and double nozzle sprinklers are trapezoidal and triangular respectively as shown in Fig.8.7.


Fig. 8.7 Characteristic water distribution pattern of sprinkler
The probable distribution pattern in respect of depth of water and distance from the sprinkler under favourable and windy conditions are shown in
Fig. 8.8. Since the water application rate of sprinkler is always less or equal to intake rate of soil the applied water is taken to be absorbed at the point of application.


Fig. 8.8 Probable distribution pattern of rotating sprinkler
The uniformity of water application may be expressed by a uniformity coefficient or to percentage. The uniformity coefficient may be acceptable when it is equal or higher than assumed value. From the Fig. 8.8 it is observed that depth of water is progressively diminishing towards away from the sprinkler. Therefore, to achieve acceptable distribution uniformity there must be overlapping of water application from the adjacent sprinklers. The degree of overlapping depends on the characteristics distribution pattern of the sprinkler and which in turn depends on sprinkler type, nozzle diameter, operating pressure and wind condition.
Usually less overlap is required for sprinklers that provide more or less trapezoidal distribution pattern than the triangular pattern. In general, the rectangular arrangement of sprinklers provide wider spacing between the sprinklers, the distance between the laterals is about $60-65 \%$ and $70-75 \%$ for triangular and trapezoidal respectively and the spacing between the sprinklers on the lateral is equivalent to about $40 \%$ of the wetted diameter are expected to produce an acceptable distribution uniformity. However, this recommendation may be considered as a guide only. The basis of spacing of sprinklers to be decided following the manufacturer's catalogs. It is better to conduct the test to know the exact distribution pattern of the sprinklers. Benami (1984) has suggested that spacing between the laterals to be shortening by $10 \%$ when the laterals are set at right angle to the direction of wind.

## Field test for rotating head sprinklers

The field test is conducted to evaluate the uniformity of distribution from sprinklers for a given sprinkler and nozzle(s), pressure head, spacing and climatic condition. Several field tests are required with the same sprinklers under varying size of nozzles, pressure head and spacing to have the reference of sprinklers performances. The tests to be conducted at varying level of wind condition often the sprinklers are subjected to.
The rain gauges, which are usually the ordinary can of 1 litre are used to collect the water from the sprinklers. The wetted area around the sprinklers is divided in to squares of small area and at the center of each of it the cans are placed. The size of these small squares depends on the spacing of sprinklers or diameter of coverage. If the test is conducted in a crop field the cans to be raised over the crop height with the suitable support. The test runs of the sprinklers to be for sufficient time and approximately one-half of the planned time of irrigation. The spacing of cans are generally 2 m in each direction for common rotating sprinklers spaced about 10 m apart, 3 m when sprinklers spacing are larger, and 1 m for sprinkler of low flow-rate.
The lateral to be equipped with valve and pressure regulator to have close the sprinklers and varying the pressures. In a single sprinkler test for evaluating its pattern of application and uniformity around, it is to be placed in the center of the test area and the cans are placed in center of the square-grid pattern surrounding the sprinkler on all sides (Fig.8.9). The boundary of the water application can be drawn by interpolation from the water received in the cans.


Fig. 8.9 Water cans and sprinkler arrangement for testing of a single sprinkler

## Uniformity coefficient

The degree of uniformity of application of water in the wetted area of a sprinkler determines how effectively the application is made. Pressure-nozzle size relation, sprinkler spacing and the wind condtion influence the uniformity of application. The coefficient of uniformity may be computed by the depths of water caught in the cans placed at regular interval in the area of sprinkling. It may be expressed by the following equation as suggested by Christiansen (Michael, 1978):

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{u}}=100\left(1.0-\frac{\sum x}{m n}\right) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{u}}=$ uniformity coefficient in percent
$\mathrm{m}=$ average rate of water application, mm
$\mathrm{n}=$ total number of observation, n
$\mathrm{x}=$ numerical deviation of individual observation from the average application rate, mm .
The sprinklers are in use are few in number in each laterals at equal space and the laterals are placed in parallel in each other in set. Since the application of water from an individual sprinkler decreases outer wards, the application of sprinklers requires overlapping from every direction at certain extent for better application efficiency. For the purpose of test usually there will be overlapping of four sprinklers in rectangular arrangement. However, the square or triangular arrangement also can be made. In field practice, by operating the sprinklers and taking some probable spacing between the laterals $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ the application rates at different grid points in between the two opposite sprinklers may be observed. With the certain overlapping, the application rate may have maximum uniformity. Having this fixed, the spacing of the sprinklers on the laterals $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ to be varied and the uniformity of application to be examined. At certain overlapping there will be a best uniformity. The best spacing of sprinklers at certain pressure and other conditions may also to be determined by using the performance of the individual sprinkler. Assuming the sprinklers with certain spacing between the laterals $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and along the laterals $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ at four corners of the rectangular arrangements, superimpose the identical pattern of each of the sprinklers so that the small squares around the boundaries are superimposed nicely to one upon another. The accumulated application of water at each superimposed square can be readily calculated. This will provide an approximation to the distribution of water application bounded by the sprinklers. A few trials may be required to arrive at the best approximation.
The loss of water in the form of evaporation during the process of sprinkling can be determined by measuring the discharge from the sprinkler head at the operating pressure. Pressure can be measured by using a pressure gauge fixed with a pitot tube. The pressure and discharge of the sprinkler head, on either side of the nozzles are measured before and after the test run. The pitot tube is inserted in to the nozzle to measure the pressure (Fig.8.10). The discharge is measured by allowing the discharge of the sprinkler nozzle to a container by connecting through a flexible tube for a specified period. The difference between the discharge received in the container and the discharge recorded in the catch cans for the same specific time period denotes the evaporation loss of that period.
The application rate of the sprinklers should be such that the applied water in the soil should disappear from the surface before the next application. Thus, the rate of application is always less or equal to infiltration rate of the soil. There should not be any runoff or movement of water over the ground. The movement of water over the ground indicates excess of water application. The infiltration rate in the soil is higher at the beginning and it decrease with the advancement of time and reaches to basic infiltration arte. The
sprinkler operation is also takes place for few hours. Therefore, to ensure the instant infiltration of water, the infiltration rate after few hour of water application to be used in designing sprinkler operation.


Courtesy: INCID (1998)


Courtesy: Michael (1985)
Fig. 8.10 Measurement of sprinkler nozzle pressure
Example 8.1 Determine the coefficient of uniformity in application of water from the following data as obtained in a field test on a square plot bounded by sprinklers. The depth of water is measured in millimeter.


Solution: The computation of for coefficient of uniformity is shown in tabular form as below:

| Observation Frequency | Application rate x <br> frequency | Numerical <br> deviations | Frequency x <br> deviations |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15.6 | 1 | 15.6 | 2.005 | 2.005 |
| 15.4 | 1 | 15.4 | 1.855 | 1.855 |
| 15.1 | 1 | 15.1 | 1.555 | 1.555 |
| 14.9 | 1 | 14.9 | 1.355 | 1.355 |
| 14.8 | 2 | 29.6 | 1.255 | 2.510 |
| 14.7 | 2 | 29.4 | 1.155 | 2.310 |
| 14.6 | 2 | 29.2 | 1.055 | 2.110 |
| 14.5 | 1 | 14.5 | 0.955 | 0.955 |


| 14.4 | 1 | 14.4 | 0.855 | 0.855 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.3 | 2 | 28.6 | 0.755 | 1.510 |
| 14.2 | 2 | 28.4 | 0.655 | 1.310 |
| 14.1 | 2 | 28.2 | 0.555 | 1.110 |
| 14.0 | 3 | 42.0 | 0.455 | 1.365 |
| 13.9 | 4 | 39.6 | 0.355 | 1.420 |
| 13.8 | 1 | 13.8 | 0.255 | 0.225 |
| 13.6 | 3 | 40.8 | 0.055 | 0.165 |
| 13.5 | 1 | 13.5 | 0.045 | 0.045 |
| 13.1 | 1 | 13.1 | 0.445 | 0.445 |
| 13.0 | 2 | 26.0 | 0.545 | 1.090 |
| 12.9 | 2 | 25.8 | 0.645 | 1.290 |
| 12.7 | 1 | 12.7 | 0.845 | 0.845 |
| 12.3 | 1 | 12.3 | 1.245 | 1.245 |
| 11.8 | 1 | 11.8 | 1.745 | 1.745 |
|  | $\Sigma=38$ | $\Sigma=514.7$, Mean=13.545 |  | $\Sigma=29.32$ |

$C_{u}=100\left(1.0-\frac{\sum x}{m n}\right)$
$=100\left(1.0-\frac{29.32}{514.7}\right)$
$=100(1.0-0.056)$
$=94.30 \%$

### 8.5 Design of Sprinkler Irrigation System

The objective of design of a sprinkler irrigation system is to achieve the maximum irrigation efficiency at low annual operation and maintenance cost. The design principles take the accounts of crop water requirements, soil types, topography, source of water, water quantity and quality, labour, economics and future scope for expansion. The steps of design are discussed below.

## 1. Inventory of the area

a. Map of the are a: It is necessary to have a map of the area in scale so that the dimension of the area is understood as well as the probable lengths of mains and laterals of the sprinkler system. The map also shows the important objects around the field viz., roads, buildings, drainage channel, etc.
b. Topography: The map should have the contour lines in it at suitable contour interval to understand the undulation and slopes of the fields. The elevations of the important points like the location of the pump, water supply, elevation of ends of mains and laterals etc., to be delineated on the map.
c. Climate: The rainfall, temperature, evaporation, wind velocity, radiation intensity and humidity are required to know the consumptive use of the crop. The sprinkler system is designed on the basis of average of daily peak consumptive use rates in summer season assuming irrigation is done daily or alternate day.
d. Water source-quantity, quality and period of availability: The sprinkler system is designed to meet up the water requirements of selected crops at its maximum consumptive use as well the seasonal and annual requirements. The quality of water should be such that it should not have any corrosive effect to the equipments. The water used in the sprinkler system should be relatively clean and the level of suspended particles should be such that the sprinkler lines and
nozzles do not get clogged. Off course, the type of crops and soil type determine the limit of chemicals permissible in irrigation water.
e. Depth of irrigation: The net depth of water may be calculated by using the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I R_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(M_{\text {fat }}-M_{b t}\right) A_{s} D_{i}}{100} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $I R_{n}=$ net irrigation requirement, cm
$M_{f c i}=$ field capacity of the soil in the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ layer, percent
$M_{b i}=$ moisture content in the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ layer at the time of irrigation, percent
$A_{S}=$ apparent specific gravity of soil
$D_{i}=$ depth of the it $^{\text {th }}$ soil layer in root zone depth, cm
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of soil layer in root zone depth.
The gross depth of water application may be calculated by dividing the net depth with the application efficiency.
f. Crops grown: Each crop has its own characteristics in respect of root zone depth, peak consumptive use rate and its time of occurrence. The depth of water application and availability of water at the critical periods are judged in respect to the crops.
g. Irrigation interval: The irrigation interval is the time period in days between two successive irrigations. It may be calculated by using the following formula:
Irrigation interval, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}($ days $)=\frac{\text { Depth of irrigation }(\mathrm{cm})}{\text { Peak rate of daily consumptiveuse }(\mathrm{cm} / \text { day })}$
The irrigation interval is designed on the basis depth of water application. However, when the root system is at developing stage the irrigation interval in practice reduces and proportionately the depth of irrigation water.
h. Application rate of water: The discharges from the sprinklers to be determined based on the soil characteristics and land slope. The rate of application should not exceed the infiltration capacity of the soil. If it exceeds the infiltration capacity it will cause runoff resulting poor distribution of water, loss of water and soil erosion. The exact limiting value of the infiltration rate for particular field situation to be determined through experimentation. However, the values suggested in Table 8.7 for different soil conditions may be used when reliable data are lacking.
Table 8.7 Suggested maximum applications rates for sprinklers for average soil, slope and tilt

| Soil texture and profile | $0-5 \%$ slope <br> $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{h}$ | $5-8 \%$ slope <br> $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{h}$ | $8-12 \%$ slope <br> $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{h}$ | $12-16 \%$ slope <br> $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Coarse sandy soil to 2 m | 5.0 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 1.3 |
| 2. Coarse sandy soils over more <br> compact soils | 3.7 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| 3. Light sandy loams to 2m <br> 4. Light sandy loams over more <br> compact soils | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| 5. Silt loams to 2m <br> 6. Silt loam over more compact <br> soils | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 7. Heavy textured clays or clay <br> loams | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

## 2. Selection of sprinkler nozzles and spacing

Normally the sprinkler nozzle should be such that it gives the application rate equal or less than the infiltration rate of the soil. The sprinkler nozzle's catalog provided by the manufacturer shall include its model, size, diameter of throw, application rate and discharge.
The spacing of sprinklers depends on the diameter of throw and wind condition. The uniformity of water application in windy condition depends on the suitable overlapping of water spread area of sprinklers. In general, the overlapping increases with the increase in wind velocity. The Table 8.8 may be used as a guideline for designing the overlapping of sprinklers under different wind conditions. Table $8.9 \& 8.10$ are useful in determining soil moisture availability and depth of irrigation water.
Table 8.8 Maximum spacing of sprinklers under windy condition
Sl. No. Average wind speed Spacing

| 1. | No wind | $65 \%$ of the diameter of the water spread area of a sprinkler |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | $0-6.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $60 \%$, |
| 3. | $6.5-13 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $50 \%$, |
| 4. | Above $13 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $30 \%$, |

Source: Michael (1978)
Table 8.9 Water holding capacity of different soils

| Soil | Moisture percent on dry wt. basis |  | Depth of available water $(\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{m}$ depth of <br> soil $)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Field <br> capacity | Permanent wilting <br> point | $2-4$ |
| Fine sand | $3-5$ | $1-5$ | $4-11$ |
| Sandy | $5-15$ | $3-8$ |  |
| loam |  |  | $6-13$ |
| Silt loam | $12-18$ | $6-10$ | $10-18$ |
| Clay loam | $15-30$ | $7-16$ | $16-30$ |
| Clay | $25-40$ | $12-20$ |  |

Source: Michael (1978)
Table 8.10 Effective root zone depth of some common crops (grown on very deep, well drained soils)

| Shallow rooted | Moderately deep rooted | Deep rooted | Very deep rooted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth of root zone |  |  |  |
| 60 cm | 90 cm | 120 cm | 180 cm |
| Rice | Wheat | Maize | Sugarcane |
| Potato | Tobaco | Cotton | Citrus |
| Cauliflower | Castor | Sorghum | Apple |
| Cabbage | Groundnut | Pearl millet | Grapevine |
| Lettuce | Muskmelon | Soybean | Safflower |

## Bean

## Chilli

Source: Michael (1978)
Application rate of sprinkler ( $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{h}$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{o} & =\frac{360 \times \text { disch arge of nozzle }(\text { lps })}{(\text { nozzle spacing }, m) x(\text { lateral spacing, } m)} \\
& =\frac{360 x q}{S_{l} \times S_{m}}=\frac{360 q}{A} \tag{8.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Alternately, assuming the infiltration capacity of the soil as the maximum permissible rate of application of water,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{S_{l} x S_{m} x I}{360} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{S}_{1}=$ spacing of sprinklers along the laterals, m
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ spacing of laterals along the main, m
$\mathrm{I}=$ infiltration capacity of the soil, $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{h}$
Time needed for applying required depth of irrigation (hours),

$$
T=\frac{\text { depth of inrigation }(\mathrm{cm})}{\text { application rate of sprinkler nozzle }(\mathrm{cm} / h)}
$$

Number of shifting of sprinkler system per day (n),

$$
n=\frac{\text { duration of pumping }(h / \text { day })}{T+\text { time for each shifting }(h)}
$$

Area to be irrigated per day (ha/day), $A_{1}=\frac{\text { total area to beirrigated }(\text { ha })}{\text { irrigation int erval }(\text { days })}$
Area to be irrigated per shift, $A_{2}=\frac{A_{1}}{n}$
Number of nozzle per shift $=\frac{A_{2}}{\text { area covered per nozzle }}$

## 3. Capacity of the sprinkler system

The capacity of the sprinkler system depends on the size of the area to be irrigated, irrigation interval, time of each irrigation and the gross depth of water application. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=2780 \frac{A x d}{I_{t} x H x E} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{Q}=$ discharge capacity of the pump, $1 / \mathrm{s}$
$A=$ area to be irrigated (the entire field), ha
$\mathrm{d}=$ net depth of water applied, cm
$I_{i}=$ irrigation interval, days
$\mathrm{H}=$ time in hours per irrigation
$\mathrm{E}=$ water application efficiency, percent
Example 8.2 Calculate the sprinkler system capacity from the following data:
Number of laterals in the main $=2$
Length of each lateral $=150 \mathrm{~m}$

Spacing of the sprinklers in the lateral $=12 \mathrm{~m}$
Spacing between the lateral lines $=16 \mathrm{~m}$
Water application rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{h}$
Solution: Using the Eq.8.4, $=\frac{S_{t} x S_{m} x I}{360}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{12 \times 16 \times 1.0}{360} \\
& =0.53 \mathrm{l} / \text { /sprinkler }
\end{aligned}
$$

Number of sprinkler in each lateral $=\frac{150 \mathrm{~m}}{12 \mathrm{~m}}=12.5 \cong 13$
System capacity $=$ discharge of each sprinkler $x$ number of sprinklers

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =0.531 / \mathrm{s} \times 13 \times 2 \\
& =13.861 / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 8.3 A farmer has a portable sprinkler system of one lateral of length 200 m , sprinklers are spaced 12 m on the lateral and spacing of lateral lines are 15 m , the sprinklers sprays water at the rate of $1.5 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{h}$. The farmer likes to irrigate his 5 ha wheat field with 6 cm water. Assuming 9 hours working day, determine the days required to complete the irrigation and the discharge from each sprinkler. Allow 30 minutes for each shifting of the system.

## Solution:

$5 \mathrm{ha}=5 \times 10000=50000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Let the size of the field $=250 \mathrm{~m} \times 200 \mathrm{~m}$
Time for 6 cm application of water $=\frac{6 \mathrm{~cm}}{1.5 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{h}}=4 \mathrm{~h}$
Time for irrigation and shifting for each setting $=4 \mathrm{~h}+0.5 \mathrm{~h}=4.5 \mathrm{~h}$
No. of shifting per day $=\frac{9}{4.5}=2$
Each setting covers the entire width of the field and lengthwise 15 m .
Therefore, number of shifting required $=\frac{250}{15}=16.67 \cong 17$
No. of days required to irrigate the field $=\frac{17}{2}=8.5$
The area covered by each sprinkler $=12 \mathrm{~m} \times 15 \mathrm{~m}=180 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Volume of water discharges by each sprinkler in 1 hour $=180 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 1.5 \mathrm{~cm}=2700$ liters
Sprinkler discharge rate $=\frac{2700}{3600}=0.75 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$
Example 8.4 Fifteen sprinklers with twin nozzle of $5 \mathrm{~mm} \& 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter each with coefficient of discharge 0.96 are operating at $2.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ pressure. The sprinkler spacing is 12 mx 16 m . the consumptive use rate for a particular crop is 6 mm per day and irrigation interval is 10 days. Determine the (i) discharge of sprinkler, (ii) total capacity of the sprinkler system, and (iii) time of operation of sprinkler system at $75 \%$ efficiency (GATE, 1999).

Solution:
i. Discharge from the sprinkler $=C_{d} a \sqrt{2 g h}=0.96 x \pi\left(\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{4}+\frac{d_{2}^{2}}{4}\right) \sqrt{2 \times 9.81 \times 25}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =0.96 x \pi\left(\frac{0.000025+0.000016}{4}\right) \times 22.147 \\
& =0.0006846 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}=2.466 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{h}=0.685 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}=2464 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

ii. System capacity $=0.6851 / \mathrm{s} \times 15=10.2751 / \mathrm{s}$
iii. Application rate of sprinklers $=\frac{2.466 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{h}}{12 \mathrm{~m} \times 16 \mathrm{~m}}=1.28 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{h}$

Depth of each application $=6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 10=6 \mathrm{~cm}$
Time of operation at $75 \%$ efficiency $=\frac{6 \mathrm{~cm}}{1.28 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{h} 0.75}=6.25 \mathrm{~h}$

Example 8.5 Design the sprinkler system capacity for irrigating a 10 ha field assuming the consumptive use rate as $5 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day, maximum depth of application of water in one irrigation is 5 cm , allowable leisure period within the irrigation interval is 2 days, efficiency of irrigation is $85 \%$, and maximum operating period of the system in a day is 18 hours.

## Solution:

Irrigation interval $=\frac{5 \mathrm{~cm}}{0.5 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{day}}=10 \mathrm{days}$
Irrigation period $=10$ days -2 days $=8$ days
Using the Eq.8.5, $Q=2780 \frac{A x d}{I_{i} x H x E}$
$=2780 \frac{10 \times 5}{8 \times 18 \times 85}=11.36 l / \mathrm{s}$
Example 8.6 Design the sprinkler irrigation system capacity for 15 ha field under the following condition:
Soil $=$ clay loam
Crop = cereals
Field slope $=$ negligible
Duration of operation $=15 \mathrm{~h} /$ day .
Efficiency of the system $=80 \%$
Consumptive use $=0.5 \mathrm{~cm} /$ day
Assume any other data if necessary.

## Solution:

From the Table 8.9 the average field capacity of the soil $=14 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{m}$
From Table 8.10 for cereal crops the effective root zone depth $=120 \mathrm{~cm}$
Available soil moisture $=\frac{14 \times 120}{100}=16.8 \mathrm{~cm}$
Let the irrigation is given at $50 \%$ depletion of available soil moisture.
Depth of water application $=16.8 / 2=8.4 \mathrm{~cm}$
Irrigation period $=8.4 \mathrm{~cm} / 0.5 \mathrm{~cm}=16.8$ days $@ 17$ days
Using the Eq.8.5, $Q=2780 \frac{A x d}{I_{i} x H x E}$

$$
=2780 \frac{15 \times 8.4}{17 \times 15 \times 80}
$$

$$
=2780 \times 6.17 \times 10^{-3}=17.1 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}
$$

## 4. Type of system and layout

The different type of sprinkler systems has been discussed earlier. The performances of the rotational head sprinklers are also described in Table 8.6. With these references, the sprinkler type and system may be determined. Next, the location of the pump and orientation of the mains and laterals are fixed based on the operating pressure, application rates, crop requirements and availability of labor.
The location of the pump is usually fixed with the location of source of water. In sprinkler irrigation the water is mostly obtained from tube well or open well source within the field. However, the river water source is also in use. If the situation permits, the point of highest elevation or middle of the field may be best choice for the location of the pump. This is because of using the advantage of elevation for distribution of water or to minimize the distances the water flows from the pump. The main always connected with the pump and its direction is determined by the location of source of water with the field. In case the existing underground pipelines are used, the portable pump unit is connected to the hydrants mounted on the pipe outlets. When the system is associated with permanent pumping unit and buried pipe lines, the pipe lines are usually run down the center of the field such that there will be little scope of hindrance to farm operations and movement of farm equipments. The mains of the sprinkler system follow the steepest slope and the laterals are at right angle thereto to have uniform pressures in the laterals and thereby the uniform rate of discharges. The design principle is thus putting the laterals on level surface. The variation of slope along the direction of lateral for a considerable length causes great difficulties for maintaining uniformity in application.
There may be many possible arrangements of mains, laterals and sprinklers. The best one should have the minimum cost of
operation without compromising the continuous quality service. However, the choice is greatly influenced by the type and capacities of the sprinklers and their operating pressures. The availability of labour and the adverse conditions that to be encountered should get due consideration in selecting the arrangement.

## 5. Hydraulic design of sprinkler system

It is desired that the sprinkler irrigation system should maintain the uniformity in irrigation coverage at desired rate of application, the break-up of water drops should be such that it will cause minimum deterioration to soil structures and overall it runs efficiently by spending the minimum energy to cover the maximum area. The important hydraulic principles are given below.
Discharge of sprinkler nozzle: The discharge through the sprinkler nozzle may be computed by the following orifice formula as suggested by Torecelli (Michael, 1978):

$$
\begin{align*}
& q=\mathrm{CaV} \\
& =\mathrm{Ca} \sqrt{2 g h} \tag{8.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{q}=$ sprinkler nozzle discharge, $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
$a=$ cross-section area of nozzle, $m^{2}$
$\mathrm{h}=$ pressure head at the nozzle, m
$\mathrm{g}=$ acceleration due to gravity, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$
$C_{d}=$ Coefficient of discharge ( $C_{d}$ usually varies from 0.95 to 0.96 ).
Water spread are a of sprinkler: The area of coverage by a rotating head sprinkler may be estimated by using the formula suggested by Cavazza (Michael, 1978):

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=1.35 \sqrt{d h} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{R}=$ radius of the wetted area covered by the sprinkler, m
d = diameter of nozzle, mm
$h=$ pressure head at the nozzle, $m$
The best coverage is attained when the sprinklers are set at an angle $30-32^{0}$ from the horizontal. The most of the sprinklers are standardized at $30^{\circ}$.
Break-up of jet: The proper break-up of jet is important for uniform coverage of area and to protect the soil structures on the surface. The low pressure than the standard causes to form large drops and higher throw and the drops fall with higher velocity. The high velocity drops have great adverse impact on deterioration of soil structures. High-pressure in nozzle breaks the jet at finer drops cannot have the desired throw. There is also scope of excess loss of water through evaporation. Therefore, there should be some sort of compromise among the distance of throw, uniformity of coverage, and effect on the soil surface. The following empirical formula suggested by Tanda (Michael, 1978), which provides the index of jet break-up:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d}=\frac{h}{(10 q)^{0.4}} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ index for jet break-up
$\mathrm{h}=$ pressure head at nozzle, m
$\mathrm{q}=$ sprinkler discharge, $1 / \mathrm{s}$
It is found that if $P_{d}$ is greater than 2 the drop size is good; if 4, drop size is best; and if more than 4 the pressure is wasted.
Example 8.7 A sprinkler discharges at the rate of $0.751 / \mathrm{s}$. Determine the quality of spray and radius of spray if the diameter of nozzle is 3.96 mm and operating at pressure $2.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$.

## Solution:

Pressure head $=2.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}=20 \mathrm{~m}$ of water
Using the Eq.6.8, break-up of jet, $P_{d}=\frac{h}{(10 q)^{0.4}}$
$=\frac{20}{(10 x 0.75)^{0.4}}$

$$
=\frac{20}{(7.5)^{0.4}}=\frac{20}{2.23}=8.93
$$

The $P_{d}$ value is more than 4. Therefore, the sprinkler operates under high pressure causing wasting of energy.
Using the Eq.8.7, radius of spray, $R=1.35 \sqrt{d h}$

## Design of sprinkler late rals

The sprinkler laterals have equally spaced sprinklers along its length as if a pipe with evenly spaced multiple outlets. The flow decreases as it advances along the direction of flow and resulting the decreased pressure or the head loss in pipe-sections between successive outlets gradually towards the downstream. Thus, the friction loss in a lateral pipe is much less than the pipe of same length if the total flow is carried to the entire length of the pipe. The Fig.8.11 depicts the hydraulic grade line in a lateral over a level surface. It is assumed that the average operating pressure is located about $2 / 5^{\text {th }}$ (for simplicity it can be assumed at the middle) of the lateral downstream from the inlet and at this point the head loss is $3 / 4^{\text {th }}$ of the total head loss.


Fig. 8.11 Hydraulic grade lines with and without outlets along the lateral
Let the head loss, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$, along a lateral of diameter d and n number of sprinklers spaced at $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ on the lateral. Let the sprinkler at the farthest end (no.1) of the lateral discharges at the rate $q_{1}$ at pressure $H_{1}$. Therefore, the head loss in between the section of the sprinkler of farthest end (no.1) and next to that (no.2) is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{f}(1-2)=J \frac{S_{l}}{100} \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{J}=$ head loss in 100 m length of the aluminum or plastic lateral obtained from tables, nomographs or equations. The pressure head at sprinkler 2 is,

$$
H_{2}=H_{1}+H_{f}(1-2)
$$

The discharges through the nozzle is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=C_{d} a \sqrt{2 g H} \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{q}=$ sprinkler discharge, $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$
$C_{d}=$ coefficient of discharge
$\mathrm{a}=$ cross-sectional area of sprinkler nozzle, $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\mathrm{H}=$ operating pressure head, m
Assuming the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ constant at all discharges from the sprinkler nozzle,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{q_{2}}{q_{1}}=\frac{C_{d} a \sqrt{2 g H_{2}}}{C_{d} a \sqrt{2 g H_{1}}}=\sqrt{\frac{H_{2}}{H_{1}}}=\sqrt{\frac{H_{1}+H_{f}(1-2)}{H_{1}}}  \tag{8.11}\\
& \text { or, } q_{2}=q_{1} \sqrt{1+\frac{H_{f}(1-2)}{H_{1}}} \tag{8.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, $H_{3}=H_{2}+H_{f}(2-3)=H_{1}+H_{f}(1-2)+H_{f}(2-3)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{3}=q_{1} \sqrt{1+\frac{H_{f}(1-2)+H_{f}(2-3)}{H_{1}}} \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step by step this procedure may be repeated till the sprinkler in $m$ upstream $(\mathrm{m}<\mathrm{n})$ when $H_{f}=\left(H_{m}-H_{1}\right) \geq H_{v}$, where $H_{v}$ is the maximum permissible variation of pressure. When $H_{f}=\left(H_{n}-H_{1} \leq H_{v}\right.$, the n number of sprinklers can be safely used. If the situation is so, the length of the lateral may be shortened or the diameter may be increased to accommodate the pressure variation within the permissible limit. In case, when $H_{n}$ and $H_{1}$ are known, the $q_{n}$ and $q_{1}$ are also known. The is become the water at the inlet of the lateral $\left(Q_{n}\right)$. The average discharge through the sprinklers may be calculated by dividing the total flow in lateral $\left(Q_{n}\right)$ by n . Thus the average pressure in the lateral may be determined by,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{q_{a}}{q_{1}}=\sqrt{\frac{H_{a}}{H_{1}}}  \tag{8.15}\\
& \text { or, } H_{a}=H_{1}\left(\frac{q_{a}}{q_{1}}\right)^{2} \tag{6.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the sprinkler discharges at pressure may be selected from the catalogs provided by manufacturers of sprinkler.
The local head loss due to aluminum quick-couplers with no outlets is considered negligible. However, when the pipe lengths do not match with the sprinkler spacing, the quick-couplers are installed in between the sprinklers; the head loss cannot be ignored. Head loss due to 'saddles' used in plastic laterals is also negligible. However, the head loss in pressure regulating, low flow rate sprinklers or 'spitters' is not negligible. The local head loss usually remains $6-7 \%$ and do not exceed $10 \%$.
The procedure of calculating the head loss in lateral as described in Eq. 8.9-8.16 is tedious and time consuming. Christiansen (Benami \& Often, 1984) proposed a simple method, which calculates the head loss in lateral by assuming the same discharge through all the sprinklers. The computation of head loss by this way also gives most approximate result.
Thus, Thus, $\frac{Q_{n}}{n}=q_{1}=q_{2}=\cdots=q_{n}=q_{a}$
The head in a pipe with the diameter D , discharge Q and length L can be determined by the equation (following Darcey-Weisbach),

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{f}=\frac{K L Q^{r}}{D^{2 r+1}} \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The head loss in pipe section from first to second sprinkler from the tail end,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{f}(1-2)=\frac{K S_{i} q^{r}}{D^{2 r+1}} \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The head loss in pipe section between sprinklers 2 to 3 from the tail end,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{f}(2-3)=\frac{K S_{i}(2 q)^{r}}{D^{2 r+1}} \tag{8.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the head loss in between the sprinklers n to lateral inlet from the tail end,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{f}(n-i n l e t)=\frac{K S_{l}(n q)^{r}}{D^{2 r+1}} \tag{8.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a level field the sum of the losses in the lateral

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{f}=\frac{K S_{l}(q)^{r}}{D^{2 r+1}}\left(1+2^{r}+\cdots+n^{r}\right)=\frac{K S_{l}(q)^{r}}{D^{2 r+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{r} \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting,, $q_{a}=\frac{Q_{n}}{n}$, and $S_{l}=\frac{L}{n}\left(q=q_{a}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{f}=\frac{K L Q_{n}^{r}}{D^{2 r+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{r+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{r}=\frac{K L Q_{n}^{r}}{D^{2 r+1}} \cdot F \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $F=\frac{1}{n^{r+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{r}$
Thus, it appears that the head loss along a multiple outlet pipe is the product of a coefficient to the head loss of the pipe if the entire flow passes through the outlet at the end of the pipe. The coefficient F depends on the number of outlets, n , along the lateral, the location of the first outlet with respect to inlet and the type of materials used for making the laterals. The value the coefficients are described in Table 8.11 \& 8.12.
The value of coefficient F also can be calculated by using the Christiansen (1942) equation as below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{1}{b+1}+\frac{1}{2 N}+\frac{(b-1)^{0.5}}{6 N^{2}} \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{b}=1.852$
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of sprinklers
Example 8.8 Determine the multiplication factor F for friction losses in a sprinkler lateral of 5 outlets.
Solution: No. of outlets in the lateral, $n=5$. Considering Darcey-Weisbach equation where power of $q=2$ i.e., $r=2$
$F=\frac{1}{n^{r+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{r}=\frac{1}{5^{2+1}}\left[1+2^{2}+3^{2}+4^{2}+5^{2}\right]$
$=\frac{1}{125} \times 55=0.44$
Table 8.11 Coefficient ' $F$ ' for friction loss in aluminum pipes with multiple outlets.
Correction factor F when
Correction factor F when

| No.of <br> sprinkler <br> on <br> laternal | Ist sprinkler is <br> one sprinkler <br> interval from <br> main | Ist sprinkler is <br> $1 / 2$ sprinkler <br> interval from <br> main | Number <br> of <br> Sprinkler <br> laternal | Ist sprinkler is <br> one sprinkler <br> interval from <br> main | Ist sprinkler $1 / 2$ <br> sprinkler <br> interval from <br> main |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 16 | 0.365 | 0.345 |
| 2 | 0.625 | 0.500 | 17 | 0.363 | 0.344 |
| 3 | 0.518 | 0.422 | 18 | 0.361 | 0.343 |
| 4 | 0.469 | 0.393 | 19 | 0.360 | 0.343 |
| 5 | 0.440 | 0.378 | 20 | 0.359 | 0.342 |
| 6 | 0.421 | 0.369 | 22 | 0.357 | 0.341 |
| 7 | 0.408 | 0.363 | 24 | 0.355 | 0.341 |
| 8 | 0.398 | 0.358 | 26 | 0.353 | 0.340 |
| 9 | 0.391 | 0.355 | 28 | 0.351 | 0.340 |
| 10 | 0.385 | 0.353 | 30 | 0.350 | 0.339 |
| 11 | 0.380 | 0.351 | 35 | 0.347 | 0.338 |
| 12 | 0.376 | 0.349 | 40 | 0.345 | 0.338 |
| 13 | 0.373 | 0.348 | 50 | 0.343 | 0.337 |


| 14 | 0.370 | 0.347 | 100 | 0.338 | 0.337 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 0.367 | 0.346 | $>100$ | 0.335 | 0.335 |

Adapted from: Michael (1984)
Table 8.12 Coefficient ' $F$ ' for friction loss in plastic and aluminum pipes with multiple outlets

| n | Plastic lateral, $\mathrm{r}=1.760$ |  |  |  | Aluminum pipe, $\mathrm{r}=1.852$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{3}$ |  |
| 5 | 0.469 | 0.337 | 0.410 | 0.457 | 0.321 | 0.396 |  |
| 10 | 0.415 | 0.350 | 0.384 | 0.402 | 0.336 | 0.371 |  |
| 12 | 0.406 | 0.352 | 0.381 | 0.393 | 0.338 | 0.367 |  |
| 15 | 0.398 | 0.355 | 0.377 | 0.385 | 0.341 | 0.363 |  |
| 20 | 0.389 | 0.357 | 0.373 | 0.376 | 0.343 | 0.360 |  |
| 25 | 0.384 | 0.358 | 0.371 | 0.371 | 0.345 | 0.358 |  |
| 30 | 0.381 | 0.359 | 0.370 | 0.368 | 0.346 | 0.357 |  |
| 40 | 0.376 | 0.360 | 0.368 | 0.363 | 0.347 | 0.355 |  |
| 50 | 0.374 | 0.361 | 0.367 | 0.361 | 0.348 | 0.354 |  |
| 100 | 0.369 | 0.362 | 0.366 | 0.356 | 0.349 | 0.352 |  |
| 200 | 0.366 | 0.363 | 0.365 | 0.353 | 0.350 | 0.352 |  |

Sourcee: Benami \& Ofen (1984)
$F_{1}$ is to be used when the distance from the lateral inlet to the first outlet is $S_{1}$ meters.
$F_{2}$ is to be used when the first outlet is near the lateral inlet.
$F_{3}$ is to be used when the distance from the lateral inlet to the first outlet is $S_{1} / 2$ meters.
The design capacity of the sprinkler is based on average operating pressure. If the friction head loss and the average operating pressure are $H_{f}$ and $H_{a}$ respectively, the operating head at the inlet $H_{n}$ and can be expressed as the following,
$H_{a}=H_{1}+1 / 4 H_{f}+H_{r}$
$H_{n}=H_{a}+3 / 4 H_{f}+H_{r}$
where, $H_{1}=$ pressure at the first sprinkler from the tail end
$H_{r}=$ riser height
If the lateral is placed over the uniform sloping land the Eq. 6.25 is modified as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}=H_{a}+3 / 4 H_{f}+H_{r} \pm \frac{\Delta Z}{2} \tag{8.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $=$ the elevation difference between the ends of the lateral
The positive value of elevation difference is considered when the lateral runs up slope and the negative when down slope. The half of elevation difference is taken as because the average pressure occurs almost at the middle length of the lateral.

## Pressure variation limit

In designing the lateral the maximum pressure variation should not exceed 20 percent and the discharges 10 percent. However, in practice the pressure variation occasionally exceeds to the extent of 30 percent. When the pressure variation exceeds the limit this may be adjusted by increasing the diameter of the lateral or by shortening its length.

In a portable sprinkler irrigation system the irrigation starts from the last setting on the sub main. As the lateral advances in the successive setting along the sub main, the lateral inlet pressure,, gradually increases. This causes to variation of pressure exceeding the allowable 20 percent limit. This problem may be overcome by using the take-off valves at each lateral and controlling it manually. However, this is become impractical when a few laterals are operated simultaneously along a sub main. Therefore, instead of the individual lateral, the set of laterals operated simultaneously along the sub main may be considered for pressure variation. The pressure variation if exceeds the 20 percent between the sub mains or manifolds that can be controlled by using the regulator at the inlet. The diameter of pipes of lateral and sub mains may be selected accordingly.
In the solid set system the pressure variation between the laterals may be allowed to exceed 20 percent if regulators are used to inlet of the laterals. This method provides the advantage of using smaller diameter pipes for laterals and sub mains. However, it requires higher energy at the water source.
In practice, the size of the lateral is generally selected by trial and error procedure taking in to consideration the cost of pipe and the friction losses. Some useful charts and tables are referred to estimate the friction losses for the given discharge, size and length of the lateral and size of the nozzles. The following steps are followed:
Step 1. Select a reasonable diameter of the pipe.
Step 2. Compute the friction loss of the pipe for the given discharge to flow the entire length of pipe without sprinklers/nozzles.
Step 3. Correct the friction loss found in step 2 by the multiplication factor $F$ determined from Table 8.11-12 corresponding to number of sprinklers and location of the first sprinkler with respect to inlet.
Step 4. The friction loss in step 3 is adjusted to $\pm \frac{\Delta Z}{2}$ if the laterals goes uphill or downhill (positive for uphill and negative for downhill).
Step 5. Verify whether the head loss computed in step 4 is within the pressure variation of 20 percent or not. The diameter of the pipe is acceptable if the variation is within this limit. If excess low head loss is found a lower diameter pipe may be tried to save the cost of pipe. If the pressure variation exceeds the limit, the next larger diameter pipe may be tested with the repetition of step 1 to 5 to arrive at the proper selection.
It may be noted that usually the local head losses are ignored; however, when the losses are considerable that should be added in computing the friction loss in the lateral pipe. The friction loss in lateral pipe may also be calculated by Hazen-William (for plastic pipe) and Scobey's equation (for aluminum pipe).
Example 8.9 Compute the head loss of a lateral of length 320 m , diameter 12.5 cm aluminum pipe with couplers and made of 12 m sections, sprinklers spaced 16 m and discharge $1.251 / \mathrm{s}$, and the first sprinkler is at one sprinkler distance from the inlet.

## Solution:

No. of sprinklers on the lateral $=\frac{320 \mathrm{~m}}{16 \mathrm{~m}}=20$
Total flow through the lateral $=20 \times 1.25=25 l / s$
From Appendix H1 the friction loss in a 12.5 cm aluminum pipe for a flow of $251 / \mathrm{s}$ in it $=3.28 \mathrm{~m} / 100 \mathrm{~m}$
In Appendix H (Table $\mathrm{H}-1$ ) it is advised in the footnote that $3 \%$ of the friction loss to be deducted for using 12 m sections. From Table 8.11 the value of when the first sprinkler is set at one sprinkler distance from the main.
Using Hazen-William equation, $J=H_{f}=\frac{h_{f} \times 100}{L}=K\left(\frac{Q}{C}\right)^{1.852} D^{-4.87}$ for 100 m for 100 m pipe.
$=1.212 \times 10^{12}\left(\frac{25}{150}\right)^{1.852} \times 125^{-4.87}=2.69 \mathrm{~m}$
So, the friction loss, $h_{f}=\frac{3.28}{100} \times 320 \times \frac{97}{100} \times 0.359$

$$
=3.65 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Using Christiansen (1942), the multiplication factor $F=\frac{1}{b+1}+\frac{1}{2 N}+\frac{(b-1)^{0.5}}{6 N^{2}}$
Where, $\mathrm{b}=1.852, \mathrm{~N}=20, \therefore F=\frac{1}{1.852+1}+\frac{1}{2 \times 20}+\frac{(20-1)^{0.5}}{6 \times 20^{2}}$
or, $F=\frac{1}{2.852}+\frac{1}{40}+\frac{0.852^{0.5}}{6 \times 400}=0.35+0.025+3.85 \times 10^{-4}=0.375$
Therefore, the head loss $h_{f}=2.69 \times 0.375 \times \frac{320}{100}=3.23 \mathrm{~m}$
Example 8.10 Determine the diameter of the lateral from the following conditions:

Discharge of the sprinkler $=0.51 / \mathrm{s}$
Effective diameter of spray of the sprinkler along the lateral $=16 \mathrm{~m}$
Length of the field along the lateral line $=220 \mathrm{~m}$
Average operating pressure of the sprinkler $=21 \mathrm{~m}$
Pipes are available in the market $=7.5 \mathrm{~cm}, 10.0 \mathrm{~cm}, 12.0 \mathrm{~cm}$.

## Solution:

No. of sprinklers required $=\frac{220}{16}=13.75 \cong 14$
Total flow through the lateral $=0.5 \times 14=7.0 l / s$
The correction factor F for 14 sprinklers is found 0.370 from Table 8.11. From Appendix H (Table H-1) the friction losses are computed as below for 7.01/s discharge.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcc}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Diameter of } \\ \text { pipe, } \mathrm{cm}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Friction loss for } 100 \mathrm{~m} \text { length } \\ \text { of lateral }\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right), \mathrm{m}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Friction loss for 220 } \\ \text { adjusted by the correction factor } \\ =\frac{220}{16}\end{array}=13.75 \cong 14\end{array}\right\}$

The pressure variation of both the pipes is within the permissible limit of 20 percent. Therefore, the low diameter 7.5 cm should be selected.

Example 8.11 A level field of 300 mx 300 m is to be irrigated by portable lateral set made of aluminum pipes and fed from the sub main run through the center of the field. The sprinkler discharges $0.41 / \mathrm{s}$ at average operating head of 28 m and spaced 15 m apart along the lateral. The first sprinkler is located at 7.5 m from the lateral inlet. Design the diameter of the laterals.

## Solution:

Number of sprinklers in a lateral $=\frac{150}{15}=10$
Length of lateral pipe $=150-15 / 2=142.5 \mathrm{~m}$
Discharge through the lateral $=0.41 / \mathrm{s} \times 10=41 / \mathrm{s}$
For 10 outlet lateral pipe with first lateral located at half sprinkler spacing form the inlet (Table 8.11), $\mathrm{F}=0.353$
Maximum allowable head loss in the field $=28 \times \frac{20}{100}=5.6 \mathrm{~m}$


Let the diameter of the lateral be 7.5 cm .
The head loss in the lateral of 7.5 cm diameter with 4.01 s discharge (Appendix H, Table H-3) $=1.584 \mathrm{~m} / 100 \mathrm{~m}$
Head loss in the lateral $=\frac{1.584}{100} \times \frac{142.5}{100} \times 0.353=0.8 \mathrm{~m}$
The head remaining may be lost in the sub main $=5.6-0.8=4.8 \mathrm{~m}$
The lateral diameter of 7.5 cm is accepted.
Example 8.12 A level sub main is placed along the center of the rectangular field of $84 \mathrm{~m} \times 84 \mathrm{~m}$ feeds the plastic laterals laid to both sides. There is a land slope of 5 percent across the direction of sub main. The sprinklers are spaced 6 m and discharges $0.1851 / \mathrm{s}$ at operating pressure head of 28 m . The first sprinkler is located at 3 m from the sprinkler inlet. Determine the diameter of the laterals. Pipes are available $25 \mathrm{~mm}, 31.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 50.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 62.5 mm .

## Solution:

The maximum allowable pressure variation $(20 \%)=28 \times 0.2=5.6 \mathrm{~m}$
The number sprinklers on each lateral $=\frac{84}{2 \times 6}=7$


The discharge in the lateral,
The value of $\mathrm{F}=0.363$
Length of the lateral $=\frac{84}{2}-3=39 \mathrm{~m}$
Let the lateral be tried for 25 mm diameter for both the side.
Friction loss (Appendix H, Table H-4), $H_{f}=\frac{11.33}{100} \times 39 \times 0.363=1.61 \mathrm{~m}$
For left side:
$H_{n}=H_{a}+3 / 4 H_{f}+H_{r} \pm \frac{\Delta Z}{2}$
$=28+3 / 4 \times 1.61+0.0-\frac{1.95}{2}=28.23 \mathrm{~m}$
$H_{1}=28.23-1.61+1.95=28.57 m$
Pressure difference, $\Delta h=28.57-28.23=0.34 m$
For right side:
$H_{n}=28+3 / 4 \times 1.61+0.0+\frac{1.95}{2}=30.18 \mathrm{~m}$
$H_{1}=H_{n}-H_{f}-\Delta Z$
$\Delta h=H_{n}-H_{1}=30.18-26.62=3.56 m$
In both the laterals the head loss is within the permissible limit. Therefore, the diameter of lateral 25 mm is acceptable.

## Maximum number of laterals operating simultaneously

To determine the maximum number of laterals operating simultaneously on a sub main (or manifold) it is necessary to know the irrigation water requirements of crops, irrigation intervals of various crops, the length of the sub mains or manifolds, the spacing of laterals, the number of daily irrigation and the daily operating hours. The details of this may be described by the following example.
Example 8.13 A sprinkler irrigation system is to be designed to irrigate a field in which three crops are grown in three successive seasons. The sprinklers are of $3.96 \mathrm{~mm} \times 3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ size, operate at 28 m average pressure head, and discharge $0.451 / \mathrm{s}$ with the application rate of $10 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}$. Hand-moved aluminum laterals spaced 12 m are used in a sub main of length 300 m placed along the centre of the field. The irrigation continued 15 hours daily. The field capacity and wilting point of the soil are $35 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{m}$ and $15 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{m}$ respectively. Assuming the system efficiency $75 \%$, determine the number of laterals that operate simultaneously. The additional data of soil and crops are given below.

| Crop | Root zone <br> depth, $m$ | Consumptive use of crop, <br> $\mathrm{cm} /$ day | Irrigation given at depletion of available soil <br> moisture, percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.8 | 0.5 | 50 |
| B | 1.0 | 0.5 | 50 |
| C | 1.2 | 0.5 | 50 |

## Solution:

## For crop A:

Total number of laterals $=\frac{300}{12} \times 2=50$
Irrigation water requirement $=(F C-P W P) \cdot D \cdot d_{m}=(35-15) x 0.8 x 0.5=8.0 \mathrm{~cm}$
Irrigation interval $=\frac{8.0 \mathrm{~cm}}{0.5 \mathrm{~cm}}=16$ days
Assuming 2 days required for maintenance of the system and leisure period of the operator, the net irrigation days (irrigation period) in the irrigation period $=14$ days.
Gross irrigation requirement $=\frac{8.0 \mathrm{~cm}}{0.75}=11.44 \mathrm{~cm}$
Duration of an irrigation $=\frac{11.44 \mathrm{~cm}}{10.0 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}}=11.44 \mathrm{~h}$
No. of laterals required daily $=\frac{50}{14}=3.57$
No. of daily application $=\frac{18}{11.44}=1.57$

## For crop B:

Irrigation water requirement $=(35-15) x 1.0 x 0.5=10.0 \mathrm{~cm}$

$$
\text { Irrigation interval }=\frac{10.0 \mathrm{~cm}}{0.5 \mathrm{~cm}}=20 \text { days }
$$

Assuming 3 days required for maintenance of the system and leisure period of the operator, the irrigation period $=17$ days.
Gross irrigation requirement $=\frac{10.0 \mathrm{~cm}}{0.75}=13.33 \mathrm{~cm}$
Duration of an irrigation $=\frac{13.33 \mathrm{~cm}}{10.0 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}}=13.33 \mathrm{~h}$
No. of laterals required daily $=\frac{50}{17}=2.94$
No. of daily application $=\frac{18}{13.33}=1.35$

## For crop C:

Irrigation water requirement $=(35-15) \times 1.2 \times 0.5=12.0 \mathrm{~cm}$
Irrigation interval $=\frac{12.0 \mathrm{~cm}}{0.5 \mathrm{~cm}}=24.0$ days
Assuming 4 days required for maintenance of the system and leisure period of the operator, the irrigation period $=21$ days.
Gross irrigation requirement $=\frac{12.0 \mathrm{~cm}}{0.75}=16.0 \mathrm{~cm}$
Duration of an irrigation $=\frac{16.0 \mathrm{~cm}}{10.0 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}}=16.0 \mathrm{~h}$
No. of laterals required daily $=\frac{50}{21}=2.38$
No. of daily application $=\frac{18}{16.0}=1.13$
The performances in crop A, B \& C are summarized below in table form.

| Crop NWR, <br> mm | Irri. <br> Interval, <br> 1 days | Irri <br> period <br> days | GWR, <br> mm, | Duration of <br> application, <br> h | No. of <br> daily <br> application | No. of <br> laterals/day | No. of <br> laterals/applicatic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 80 | 16 | 14 | 114.4 | 11.44 | 1.57 | $3.57^{*}$ | $2.2^{*}$ |
| B | 100 | 20 | 17 | 133.3 | 13.33 | 1.35 | 2.94 | 2.19 |

It appears from the above table that the maximum number of laterals in any crop is $2.27 \approx 3$. the sub main should be designed on the basis of 3 laterals.

## Design of a sub main

The sub main has to convey the required discharge at desired pressure to all the laterals in it. The selection should be based on the consideration of cost of the pipes and cost of energy to operate the system. The length of the sub mains is usually considerable and the discharge gradually reduces towards the downstream, therefore, there is scope for selection of low diameter pipes from the upstream to downstream of the sub main. To arrive at the most economic diameters of the sub mains a step-by-step calculation is followed. An example is presented below.
Example 8.14. An aluminum sub main runs through the center of a field 288 mx 288 m field, having a downward slope of 2.0 percent and buried 0.5 m . The sub mains have 24 take-offs, spaced 12 m and the total number of lateral settings are 48 . Four level hand moved aluminium laterals are to be operated simultaneously along the sub main.The local head loss in a take-off is 1.5 m . The selected sprinklers are $3.96 \mathrm{~mm} \times 3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, spaced $12 \mathrm{~m} \times 12 \mathrm{~m}$, and apply water $0.511 / \mathrm{s}$ at 35 m head. A net pressure head of 39 m is available at the connection to the main. Determine the diameter of the sub mains.

## Solution:

The schematic diagram of the field and the lateral setting at the farthest end are shown in Fig. 8.12


Fig. 8.12 Sequence of operation of laterals along the sub main in Example 8.14


Fig. 8.13. Diagram of discharge and lengths
The design of the sub main is started at the setting to the farthest end $4^{\prime}$ (Fig. 8.12). The design pressure is required at the farthest sprinkler is 35 m . The operating head of the sprinklers are required to overcome the local head loss $(1.5 \mathrm{~m})$ and elevation difference due to buried sub main $(0.5 \mathrm{~m})=35+1.5+0.5=37 \mathrm{~m}$
The elevation gain along the section $=\frac{288}{4} \times \frac{2}{100}=1.44 \mathrm{~m}$

The number sprinklers in each lateral $=\frac{288}{2 \times 12}=12$
The discharge through the lateral $=0.51 \times 12=6.12 l / \mathrm{s}$
The value of $\mathrm{F}=0.369$ [assuming $1^{\text {st }}$ sprinkler is $1 / 2$ sprinkler from the sub main and using Table 8.11 for 6 junctions of laterals in a section].
The Table 8.13 shows the friction loss $\left(h_{f}\right)$ for a number of selected diameters along each section of the sub main ( 72 m ) for the constant discharge following Appendix H (Table H-3).
Table 8.13 Calculation of friction head losses in different diameter sub main pipes

| Sub main section | Discharge, $1 / \mathrm{s}$ | Pipe diameter (D), mm |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 75 | 100 | 125 | 150 | 175 |
| $4^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ | 6.12 | 0.87 | 0.18 | - | - | - |
| $3^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ | 12.24 | 3.53 | 0.83 | 0.26 | - | - |
| $2^{\prime}-1^{\prime}$ | 18.36 | 7.60 | 1.81 | 0.59 |  |  |
| $1^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}$ | 24.48 | - | 301. | 1.03 |  |  |

The design starts at $4^{\prime}$, the farthest end of downstream. The adjusted pressure head is required 37 m . A pipe of diameter 75 mm selected initially for section $4^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ provides the head loss of 0.83 m (Table 8.13). Therefore, head at the inlet with the adjustment of elevation difference,
$h_{n}=37+0.87-1.44=36.43 m$
The computed pressure head,. Therefore, pressure at this point may be raised by 0.57 m . This could be done by using low diameter pipe. However, in sub main pipes less than 75 mm is not commonly used. Therefore, for this section the 75 mm diameter pipe is selected.
For the next upstream section ( $3^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ ),
Assuming $\mathrm{D}=100 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.83 \mathrm{~m}$ and elevation difference,
$h_{n}=37+0.83-1.44=36.39 m$
The computed pressure head $\mathrm{h}_{3}=36.39 \mathrm{~m}>37 \mathrm{~m}$. Thus, head should be raised by $0.61 \mathrm{~m}(37-36.39=0.61 \mathrm{~m})$. This could be done by using partially the 75 mm pipe. The head loss in the pipes are required $=0.83+0.61=1.44 \mathrm{~m}$. Let x be the length of 100 mm diameter pipe and ( $72-\mathrm{x}$ ) the length of 75 mm pipe. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{f}(100 \mathrm{~mm})}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{f}(75 \mathrm{~mm})}(72-\mathrm{x})=1.44 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 0.83 / 72(\mathrm{x})+3.53 / 72(72-\mathrm{x})=1.44 \\
& \text { or, } 0.0115277 \mathrm{x}+3.53-0.0490277 \mathrm{x}=1.44 \\
& \text { or, } 0.0375 \mathrm{x}=2.09
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\therefore x=55.73 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Thus, for the third section $\mathrm{D}=55.73 \mathrm{~m}$ of 100 mm and $\mathrm{D}=75 \mathrm{~mm}$ for 16.27 m .
For the next upstream section $\left(2^{\prime}-1^{\prime}\right), h_{2}=37 m$
Assuming $\mathrm{D}=100 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.81 \mathrm{~m}$ and elevation difference, $\Delta Z=1.44 \mathrm{~mm}$
$h_{n}=37+0.83-1.44=36.39 \mathrm{~mm}$
The computed pressure head $h_{2}=37.37 \mathrm{~m}>37 \mathrm{~m}$. Thus, head should be lowered by $0.37 \mathrm{~m}(37.37-37=0.37 \mathrm{~m})$. This could be done by using partially the 125 mm pipe. The head loss in the pipes are required $=1.81-0.37=1.44 \mathrm{~m}$. Let x be the length of 100 mm diameter pipe and ( $72-\mathrm{x}$ ) the length of 125 mm pipe. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{f}(100 \mathrm{~mm})}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{f}(125 \mathrm{~mm})}(72-\mathrm{x})=1.44 \mathrm{~m} \\
& 1.81 / 72(\mathrm{x})+0.59 / 72(72-\mathrm{x})=1.44
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { or, } 0.025138 \mathrm{x}+0.59-8.194 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{x}=1.44
$$

or, $0.016944 \mathrm{x}=0.85$
$\therefore \mathrm{x}=50.16 \mathrm{~m}$
$\therefore \mathrm{D}=100 \mathrm{~mm}$ along $50.16 \mathrm{~m} \& \mathrm{D}=125 \mathrm{~mm}$ along 121.84 m for the section $2^{\prime}-1^{\prime}$.
For the next upstream section ( $\left.1^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}\right)$,
Assuming $\mathrm{D}=125 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.03 \mathrm{~m}$ and elevation difference, $\Delta Z=1.44 \mathrm{~mm}$
$h_{n}=37+1.81-1.44=37.37 \mathrm{~mm}$
The computed pressure head $\mathrm{h}_{1}=36.59 \mathrm{~m}<37 \mathrm{~m}$. Thus, head should be raised by $0.41 \mathrm{~m}(37-36.59=0.41 \mathrm{~m})$. This can be done by using partially the 100 mm pipe. The head loss in the pipes are required $=1.44 \mathrm{~m}$. Let x be the length of 125 mm diameter pipe and ( $72-\mathrm{x}$ ) the length of 100 mm pipe. Therefore,
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}(125 \mathrm{~mm})}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{f}(100 \mathrm{~mm})}(72-\mathrm{x})=1.44 \mathrm{~m}$
1.03/72 (x) +3.10/72 (72-x) $=1.44$
or, $0.014305 \mathrm{x}+3.01-0.04305 \mathrm{x}=1.44$
or, $0.02875 \mathrm{x}=1.57$
$\therefore \mathrm{x}=54.60 \mathrm{~m}$
$\therefore \mathrm{D}=125 \mathrm{~mm}$ along $54.35 \mathrm{~m} \& \mathrm{D}=100 \mathrm{~mm}$ along 17.40 m for the section 1 §. -C .

## Design of a manifold

The manifold is the sub-main in which all the laterals in it operate simultaneously. Therefore, its design is similar to a lateral. The design is expressed by an example.
Example 8.15 A level field of 144 mx 144 m to be designed by solid-set sprinkler irrigation system. The manifold runs through the center of the field. The laterals are placed on both sides at 12 m on the manifold and the sprinklers are also at 12 m intervals on the laterals. The laterals operate simultaneously to irrigate the entire field. The selected sprinkler operates at pressure head of 20 m at the rate of $5001 / \mathrm{h}$. The local head loss in both the laterals and manifolds are 10 percent. The recommended maximum pressure variation is 20 percent. Design the pipe diameters (PVC).

## Solution:

The lateral:
The maximum allowable pressure head variation $=\frac{20}{100} \times 20=4 \mathrm{~m}$
The number of laterals $=\frac{144}{12}=12$
The number of sprinkler in a lateral $=\frac{144}{2 \times 12}=6$
$\mathrm{F}=0.369\left(1^{\text {st }}\right.$ sprinkler is $1 / 2$ sprinkler from the manifold, Table 8.11)
Lateral inflow, $q_{1}=500 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{hx} 6=l / \mathrm{h}=0.83 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$
Let the lateral pipe be 25 mm . For 25 mm diameter and with the flow of $0.831 / \mathrm{s}$ the friction loss is $6.15 \mathrm{~m} / 100 \mathrm{~m}$ (Appendix H, Table H-4).
Friction loss in the lateral, $h_{f}=6.15 \times \frac{(72-6)}{100} \times 0.369 \times 1.1=1.18 \mathrm{~m} \prec 4 \mathrm{~m}$
Therefore, 25 mm pipe can be safely used.
The inlet pressure head, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}=20+3 / 4 \times 1.18=20.89 \mathrm{~m}$
The pressure head at the downstream end, $\mathrm{h}_{1}=20.89-1.18=19.71 \mathrm{~m}$
The manifold: No. of lateral junctions $=\frac{144}{12}=12$
$\therefore F=0.349$
Length of pipe $=144-\frac{12}{2}=138 \mathrm{~m}$
Manifold inflow, $Q_{n}=12 \times 2 \times 300 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}=72000 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{h}=20 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$
For 100 mm pipe, friction loss $=4.48 \mathrm{~m} / 100 \mathrm{~m}$
$\therefore h_{f}=1.1 \times 4.48 \times \frac{138}{100} \times 0.349=2.37 \mathrm{~m}$

Pressure at the inlet of manifold $=20.89+\frac{3}{4} \times 2.37=22.67 \mathrm{~m}$
The maximum pressure head in the field is in the first sprinkler of first lateral and minimum at the last sprinkler of last lateral. The pressure at the first sprinkler of first lateral is approximately 22.67 m
The pressure head at the inlet to the last sprinkler $=22.67-2.37=20.3 \mathrm{~m}$
The pressure head at the last sprinkler of last lateral $=20.30-1.18=19.12 \mathrm{~m}$
The maximum variation of head in the field $=22.67-19.12=3.55 \mathrm{~m}$
The 3.55 m is less than 4.0 m and also very close to it. The design of pipes is satisfactory.
However, considering the various local head losses an estimated head of 25 m at the connection to the main may be accepted to be on the safe side.

## Design of Main Line

Main pipelines are responsible to convey the water to the various points in the irrigated fields. Usually the entire area under the consideration is not irrigated simultaneously but a few fields or sub plots are done. Therefore, to design the mains, the sequence of sub mains or manifolds to be examined with the support of schematic diagrams of each set of arrangements to arrive at the appropriate selection of sequence. The diagram includes the discharge, pipe lengths, elevation and pressure head required at each main and sub main. The selection of diameter starts from the downstream and gradually approaches to the upstream water source.
Example 8.16 A level field of 2 plots each consists of 6 sub-plots to be designed for solid-set sprinkler system The sub plots are similar to the fields designed in previous Example (Fig.8.14). The designed discharge at the sub main inlet, and pressure head, The irrigation interval is 3 days and 4 sub-plots are irrigated simultaneously in a day. The available pressure head at the water source is 45 m . Assuming local head loss $10 \%$ of the longitudinal head loss, design the PVC pipes.

## Solution:



Fig 8.14 Plan of plots of the solid-set sprinkler system
Solution: As stated in the problem, 4 sub-plot to be irrigated in each day. There may be a few sequences of irrigation. Let the sequence of irrigation are $2,6,2^{\prime} 6^{\prime} ; 1,5,1^{\prime} \& 5^{\prime} \& 3,4,3^{\prime} \& 4^{\prime}$ for the first, second and third day of irrigation respectively.
As shown in Fig. 8.15 there are two cases A \& B. The case A shows the discharges for the first two days and the case B shows the same for the third day. These two cases may be treated separately to arrive at the recommended diameter of the mains.


Fig. 8.15 Discharges in the mains for cases A \& B.
The friction head losses for selected diameters along the pipe-sections at constant discharge are presented in Table 8.14.
Table 8.14 Friction head loss $\left(h_{f}\right)$ for selected pipe diameters under varying discharges and lengths including the $10 \%$ local head loss.

| Discharge, $1 / \mathrm{s}$ Section Length of the section, m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 75 | 100 | 125 | 150 | 200 | 250 |
| $201 / \mathrm{s}$ | AC | 288 |  | 14.19 | 5.04 | 2.15 | 0.59 | - |
|  | BC | 144 |  | 7.10 | 2.52 | 1.08 | 0.30 | - |
| $401 / \mathrm{s}$ | CD | 74 | - | 13.18 | 4.70 | 2.01 | 0.68 | - |
|  | DE | 338 | - | 61.11 | 21.47 | 9.18 | 3.11 | - |
| $801 / \mathrm{s}$ | EF | 204 | - | - | - | 19.96 | 5.64 | 1.89 |

Plot I:
Case A: Design of main A-C-D-E-F
Using the Table 8.14 \& Fig.8. 15 the diameter for AC, CD \& DE are selected $125,150 \& 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ respectively with the head loss of 16.23 m . This makes a resulting pressure head of $25+16.23=41.23 \mathrm{~m}$ at E . Thus, the available head loss in $\mathrm{EF}=45-41.23=3.77 \mathrm{~m}$. The Table 8.14 indicates that with $801 / \mathrm{s}$ discharge there is scope for combination of $200 \mathrm{~mm} \& 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ pipes in EF. Let x be the diameter of 200 mm pipe.
Therefore,


Case B: Design of main B-D-E-F
Using Table 8.14 \& Fig 8.15 the selected diameters for the section BD \& DE are 150 mm resulting pressure head $15.1+25=40.1 \mathrm{~m}$ at E . The remaining head loss for $\mathrm{EF}=45-40.1=4.9 \mathrm{~m}$. This states that the section needs $200 \mathrm{~mm} \& 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ pipes. Let x be the length of 200 mm diameter pipe.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore \frac{5.64}{204} \cdot x+\frac{1.89}{204}(204-x)=4.9 \\
& \text { or, } 0.01838 x=3.01 \\
& \therefore x=163.74 \approx 164 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the length of 250 mm pipe $=204-164=40 \mathrm{~m}$
Summary of pipe selection:

| Section | Length of pipe, m |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 75 mm | 100 mm | 125 mm | 150 mm | 200 mm |
|  | 250 mm |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CD}+\mathrm{BC}=\mathrm{BD}$ |  | 220 |  |  |  |
| DE |  | 338 |  |  |  |
| EF |  |  | 164 | 40 |  |
|  |  | 558 | 164 | 40 |  |



Fig. 6.16 Proposed solution in Plot I

## Plot II

Case A: Design of main A2 -C2 -E2 -F2
Using Table 8.14 \& Fig. 8.15 the selected diameters are 125 mm for the section A2 C2 \& C2 E2 resulting pressure head $5.04+4.7+$ $25=34.74 \mathrm{~m}$ at E . The remaining head loss for $\mathrm{EF}=45-34.74=10.26 \mathrm{~m}$. This states that the section needs $150 \mathrm{~mm} \& 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ pipes. Let $x$ be the length of 150 mm diameter pipe.
$\therefore \frac{19.96}{204} \cdot x+\frac{5.64}{204}(204-x)=10.26$
or, $0.07 x=4.62$
$\therefore x=66 \mathrm{~m}$
So, the length of 200 mm pipe $=204-66=138 \mathrm{~m}$
Case B: Design of B2 -E2 -F2
Using Table 8.14 \& Fig. 8.15 the selected diameters are $125 \mathrm{~mm} \& 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ for the section C2 E2 \& B2 C2 resulting pressure head $4.7+14.19 / 2+25=36.8 \mathrm{~m}$ at E 2 . The remaining head loss for $\mathrm{EF}=45-36.8=9.2 \mathrm{~m}$. This states that the section needs $150 \mathrm{~mm} \& 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ pipes. Let $x$ be the length of 150 mm diameter pipe.
$\therefore \frac{19.96}{204} \cdot x+\frac{5.64}{204}(204-x)=9.2$
or, $0.074 x=3.56$
$\therefore x=50.85 \approx 51 \mathrm{~m}$
So, the length of 200 mm pipe $=204-51=153 \mathrm{~m}$


Fig. 8.17 Proposed solution in Plot II (Case A \& B)
In consideration to proposed solutions [Fig.8.16 \& 8.17] it is observed that if 150 mm pipe is used in section AB (L=144m) instead of 125 mm a head of 26.02 m is available at A which is very close to 25 m . Again, this may provide the possibility of avoiding larger diameter 250 mm pipe in section EF and cause of higher pressure at $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$. However, the value of head at $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ is apparently excessive and therefore suggested to use regulator at the inlets in the manifold in sub-plots $1^{\prime}$ and $2^{\prime}$.
The final solution is stated as below.


Fig. 8.18 Final solution for the mains
The pressure at the inlet of the lateral, $H_{n}=H_{a}+3 / 4 H_{f}+H_{r}$
For 7.5cm lateral pipe, $H_{n}=H_{a}+3 / 4 H_{f}+H_{r}=22+3 / 4 x 3.2+0.5=24.9 \mathrm{~m}$
For 10.0 cm, , ,, $H_{n}=H_{a}+3 / 4 H_{f}+H_{r}=22+3 / 4 x 0.73+0.5=23.05 m$
Pressure at the farthest end of the lateral, $H_{1}=H_{a}-1 / 4 H_{f}+H_{r}$
For 7.5 cm lateral, $H_{n}=H_{a}-1 / 4 H_{f}+H_{r}=22-1 / 4 \times 3.2+0.5=21.7 \mathrm{~m}$
For $10.0 \mathrm{~cm},,, H_{n}=H_{a}-1 / 4 H_{f}+H_{r}=22-1 / 4 x 0.73+0.5=22.32 m$
Pressure difference between upstream and downstream of the lateral in percent,
For 7.5 cm lateral, $=\frac{24.9-21.7}{24.9} \times 100=12.35 \%$
For 10.0 cm lateral, $=\frac{23.05-22.32}{23.05}=3.17 \%$

## Questions and Problems

8.1 Define sprinkler irrigation. What are the advantages and disadvantages of sprinkler irrigation? What are the other uses of it?
8.2 Write the brief history of development of sprinkler irrigation in India.
8.3 Discuss the subsidy schemes to sprinkler irrigation in India. Why the subsidy is provided?
8.4 Classify the sprinkler irrigation system based on portability.
8.5 Describe the components of sprinkler irrigation system with the necessary sketch of it.
8.6 Discuss the inventory of the area for designing the sprinkler irrigation system.
8.7 How the capacity of the sprinkler irrigation system and application rate of individual sprinkler is determined?
8.8 A sprinkler system has 4 laterals each of 100 m length with spacing 18 m . The sprinklers are at 16 m interval on the laterals and apply water at the rate of $0.95 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{h}$. What is the sprinkler system capacity?

Ans. 18.01/s.
8.9 The following data were obtained from a sprinkler irrigation system.

Sprinkler system = portable
Lateral length $=150 \mathrm{~m}$
Spacing of lateral $=15 \mathrm{~m}$
Spacing of sprinkler $=15 \mathrm{~m}$
No. of laterals $=2$
Application rate of sprinklers $=1.25 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{h}$
Area of the field $=3 \mathrm{Ha}$
Depth of water application $=5 \mathrm{~cm}$
Working hours in day $=8$
Efficiency of sprinkler system $=80 \%$
What is the time required to irrigate the field if half an hour is allowed in each shifting? What is the system capacity?
Ans. 3.5 days, 23.441/s
8.10 A solid set sprinkler system with 5 numbers of sprinklers twin nozzle sprinklers of 4 mmx 3 mm diameter operating under $3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ pressure each with coefficient of discharge 0.95 . The sprinkler spacing is 12 mx 15 m . The lateral length length and spacing are 120 m and 15 m respectively. Determine the discharge of each sprinkler, (b) sprinkler system capacity at $80 \%$ efficiency. Ans. (a) 1629.171/h (b) 28.281/s
8.11 The sprinklers of 4 mm diameter are set at 20 m interval on the lateral and each discharges $1.251 / \mathrm{s}$ under operating pressure of $2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Determine the quality of spray, radius of spray and overlapping.
Ans. $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}=7.28, \mathrm{R}=12.07 \mathrm{~m}$, Overlapping $=45.62 \%$.
8.12 A sprinkler of nozzle diameter 4.5 mm discharges $1.501 / \mathrm{s}$. What is the required pressure in the sprinkler for 10 m radius of spray? What is the quality of spray under this pressure?
Ans. $\mathrm{R}=12.19 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}=4.13$
8.13 Select the appropriate answer from the following.

1. Sprinkler irrigation has started in India during
a. 1930s
b. 1940s
c. 1950s
d. 1960s
2. The sprinkler nozzle rotates by
a. $45^{0}$
b. $90^{\circ}$
c. $135^{0}$
d. $180^{0}$
3. Permanent sprinkler system is suitable to irrigate
a. pulses
b. vegetables
c. field crops
d. orchards
4. The sprinkler system is designed for peak consumptive use rate of
a. 1 day (2) one week
b. 10 days
c. fortnight
5. Among the following land slopes higher application rate can be suggested for
a. $0.5 \%$
b. $2 \%$
c. $5 \%$
d. $10 \%$
6. A sprinkler of spacing $20 \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mathrm{~m}$ sprays at the rate of $0.51 / \mathrm{s}$ for 1 hour. The depth of water application is
a. 2 mm
b. 4.5 mm
c. 5 mm
d. 5.5 mm
7. The infiltration capacity of a soil is $0.7 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{h}$. The sprinkler spacing is $12 \mathrm{~m} \times 16 \mathrm{~m}$. The application rate of sprinkler is
a. $0.371 / \mathrm{s}$
b. $0.551 / \mathrm{s}$
c. $0.631 / \mathrm{s}$
d. $0.741 / \mathrm{s}$
8. A sprinkler nozzle of diameter 4.5 mm discharges $0.351 / \mathrm{s}$. The operating pressure of the sprinkler is
a. 14.16 m
b. 22.65 m
c. 27.35 m
d. 30.5 m
9. A sprinkler nozzle of diameter 0.5 cm operates under $3.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ pressure. The expected radius of the wetted area is
a. 5.64 m
b. 10.75 m
c. 15.35 m
d. 17.86 m
10. A sprinkler discharges at the rate of $0.51 / \mathrm{s}$ under 25 m pressure. The quality of spray said to be
a. good
b. better
c. excellent
d. wasting of energy

Ans. 1.(c) 2. (b) 3. (d) 4. (a) 5. (a) 6. (b) 7. (a) 8. (c) 9. (d) 10. (d)
8.14 Write True or False of the following.

1. Low pressure in sprinkler the droplets are larger and fall away from the sprinkler.
2. At high pressure the droplets fall near the sprinkler.
3. The depth of water is progressively diminishing towards the sprinkler.
4. In sprinkler irrigation higher overlapping is required for triangular distribution pattern.
5. In designing sprinkler irrigation system undulation and slopes of the field need not to be known.
6. Discharge rate of sprinkler depends on the soil characteristics and land slope.
7. Sprinkler irrigation application rate is higher in sandy loam to clay loam.
8. The root zone depth of cotton is more than apple.
9. The location of the pump is usually fixed with location of source of water in sprinkler irrigation system
10. The point of highest elevation or middle of the field may be the best coice for the location of the pump.
11. The lateral of the sprinkler system follows the steepest slope.
12. The main of the sprinkler system in a slope field follows the level surface.

Ans. 1. True 2.True 3. False 4. False 5. False 6. True 7. True 8. False 9. True 10. True 11. False 12. false
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## Appendix H

## Friction Head Loss in Irrigation Pipes

Table H-1 Friction loss in meters per 100meters in lateral line of portable aluminium pipe with couplings (based on Scobey's formula and 9 meters pipe length)
[Adapted from Michael, 1978]

| Flow, $1 / \mathrm{s}$ | Diameter of pipes |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5.0 cmKs 0.34 | 7.5 cmKs 0.33 | 10.0 cmKs 0.32 | 12.5 cmKs 0.32 | 15.0 cmKs 0.32 |
| 1.26 | 0.32 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.89 | 2.53 |  |  |  |  |
| 2.52 | 4.49 | 0.565 | 0.130 |  |  |
| 3.15 | 6.85 | 0858 | 0.198 |  |  |
| 3.79 | 9.67 | 1.21 | 0.280 |  |  |
| 4.42 | 12.9 | 1.63 | 0.376 | 0.122 |  |
| 5.05 | 16.7 | 2.10 | 0.484 | 0.157 |  |
| 5.68 | 20.8 | 2.63 | 0.605 | 0.196 | 0.099 |
| 6.31 | 25.4 | 3.20 | 0.738 | 0.240 | 0.140 |
| 7.57 |  | 4.54 | 1.04 | 0.339 | 0.242 |
| 8.83 |  | 6.09 | 1.40 | 0.454 | 0.302 |
| 10.10 |  | 7.85 | 1.80 | 0.590 | 0.370 |
| 11.36 |  | 9.82 | 2.26 | 0.733 | 0.443 |
| 12.62 |  | 12.0 | 2.76 | 0.896 | 0.522 |
| 13.88 |  | 14.4 | 3.30 | 1.07 | 0.608 |
| 15.14 |  | 16.9 | 3.90 | 1.26 | 0.700 |
| 16.41 |  | 19.7 | 4.54 | 1.47 | 0.798 |
| 17.67 |  | 22.8 | 5.22 | 1.70 | 0.904 |
| 18.93 |  | 25.9 | 5.96 | 1.93 | 1.02 |
| 20.19 | 29.3 | 6.74 | 2.18 |  |  |
| 21.45 |  | 32.8 | 7.56 | 2.45 |  |


| 22.72 | 36.6 | 8.40 | 2.74 | 1.13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23.98 | 40.6 | 9.36 | 3.03 | 1.26 |
| 25.24 | 44.7 | 10.3 | 3.34 | 1.38 |
| 26.50 |  | 11.3 | 3.66 | 1.51 |
| 27.76 |  | 12.3 | 4.00 | 1.66 |
| 29.03 |  | 13.4 | 4.35 | 1.80 |
| 30.29 |  | 14.6 | 4.72 | 1.95 |
| 31.55 | 15.8 | 5.10 | 2.12 |  |
| 34.70 |  | 18.9 | 6.12 | 2.52 |
| 37.86 | 25.2 | 7.22 | 2.98 |  |
| 41.01 |  | 29.8 | 8.40 | 3.46 |
| 44.17 | 33.8 | 9.68 | 3.99 |  |
| 47.32 |  | 11.0 | 4.54 |  |
| 50.48 |  | 12.5 | 5.15 |  |
| 53.63 |  |  | 14.0 | 5.78 |
| 56.79 |  | 15.6 | 6.44 |  |
| 59.94 |  | 17.3 | 7.14 |  |
| 63.10 |  | 19.0 | 7.86 |  |

Note: For 6 meters pipe lengths, increase values in the Table by 7.0 percent and for 12 meters lengths decrease values by 3.0 percent.
Table H-2 Scobey's pipe friction coefficient Ks
[Adapted from Michael, 1978]

| Type of pipe material | Ks |
| :--- | :---: |
| Asbestos-cement, plastic | 0.32 |
| Cement-lined cast iron, dipped and wrapped steel | 0.36 |
| Unprotected steel (new), aluminium pipe with couplings | 0.40 |
| Slightly used steel | 0.44 |
| Fifteen year old steel or iron | 0.48 |
| Rough interior | 0.54 |
| Very rough interior | 0.60 |

Table H-3 Friction loss in meters per 100meters in main lines of portable aluminium pipes with couplings
[Adapted from Michael, 1978]


| 2.52 | 0.658 | 0.157 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.15 | 1.006 | 0.239 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.79 | 1.423 | 0.339 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.42 | 1.906 | 0.449 | 0.150 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.05 | 2.457 | 0.548 | 0.193 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.68 | 3.073 | 0.731 | 0.242 |  |  |  |  |
| 6.31 | 3.754 | 0.893 | 0.295 | 0.120 |  |  |  |
| 7.57 | 5.307 | 1.263 | 0.417 | 0.170 |  |  |  |
| 8.83 | 7.113 | 1.693 | 0.560 | 0.227 |  |  |  |
| 10.10 | 9.169 | 2.182 | 0.721 | 0.293 |  |  |  |
| 11.36 | 11.47 | 2.729 | 0.967 | 0.366 |  |  |  |
| 12.62 | 14.01 | 3.333 | 1.102 | 0.448 | 0.209 |  |  |
| 13.88 | 16.79 | 3.996 | 1.321 | 0.537 | 0.251 |  |  |
| 15.14 | 19.81 | 4.713 | 1.558 | 0.633 | 0.296 |  |  |
| 16.41 | 23.06 | 5.488 | 1.814 | 0.737 | 0.344 |  |  |
| 17.67 | 26.55 | 6.316 | 2.089 | 0.849 | 0.397 |  |  |
| 18.93 | 30.27 | 7.203 | 2.381 | 0.967 | 0.452 | 0.235 |  |
| 20.19 | 34.22 | 8.142 | 2.092 | 1.094 | 0.511 | 0.265 |  |
| 21.45 | 38.39 | 9.133 | 3.020 | 1.227 | 0.573 | 0.298 |  |
| 22.72 | 42.80 | 10.18 | 3.366 | 1.368 | 0.639 | 0.332 |  |
| 23.98 | 47.43 | 11.29 | 3.731 | 1.516 | 0.708 | 0.368 |  |
| 25.24 | 52.28 | 12.44 | 4.513 | 1.671 | 0.781 | 0.399 | 0.136 |
| 26.50 |  | 13.65 | 4.930 | 1.833 | 0.857 | 0.445 | 0.149 |
| 27.76 |  | 14.57 | 5.364 | 1.988 | 0.936 | 0.486 | 0.163 |
| 29.03 |  | 16.23 | 5.815 | 2.179 | 1.019 | 0.529 | 0.177 |
| 30.29 |  | 17.59 | 6.284 | 2.363 | 1.104 | 0.573 | 0.192 |
| 31.55 |  | 19.01 | 7.532 | 2.554 | 1.193 | 0.620 | 0.208 |
| 34.70 |  | 22.79 | 8.886 | 3.060 | 1.430 | 0.742 | 3.249 |
| 37.86 |  | 26.88 | 10.35 | 3.611 | 1.687 | 0.976 | 0.294 |
| 41.01 |  | 31.30 | 11.91 | 4.204 | 1.965 | 1.020 | 0.342 |
| 44.17 |  | 36.04 | 13.58 | 4.839 | 2.262 | 1.174 | 0.394 |
| 47.32 |  | 41.08 | 15.35 | 5.517 | 2.520 | 1.339 | 0.449 |
| 50.48 |  |  | 17.22 | 6.237 | 2.915 | 1.513 | 0.507 |


| 53.63 | 19.20 | 6.999 | 3.271 | 1.698 | 0569 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 56.79 | 21.28 | 7.801 | 3.66 | 1.893 | 0.635 |
| 59.94 | 23.45 | 8.645 | 4.041 | 2.097 | 0.703 |
| 63.10 | 28.11 | 9.530 | 4.454 | 2.312 | 0.775 |
| 69.49 | 31.75 | 11.42 | 5.338 | 2.771 | 0.929 |
| 75.72 |  | 13.58 | 6.298 | 3.269 | 1.096 |
| 82.03 |  | 15.69 | 7.333 | 3.886 | 1.277 |
| 88.34 |  | 18.06 | 8.441 | 4.382 | 1.470 |
| 94.65 |  | 20.59 | 9.624 | 4.996 | 1.675 |
| 101.0 |  | 23.28 | 10.88 | 5.648 | 1.894 |
| 107.3 |  | 26.12 | 12.21 | 6.337 | 2.125 |
| 114.0 |  |  | 13.63 | 7.064 | 2.369 |
| 120.0 |  |  | 15.08 | 7.829 | 2.625 |
| 126.0 |  |  | 16.62 | 8.630 | 2.894 |

Note: Where 6.1 m sections of pipes are used, increase values shown in Table by 7.0 percent. Where 12.2 m sections of pipes are used, decrease values shown in the Table by 3.0 percent.
Table H-4 Friction head loss in semi-rigid plastic irrigation pipelines manufactured of PVC or asbestos compounds.
(Standard dimension ratio, SDR 21) [Adapted from Michael, 1978]

| Flow, $1 / \mathrm{s}$ | Diameter of pipe |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.5 cm | 3.18 cm | 3.8 cm | 5.08 cm | 6.25 cm | 7.61 cm | 8.9 cm |
| 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.252 | 0.54 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  |  |
| 0.378 | 1.15 | 0.37 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.02 |  |  |
| 0.503 | 1.97 | 0.63 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.01 |  |
| 0.631 | 2.98 | 0.95 | 0.49 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 0.946 | 6.32 | 2.03 | 1.04 | 0.35 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| 1.26 | 10.79 | 3.46 | 1.78 | 0.60 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.04 |
| 1.58 | 16.30 | 5.22 | 2.70 | 0.91 | 0.36 | 0.12 | 0.07 |
| 1.89 | 22.86 | 7.32 | 3.78 | 1.27 | 0.50 | 0.19 | 0.10 |
| 2.21 |  | 9.75 | 5.03 | 1.70 | 0.67 | 0.25 | 0.13 |
| 2.52 |  | 12.46 | 6.46 | 2.18 | 0.86 | 0.32 | 0.17 |
| 2.84 |  | 15.51 | 8.02 | 2.71 | 1.07 | 0.40 | 0.21 |
| 3.15 |  | 18.87 | 9.75 | 3.30 | 1.30 | 0.49 | 0.25 |
| 3.47 |  | 22.48 | 11.64 | 3.94 | 1.54 | 0.59 | 0.30 |


| 3.79 | 13.64 | 4.62 | 1.81 | 0.69 | 0.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.10 | 15.85 | 5.36 | 2.10 | 0.80 | 0.41 |
| 4.42 | 18.19 | 6.14 | 2.42 | 0.92 | 0.47 |
| 4.73 | 20.65 | 6.99 | 2.75 | 1.06 | 0.55 |
| 5.05 | 23.28 | 7.86 | 3.10 | 1.19 | 0.62 |
| 5.36 |  | 8.81 | 3.47 | 1.33 | 0.69 |
| 5.68 |  | 9.79 | 3.85 | 1.48 | 0.77 |
| 5.99 |  | 10.82 | 4.25 | 1.64 | 0.85 |
| 6.31 |  | 11.89 | 4.69 | 1.80 | 0.93 |
| 6.94 |  | 14.21 | 5.59 | 2.14 | 1.11 |
| 7.59 |  | 16.69 | 6.56 | 2.52 | 1.31 |
| 8.20 |  | 19.35 | 7.63 | 2.92 | 1.53 |
| 8.83 |  | 22.21 | 8.73 | 3.36 | 1.75 |
| 9.46 |  |  | 9.94 | 3.82 | 1.99 |
| 10.10 |  |  | 11.20 | 4.29 | 2.24 |
| 10.73 |  |  | 12.51 | 4.80 | 2.50 |
| 11.36 |  |  | 13.90 | 5.35 | 2.79 |
| 11.99 |  |  | 15.39 | 5.92 | 3.08 |
| 12.62 |  |  | 16.91 | 6.50 | 3.38 |
| 13.88 |  |  | 20.19 | 7.77 | 4.04 |
| 15.14 |  |  | 23.73 | 9.12 | 4.76 |
| 16.41 |  |  |  | 10.57 | 5.51 |
| 17.67 |  |  |  | 12.11 | 6.32 |
| 18.93 |  |  |  | 13.78 | 7.18 |
| 20.19 |  |  |  | 15.52 | 8.10 |
| 21.45 |  |  |  | 17.37 | 9.07 |
| 22.72 |  |  |  | 19.27 | 10.08 |
| 23.98 |  |  |  | 21.33 | 11.13 |
| 25.24 |  |  |  | 23.45 | 12.22 |
| 26.50 |  |  |  |  | 13.40 |
| 27.76 |  |  |  |  | 14.59 |
| 29.03 |  |  |  |  | 15.86 |
| 30.29 |  |  |  |  | 17.15 |
| 31.55 |  |  |  |  | 18.50 |

Note: Table based on Hazen William's equation, $\mathrm{C}=150$.

1. To find friction head loss in PVC or asbestos pipe having a standard dimension ratio other than 21, the values in the Table should be multiplied by the appropriate conversion factor shown below:

| SDR No. Conversion factor |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| 13.5 | 1.35 |
| 17 | 1.13 |
| 21 | 1.00 |
| 26 | 0.91 |
| 32.5 | 0.84 |

Friction head loss in semi-rigid plastic irrigation pipelines manufactured of PVC or asbestos compounds.
(Standard dimension ratio, SDR 21) [Adapted from Michael, 1978]

| Flow, $1 / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  | Diameter of pipe |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10.0 cm | 12.5 cm | 15.0 cm | 200 cm | 25.0 cm |  |  |  |
| 0.946 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.26 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.58 | 0.04 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.89 | 0.05 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.21 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.52 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.84 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.15 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.47 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.79 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.10 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 4.42 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 4.73 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.03 | 0.35 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.36 | 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.08 | 0.43 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.99 | 0.48 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| 6.31 | 0.52 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| 6.84 | 0.63 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.57 | 0.74 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  |  |  |


| 8.20 | 0.85 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8.83 | 0.98 | 0.35 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.01 |  |
| 9.46 | 1.11 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.01 |  |
| 10.10 | 1.26 | 0.44 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.01 |  |
| 10.73 | 1.41 | 0.49 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.02 |  |
| 11.36 | 1.57 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 11.99 | 1.73 | 0.61 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 12.62 | 1.90 | 0.67 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 13.88 | 2.28 | 0.81 | 0.34 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| 15.14 | 2.67 | 0.95 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| 16.41 | 3.10 | 1.10 | 0.46 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 17.67 | 3.56 | 1.26 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| 18.93 | 4.04 | 1.43 | 0.61 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| 20.19 | 4.56 | 1.62 | 0.69 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| 21.45 | 5.10 | 1.82 | 0.77 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.03 |
| 22.72 | 5.67 | 2.02 | 0.86 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.03 |
| 23.98 | 6.26 | 2.22 | 0.95 | 0.26 | 0.09 | 0.04 |
| 25.24 | 6.90 | 2.45 | 1.04 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.04 |
| 26.50 | 7.55 | 2.69 | 1.14 | 0.31 | 0.10 | 0.05 |
| 27.76 | 8.23 | 2.92 | 1.25 | 0.34 | 0.11 | 0.05 |
| 29.03 | 8.94 | 3.18 | 1.35 | 0.37 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| 30.29 | 9.67 | 3.44 | 1.46 | 0.41 | 0.14 | 0.06 |
| 31.55 | 10.42 | 3.70 | 1.58 | 0.43 | 0.15 | 0.06 |
| 34.70 | 12.44 | 4.42 | 1.89 | 0.52 | 0.18 | 0.07 |
| 37.86 | 14.61 | 5.21 | 2.22 | 0.61 | 0.21 | 0.09 |

SDR No.
Conversion factor

| 13.5 | 1.35 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 17 | 1.13 |
| 21 | 1.00 |
| 26 | 0.91 |
| 32.5 | 0.84 |

## A

Accessories 7, 30, 134, 166, 196, 208, 212
ASAE 1, 18

## B

Biswas et al (2005) equation 25, 97, 137
Bubbler system 9
C
Calibrated orifice 168, 171, 173, 174
Capacity of sprinkler system 229, 231
Control head 7, 8, 134, 137, 152, 156, 213
Couplers 199, 208, 209, 212, 215, 217, 218, 238, 242
Crop coefficient 49, 50, 53, 84, 86, 135, 139
Crop water requirement $49,84,87,225$

## D

Daily flow irrigation 1
Darcy-Weisbach equation 22, 23, 26, 29, 89, 109
Design charts 118, 120
Design of amanifold 253
Design of a sub main 249
Design of sprinkler irrigation system 225
Diurnal irrigation 1
Discharge of sprinkler nozzle 234
Distributor $8,17,18,30-33,35,41,42,44-47,54,55,66,67-71,75-79,89,93,94,97,101-103,107,108,111,114,115,116,145,157$, 161, 166-171, 173, 178, 187, 19782, 85, 86,
Drip fertigation system 181
Drip irrigation 1-8, 10-18, 21, 49-51, 54, 61, 76, 80, 84-86, 88, 128, 129, 135, 138, 140, 174,177, 178, 180, 181, 182, 187-189, 192, 196, 197, 203

## E

Evaporation rate \& soil moisture 73
F
Fertilizer applicator 6, 7, 134, 137, 187, 188, 213
Fertilizer tank 7, 18, 178, 182, 183, 188
Fertilizers 16,177, 179, 180-182, 187, 188, 213

- nitrogen 7, 179, 180, 185-188
- phosphate 179, 180, 187, 188
- potassium 179, 180, 181, 187, 188

Filter 6-8, 13, 80, 134, 137, 178
G
Graphical design method 107
Gross water requirement 247, 248
Ground cover 49, 50, 88

## H

Hands move system 207
Hazen-William equation 91, 132, 136, 164, 243
Head losses in pipes 89, 157
History of sprinkler irrigation 199

## I

ICID 1, 2
Integrated distributor 166
Internal spiral distributor 173
Irrigation at fixed deficit 74,84
Irrigation at fixed interval 74
Irrigation interval $72,73-76,78,80,81,85,86,88,140,226,229,231,232,246,255$
Irrigation water requirement $54,84,86,87,246-248$

## K

Keller \& Karmeli method 101, 274

## L

Lateral design 93, 123
Limitation of sprinkler irrigation 204, 205
Local head loss 96, 97, 157, 238, 242, 249, 250, 253-255, 257,
Localized irrigation 1, 18
Long flow path integrated distributor 166
Low cost drip system 189

## M

Mechanical move 9
Micro fertigation 181
Micro irrigation 1, 2-4, 6, 14, 16, 18, 204
Micro tube 3, 8, 93, 94, 113, 161-166, 173, 174,
N
Net water requirement 77

## 0

Orifice distributor $167,168,173,174$
Orifice with sleeve system 171

## P

Perforated pipe 2, 206, 207, 212
Perforated single chamber tube 167, 173
Pitcher 2, 17
Porous wall tubing 172, 173

Pre-coiled micro tube 166
Pressure differential system 181-183, 188
Pressure gauge 134, 137, 212, 223
Pressure regulator $6,18,117,183,213,221$
Prime mover 6, 7
Principles of sprinkler operation 219
Pump injector 183, 188

## R

Rate of fertilizer application 184, 185
Reference crop evapotranspiration 49, 86
Relatively rough pipe $25,26,27,45$
Reynolds number 21, 23, 25, 26, 28, 45-47, 96, 108
Rotating head sprinkler 211, 221, 234

## S

Schwarzman \& Zur (1985) equation 61, 62, 66, 141, 147
Scobey equation 157
Scope of sprinkler irrigation 205
Singh et al (2000) equation 65
Sip irrigation 1
Spray system 9
Sprinkler head 208-212, 223
Sprinkler nozzles and spacing 227
Sub main design 114, 122, 125
Sub surface drip system 9,10
Surface drip 8-10

## T

Temperature sensitivity 24
Trickle irrigation 1, 18
Turbulent flow $89,108,110,21,25,26,31,33,46,47$
Twin-wall distributor 169, 170, 173, 174

## U

Unstable flow 25, 47
V
Vortex distributor 168, 169, 174
W
Water distribution in soils 57
Water meter 213


[^0]:    *There is an inlet at the entrance of section 1 for irrigating the sub plots on both side of the section

[^1]:    Similarly the soil suction in different months are calculated and tabulated in column 7 of Table 4.12.

[^2]:    Courtesy: FAO, 1980

